



Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2010

WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1019

September, 2010

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2010

By

W. Trent Holder, Assistant Research Scientist

With the assistance of

Bistra Anatchkova, Ph.D., Survey Research Center Manager

Robert Leduc, Ph.D., Associate Research Scientist

Bob Lefevre, Applications Developer

Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center

University of Wyoming

1000 E. University Ave, Dept. 3925

Laramie, WY 82071

(307) 766-2189 • wysac@uwyo.edu

<http://wysac.uwyo.edu>

Under contract to

Wyoming State Bar

P.O. Box 109

Cheyenne, WY 82003

Citation for this document: WYSAC (2010) *Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2010*, by Holder, W. T. (WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1019). Laramie, WY: Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, University of Wyoming.

Short reference: WYSAC (2010), *Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll*.

© Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, 2010.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	4
2.	Report Organization.....	4
3.	Methods	5
3.1.	Questionnaire Development	5
3.2.	Survey Administration.....	5
3.3.	Interpreting the Numeric Ratings.....	6
4.	Group Results	8
5.	Individual Results	10
6.	Questionnaire	43

List of Tables

Table 1.	Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	7
Table 2.	Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention.....	8
Table 3.	Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level.....	9

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2010

1. Introduction

In the spring of 2010, the Wyoming State Bar commissioned the Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming to administer the Judicial Advisory Poll. This document presents the results of the tabulations and analyses performed.

The 2010 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll was conducted jointly by the Wyoming State Bar and WYSAC. Sharon Wilkinson, Communications Director of the Wyoming State Bar, coordinated the project activities for the Bar.

Judicial evaluation polls are used by Bar associations to provide feedback to judicial officials about their performance on the bench and to help the public make more informed judgments in judicial elections. Guidelines established by the American Bar Association (ABA) state that the primary goal of judicial evaluation is "... to improve the performance of individual judges and the judiciary as a whole."

The Wyoming State Bar undertook its first judicial evaluation poll in 1976 and has completed one each election year since. The goals and uses of the Wyoming Judicial Advisory poll conform to the ABA guidelines as well as to the practices of other Bar associations.

The evaluations received for Wyoming Judges in the 2010 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll will be distributed as follows. First, a copy of this report will be sent to all Wyoming Judges who were evaluated. In addition to the information contained in the general report, individual judicial officials will receive any written comments submitted by members of the Wyoming State Bar responding to this poll regarding their work on the bench. Comments about judges will be released only to the specific judge that they were made about. These comments will not be included in the report sent to the Wyoming State Bar. Second, all data results will be released to the media prior to the election and published in the *Wyoming Lawyer*.

2. Report Organization

The remainder of this report is organized in the following manner:

- Section 3 is a concise account of the methodology and timeline used by WYSAC to conduct the poll.
- Section 4 relays the condensed results of support for retention for all relevant judicial officials in the "Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention" table. Also included is the "Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level" table, which presents the means for all items in the survey, organized by judicial level.
- Section 5 presents the detailed ratings of individual judicial officials, grouped by judicial level and then, within each level, arranged alphabetically according to the judicial official's last name.
- Section 6 provides a copy of the survey instrument.

3. Methods

3.1. Questionnaire Development

There were no changes to the items on the survey for which feedback was sought from Wyoming attorneys. Thus the questionnaire items judicial officials were rated upon remained unchanged. However, changes were introduced to different sets of response choices. Foremost, the response choice scale for all performance ratings was modified from a three point to a five point fully balanced Likert scale to include the following options for each judge: strongly disapprove, somewhat disapprove, neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat approve, strongly approve. The introduction of that scale controls for bias of the ratings provided by the respondents to the survey.

The other improvement introduced was to the response choices offered on the question of whether the responding attorney's ratings of Supreme Court Justices were based on appearances before the court or written opinions. This year a third answer choice was added to allow respondents to answer *both* -- appearances before the court and written opinions.

3.2. Survey Administration

As was the case in 2006 and 2008, this year the Judicial Advisory Poll was conducted as a web-based survey.

WYSAC revised and updated the survey instrument to coincide with the current judiciary in Wyoming. WYSAC received an electronic list with contact information of all Wyoming attorneys who are members of the Wyoming State Bar, and are believed to practice in Wyoming, including email addresses, wherever available. There were 1578 Wyoming attorneys on that list.

On August 6th, 2010, Sharon Wilkinson sent an email to all attorneys in the State Bar to inform them of the upcoming email from WYSAC which was to introduce the survey. The poll was launched on August 9th with an email invitation for participation. The email invitation was sent by WYSAC to all 1493 attorneys who had unique email addresses on file. Fifteen of these emails were returned as undeliverable and there were 85 attorneys for whom there were no unique email addresses on file. To those 100 attorneys, invitation letters were sent via USPS mail. To all attorneys who had not yet responded with completed surveys, reminder emails were sent weekly, specifically on August 17th, 24th, 31st and September 1th and, for attorneys without a valid email address, one reminder letter was mailed on August 24th.

The survey closed on September 10th, providing all attorneys five full weeks to respond. After closing, the online database was exported into a format suitable for data analysis. A total of 794 attorneys logged into the survey; however, 47 of those merely "clicked through" to the last page of the survey without answering any questions. For purposes of calculating response rates these 47 potential respondents were considered to be ineligible for the survey; an approach based partly upon one attorney contacting WYSAC to explain he/she logged in but did not answer any questions because he/she did not feel qualified. Thus, a total of 747 valid completions were obtained before the cut-off date. From the original respondent list, an additional 11 attorneys contacted WYSAC to explain that they do not feel prepared to evaluate any Wyoming judge and 3 flatly refused to respond. The total of 747 completions brings the response rate to 49%, an increase of 2 percentage points from 2008. The results were then tallied and are presented in the tables in *Section 4. Group Results* and *Section 5. Individual Results*.

3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

The rating system used in this year's poll was a fully-balanced five point Likert scale, where 1 stands for "Strongly Disapprove", 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove", 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 for "Somewhat Approve", 5 for "Strongly Approve." Thus, mean scores can range from 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest and most favorable rating.

Attorneys were asked to rate the Wyoming Supreme Court Justices on ten distinct categories, such as *knowledge of the law* and *courteousness and politeness*. All other judges were rated on 11 categories, eight of which were also used to rate the justices. The 2010 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll report is based upon completed surveys that were submitted electronically by September 10th, 2010. Individual reports for each judicial official are based on the completed responses on each characteristic if and only if the Bar member responding had affirmed (in an answer to a previous question) that they had appeared before the respective judicial official during the past 24 months.

In the case of Supreme Court Justices, evaluations were also allowed based on reading written opinions from those Justices. Bar members were asked to report the basis for their evaluations of the justices (i.e., reading of judicial decisions, appearances before the court, or both).

Bar members were asked whether they favor or oppose the retention of a particular judge. In all cases, they were asked this question only if a particular judge was indeed standing for retention.

The table and guidelines on the following page, "Interpreting the Numeric Ratings" illustrates the summary evaluations received by a hypothetical Justice Jones and outlines suggestions for interpreting the evaluations. Interpreting the numeric ratings can be approached in two ways:

One. Looking at the average scores and how they compare to the average scores of peer judicial officials on the Wyoming Supreme Court, Federal District Court, State District Court, or Circuit Court.

Two. Looking at the percentage distributions of the responses (Strongly Disapprove, Somewhat Disapprove, Neither Approve nor Disapprove, Somewhat Approve, Strongly Approve) to each item on the survey.

Consider a situation where the average score for District Court Judges on *open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters* is 3.6 and one judge scores 3.2 and another scores 4.1 on this item. This means that the first judge scored worse on that particular item compared to the average court score, whereas the second judge was fairly above the average for the court.

GUIDELINES

Justice Jones was evaluated by 300 attorneys on *Attentiveness to arguments of counsel* and 304 on *Courteousness and politeness*. These numbers vary since each attorney may or may not have answered each rating question.

Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

SUPREME COURT	EXAMPLE							Justice Jones	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Justice Jones	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	304	80	5.4%	10.7%	30.4%	25.9%	27.7%	3.60	3.80
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	308	75	5.6%	12.0%	33.5%	17.2%	31.8%	3.58	3.23
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	311	82	7.9%	14.4%	24.0%	24.0%	29.7%	3.53	3.48
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	301	63	8.0%	16.8%	21.8%	28.6%	24.8%	3.45	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	300	81	4.6%	9.1%	29.2%	36.5%	20.5%	3.59	3.98
Courteousness and politeness.	304	88	3.7%	3.7%	31.5%	24.1%	37.0%	3.87	4.01
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	308	78	3.9%	4.8%	30.0%	20.9%	40.4%	3.89	3.77
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	303	71	4.7%	3.9%	31.5%	19.4%	40.5%	3.87	3.67
Participation in law-related professional activities.	305	69	4.7%	5.9%	33.9%	41.5%	14.0%	3.54	3.36
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	304	70	7.7%	6.8%	21.8%	28.2%	35.5%	3.77	3.54
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	301	<i>Appearance before the Court</i>						29.7%	28.8%
		<i>Written Opinion</i>						12.1%	8.9%
		<i>Both</i>						58.2%	62.3%
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	303	<i>Favor</i>						84.1%	80.9%
		<i>Oppose</i>						15.9%	19.1%

Justice Jones wants:

- An average score better (higher) than his peers for all items.
- A majority of “Strongly Approve” and “Somewhat Approve” ratings.
- Few, if any, “Strongly Disapprove” and “Somewhat Disapprove” ratings.

The scale employed uses:

- 1 for "Strongly Disapprove"
- 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove"
- 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove"
- 4 for "Somewhat Approve"
- 5 for "Strongly Approve"

Thus, average scores range from 1 to 5, where 5 equates to the highest rating. Higher average scores are better than lower scores.

The “best” rating Justice Jones received (3.89) on the ten judicial characteristics was for *How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed*. And he ranks higher than his peers (3.77) on this item.

His average score of 3.45 on *How well he is prepared for oral argument* ranks him notably below the average score of 4.10.

Judges standing for retention have an additional row indicating the percent of responding attorneys *favoring* or *opposing* their retention. Also included here is an average percentage calculated for all judges in the same court system who are up for retention.

Each Supreme Court Justice has an additional row showing what percentage of the attorneys rating that Justice based their ratings on "Appearance before the Court", "Written Opinion", or "Both." Again, included is an average percentage calculated for all justices.

4. Group Results

Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2010

Support for Judicial Officials standing for retention

	Favor	Oppose	Number Responding
Supreme Court			
Marilyn S. Kite	91.4%	8.6%	431
Barton R. Voigt	79.8%	20.2%	435
District Court			
Thomas T. C. Campbell	87.1%	12.9%	124
Michael K. Davis	98.0%	2.0%	148
Jeffrey A. Donnell	89.6%	10.4%	135
Robert E. Skar	87.6%	12.4%	89
Scott W. Skavdahl	96.0%	4.0%	126
Marvin L. Tyler	93.9%	6.1%	114
Norman E. Young	85.7%	14.3%	133
Circuit Court			
Randal R. Arp	90.9%	9.1%	22
Robert A. Castor	85.9%	14.1%	64
Roberta A. Coates	68.1%	31.9%	72
Jane Eakin	62.9%	37.1%	35
Thomas W. Harrington	96.8%	3.2%	31
Denise Nau	62.3%	37.7%	53
Michael N. Patchen	92.5%	7.5%	53
Wesley A. Roberts	87.1%	12.9%	31
Catherine R. Rogers	89.7%	10.3%	39
E. Victoria Schofield	84.4%	15.6%	32
Frank J. Zebre	100.0%	0.0%	31

Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level**Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2010****Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level (means)**

Means calculated using a 5 point scale:

(1 "Strongly Disapprove", 2 "Disapprove", 3 "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 "Approve", 5 "Strongly Approve")

	Supreme Court	Federal Court	District Court	Circuit Court	Average of All Courts
Knowledge of the law.	4.04	4.27	4.09	3.91	4.08
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	3.91	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	3.78	3.98	4.02	3.88	3.92
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	4.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	4.07	4.13	4.26	4.1	4.14
Courteousness and politeness.	4.14	4.37	4.35	4.29	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	3.92	4.09	4.08	3.98	4.02
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	4.05	3.76	4.13	4.14	4.02
Participation in law-related professional activities.	4.02	4.06	4.06	4.06	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	4.28	4.6	4.45	4.34	4.42
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	n/a	4.15	4.04	3.86	4.02
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	n/a	4.08	3.98	3.76	3.94
Preparedness for court proceedings.	n/a	4.13	4.28	4.11	4.17

5. Individual Results

Following are the results for each justice and judge, ordered by court (Supreme, Federal, District, Circuit), then by last name.

SUPREME COURT RESULTS

SUPREME COURT

E. James Burke

	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Justice Burke	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	398	166	1.8%	5.8%	14.1%	35.9%	42.5%	4.12	4.04
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	393	164	2.8%	6.9%	14.5%	34.1%	41.7%	4.05	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	383	168	3.1%	8.1%	16.7%	29.8%	42.3%	4.00	3.78
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	330	223	2.1%	3.9%	14.8%	33.6%	45.5%	4.16	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	339	215	2.4%	2.9%	11.5%	32.4%	50.7%	4.26	4.07
Courteousness and politeness.	361	184	3.0%	3.3%	10.0%	24.4%	59.3%	4.34	4.14
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	357	193	3.6%	5.3%	15.1%	35.0%	40.9%	4.04	3.92
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	324	228	2.8%	3.7%	19.8%	29.6%	44.1%	4.09	4.05
Participation in law-related professional activities.	320	233	2.5%	2.5%	13.4%	22.2%	59.4%	4.33	4.02
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	366	182	2.5%	2.2%	10.1%	21.0%	64.2%	4.42	4.28
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	426	<i>Appearance before the Court</i>						7.5%	7.2%
		<i>Written Opinion</i>						43.9%	40.8%
		<i>Both</i>						48.6%	52.1%

SUPREME COURT								Michael Golden	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Justice Golden	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	413	123	3.9%	6.1%	13.1%	31.7%	45.3%	4.08	4.04
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	412	119	4.4%	9.2%	12.6%	35.2%	38.6%	3.94	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	405	125	8.4%	13.1%	16.8%	29.1%	32.6%	3.64	3.78
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	352	176	3.4%	5.1%	15.9%	33.2%	42.3%	4.06	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	364	163	6.3%	6.6%	17.0%	28.3%	41.8%	3.93	4.07
Courteousness and politeness.	374	151	6.7%	6.4%	12.6%	27.0%	47.3%	4.02	4.14
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	372	153	4.8%	8.3%	18.0%	31.2%	37.6%	3.88	3.92
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	352	178	5.4%	5.1%	21.3%	33.2%	34.9%	3.87	4.05
Participation in law-related professional activities.	295	231	5.4%	7.1%	31.5%	26.4%	29.5%	3.67	4.02
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	379	149	4.5%	3.4%	13.2%	26.1%	52.8%	4.19	4.28
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	423	<i>Appearance before the Court</i>						8.5%	7.2%
		<i>Written Opinion</i>						39.5%	40.8%
		<i>Both</i>						52.0%	52.1%

SUPREME COURT								William U. Hill	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Justice Hill	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	400	125	6.3%	11.0%	17.3%	36.3%	29.3%	3.71	4.04
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	401	123	9.5%	13.7%	17.0%	32.9%	26.9%	3.54	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	394	127	7.4%	12.9%	18.8%	31.7%	29.2%	3.62	3.78
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	341	178	4.1%	5.6%	24.3%	32.3%	33.7%	3.86	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	346	175	4.3%	7.8%	17.1%	34.1%	36.7%	3.91	4.07
Courteousness and politeness.	364	154	2.5%	6.6%	12.6%	29.7%	48.6%	4.15	4.14
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	366	153	6.6%	9.3%	21.0%	31.4%	31.7%	3.72	3.92
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	340	179	4.7%	3.8%	24.4%	33.2%	33.8%	3.88	4.05
Participation in law-related professional activities.	310	208	2.9%	2.9%	21.9%	29.0%	43.2%	4.07	4.02
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	365	153	4.9%	1.6%	14.0%	28.5%	51.0%	4.19	4.28
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	407	<i>Appearance before the Court</i>						7.4%	7.2%
		<i>Written Opinion</i>						40.3%	40.8%
		<i>Both</i>						52.3%	52.1%

SUPREME COURT								Marilyn S. Kite	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Justice Kite	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	417	116	2.6%	3.8%	9.4%	29.3%	54.9%	4.30	4.04
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	413	114	2.4%	6.3%	10.9%	28.8%	51.6%	4.21	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	403	124	2.5%	9.2%	13.2%	27.3%	47.9%	4.09	3.78
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	354	171	1.4%	3.1%	12.4%	24.3%	58.8%	4.36	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	355	169	1.4%	3.7%	9.6%	23.4%	62.0%	4.41	4.07
Courteousness and politeness.	379	142	1.3%	2.1%	8.2%	23.2%	65.2%	4.49	4.14
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	379	145	2.1%	4.5%	15.0%	28.8%	49.6%	4.19	3.92
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	361	166	1.9%	2.2%	15.2%	25.5%	55.1%	4.30	4.05
Participation in law-related professional activities.	330	195	1.8%	3.6%	19.4%	24.5%	50.6%	4.18	4.02
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	386	141	1.6%	2.3%	9.6%	22.8%	63.7%	4.45	4.28
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	428	<i>Appearance before the Court</i>						6.5%	7.2%
		<i>Written Opinion</i>						40.4%	40.8%
		<i>Both</i>						53.0%	52.1%
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	431	<i>Favor</i>						91.4%	85.6%
		<i>Oppose</i>						8.6%	14.4%

SUPREME COURT								Barton R. Voigt	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Justice Voigt	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	417	110	4.3%	8.6%	12.0%	33.6%	41.5%	3.99	4.04
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	412	110	7.3%	10.7%	13.1%	31.3%	37.4%	3.82	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	408	115	10.3%	14.5%	15.4%	27.7%	32.1%	3.57	3.78
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	352	168	4.3%	4.3%	16.2%	30.7%	44.6%	4.07	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	356	163	6.5%	10.1%	14.9%	28.7%	39.9%	3.85	4.07
Courteousness and politeness.	371	148	8.1%	12.9%	14.6%	27.0%	37.5%	3.73	4.14
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	375	146	6.7%	11.2%	17.1%	28.3%	36.8%	3.77	3.92
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	353	166	3.4%	3.4%	18.7%	28.9%	45.6%	4.10	4.05
Participation in law-related professional activities.	311	205	5.8%	6.8%	25.7%	23.5%	38.3%	3.82	4.02
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	375	144	5.6%	2.9%	14.7%	25.3%	51.5%	4.14	4.28
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	421	<i>Appearance before the Court</i>						5.9%	7.2%
		<i>Written Opinion</i>						39.7%	40.8%
		<i>Both</i>						54.4%	52.1%
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	435	<i>Favor</i>						79.8%	85.6%
		<i>Oppose</i>						20.2%	14.4%

FEDERAL COURT RESULTS

FEDERAL COURT								Clarence A. Brimmer	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Brimmer	Federal Court
Knowledge of the law.	137	4	6.6%	10.2%	8.0%	36.5%	38.7%	3.91	4.27
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	135	4	16.3%	16.3%	14.1%	25.9%	27.4%	3.32	3.98
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	137	3	19.7%	21.2%	13.1%	19.7%	26.3%	3.12	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	135	4	7.4%	11.9%	7.4%	20.7%	52.6%	3.99	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	135	5	10.4%	13.3%	17.8%	25.9%	32.6%	3.57	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	134	5	8.2%	14.2%	17.9%	26.1%	33.6%	3.63	3.76
Participation in law-related professional activities.	104	35	7.7%	3.8%	38.5%	17.3%	32.7%	3.63	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	130	9	3.8%	2.3%	9.2%	19.2%	65.4%	4.40	4.60
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	134	5	10.4%	15.7%	14.2%	27.6%	32.1%	3.55	4.15
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	136	4	10.3%	14.0%	16.9%	30.1%	28.7%	3.53	4.08
Preparedness for court proceedings.	137	3	14.6%	20.4%	13.9%	23.4%	27.7%	3.29	4.13

FEDERAL COURT								William F. Downes	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Downes	Federal Court
Knowledge of the law.	163	1	1.2%	3.7%	4.3%	25.2%	65.6%	4.50	4.27
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	160	1	4.4%	8.8%	12.5%	26.9%	47.5%	4.04	3.98
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	162	1	1.2%	3.7%	10.5%	21.0%	63.6%	4.42	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	162	1	3.7%	8.0%	13.6%	24.1%	50.6%	4.10	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	161	3	2.5%	5.0%	11.2%	25.5%	55.9%	4.27	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	161	3	6.2%	8.7%	11.2%	29.2%	44.7%	3.98	3.76
Participation in law-related professional activities.	120	42	0.8%	3.3%	20.8%	19.2%	55.8%	4.26	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	158	6	1.3%	0.6%	5.7%	15.2%	77.2%	4.66	4.60
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	162	2	1.9%	1.9%	8.6%	25.9%	61.7%	4.44	4.15
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	162	2	3.1%	3.1%	6.2%	26.5%	61.1%	4.40	4.08
Preparedness for court proceedings.	163	1	0.6%	3.7%	8.0%	21.5%	66.3%	4.49	4.13

FEDERAL COURT								Nancy D. Freudenthal	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Freudenthal	Federal Court
Knowledge of the law.	15	5	0.0%	13.3%	33.3%	26.7%	26.7%	3.67	4.27
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	15	4	0.0%	6.7%	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%	4.07	3.98
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	16	4	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	43.8%	50.0%	4.44	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	16	4	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	18.8%	75.0%	4.69	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	15	5	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	4.00	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	15	5	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	33.3%	53.3%	4.40	3.76
Participation in law-related professional activities.	11	9	9.1%	0.0%	36.4%	18.2%	36.4%	3.73	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	16	4	6.3%	0.0%	25.0%	31.3%	37.5%	3.94	4.60
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	14	6	7.1%	0.0%	35.7%	35.7%	21.4%	3.64	4.15
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	14	6	0.0%	0.0%	35.7%	28.6%	35.7%	4.00	4.08
Preparedness for court proceedings.	15	5	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	33.3%	46.7%	4.27	4.13

FEDERAL COURT								Alan B. Johnson	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Johnson	Federal Court
Knowledge of the law.	181	0	1.7%	3.3%	8.3%	27.6%	59.1%	4.39	4.27
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	179	2	2.2%	5.0%	12.3%	21.8%	58.7%	4.30	3.98
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	179	2	0.6%	3.4%	7.3%	20.7%	68.2%	4.53	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	180	1	0.0%	1.7%	2.8%	15.0%	80.6%	4.74	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	179	3	1.1%	6.1%	12.3%	26.3%	54.2%	4.26	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	180	1	19.4%	17.8%	8.3%	18.9%	35.6%	3.33	3.76
Participation in law-related professional activities.	139	43	0.7%	2.2%	24.5%	15.1%	57.6%	4.27	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	177	5	0.6%	1.1%	6.2%	9.0%	83.1%	4.73	4.60
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	177	4	1.1%	4.0%	7.3%	29.9%	57.6%	4.39	4.15
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	180	1	2.2%	8.9%	9.4%	26.1%	53.3%	4.19	4.08
Preparedness for court proceedings.	177	4	1.7%	4.0%	12.4%	23.7%	58.2%	4.33	4.13

FEDERAL COURT								Peter J. McNiff	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge McNiff	Federal Court
Knowledge of the law.	63	0	0.0%	9.5%	11.1%	22.2%	57.1%	4.27	4.27
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	61	1	1.6%	9.8%	6.6%	19.7%	62.3%	4.31	3.98
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	62	0	0.0%	8.1%	8.1%	19.4%	64.5%	4.40	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	63	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	17.5%	76.2%	4.70	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	61	1	3.3%	8.2%	6.6%	26.2%	55.7%	4.23	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	62	1	1.6%	3.2%	6.5%	17.7%	71.0%	4.53	3.76
Participation in law-related professional activities.	38	23	0.0%	5.3%	36.8%	15.8%	42.1%	3.95	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	57	4	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	17.5%	75.4%	4.68	4.60
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	63	0	3.2%	9.5%	11.1%	27.0%	49.2%	4.10	4.15
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	63	0	3.2%	11.1%	11.1%	20.6%	54.0%	4.11	4.08
Preparedness for court proceedings.	63	0	0.0%	4.8%	7.9%	23.8%	63.5%	4.46	4.13

DISTRICT COURT RESULTS

DISTRICT COURT

Peter G. Arnold

	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Arnold	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	148	2	16.2%	22.3%	10.1%	25.7%	25.7%	3.22	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	147	3	9.5%	19.7%	14.3%	23.1%	33.3%	3.51	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	146	1	4.1%	7.5%	12.3%	31.5%	44.5%	4.05	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	147	3	3.4%	6.1%	8.2%	16.3%	66.0%	4.35	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	147	3	12.2%	14.3%	14.3%	25.9%	33.3%	3.54	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	146	3	8.2%	10.3%	18.5%	19.2%	43.8%	3.80	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	105	45	1.9%	2.9%	26.7%	23.8%	44.8%	4.07	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	140	9	4.3%	5.7%	12.9%	19.3%	57.9%	4.21	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	145	5	15.2%	20.0%	14.5%	26.2%	24.1%	3.24	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	147	3	15.6%	19.7%	15.6%	25.2%	23.8%	3.22	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	147	3	4.8%	4.8%	18.4%	32.7%	39.5%	3.97	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								John C. Brooks	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Brooks	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	129	2	3.9%	7.8%	3.1%	34.1%	51.2%	4.21	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	130	2	8.5%	4.6%	3.1%	30.8%	53.1%	4.15	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	131	1	5.3%	6.1%	6.1%	23.7%	58.8%	4.24	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	132	0	2.3%	3.8%	3.8%	22.0%	68.2%	4.50	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	129	3	5.4%	7.0%	7.8%	33.3%	46.5%	4.09	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	127	3	4.7%	6.3%	7.9%	29.1%	52.0%	4.17	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	80	51	5.0%	5.0%	32.5%	21.3%	36.3%	3.79	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	127	5	2.4%	3.1%	3.9%	20.5%	70.1%	4.53	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	128	3	6.3%	10.2%	3.1%	31.3%	49.2%	4.07	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	130	2	7.7%	8.5%	4.6%	31.5%	47.7%	4.03	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	130	2	4.6%	6.2%	7.7%	30.0%	51.5%	4.18	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Thomas T. C. Campbell		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Campbell	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	132	4	4.5%	10.6%	9.1%	30.3%	45.5%	4.02	4.09	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	138	1	6.5%	8.0%	10.9%	22.5%	52.2%	4.06	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	136	2	2.9%	2.9%	5.1%	28.7%	60.3%	4.40	4.26	
Courteousness and politeness.	138	1	2.9%	3.6%	6.5%	18.1%	68.8%	4.46	4.35	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	133	3	4.5%	10.5%	14.3%	22.6%	48.1%	3.99	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	133	2	6.8%	15.0%	15.8%	20.3%	42.1%	3.76	4.13	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	99	36	2.0%	0.0%	27.3%	24.2%	46.5%	4.13	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	132	4	3.0%	2.3%	6.8%	20.5%	67.4%	4.47	4.45	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	128	5	5.5%	8.6%	11.7%	25.0%	49.2%	4.04	4.04	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	126	7	9.5%	8.7%	11.1%	26.2%	44.4%	3.87	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	130	2	2.3%	2.3%	7.7%	33.8%	53.8%	4.35	4.28	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	124						<i>Favor</i>	87.1%	91.4%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	12.9%	8.6%	

DISTRICT COURT								Steven R. Cranfill	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Cranfill	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	112	8	18.8%	12.5%	13.4%	33.9%	21.4%	3.27	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	115	3	13.0%	7.8%	12.2%	27.8%	39.1%	3.72	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	115	4	9.6%	5.2%	6.1%	32.2%	47.0%	4.02	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	118	2	5.1%	1.7%	4.2%	20.3%	68.6%	4.46	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	117	3	14.5%	6.0%	16.2%	30.8%	32.5%	3.61	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	115	5	12.2%	5.2%	16.5%	33.0%	33.0%	3.70	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	66	54	9.1%	0.0%	24.2%	24.2%	42.4%	3.91	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	107	12	7.5%	2.8%	10.3%	29.9%	49.5%	4.11	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	113	7	21.2%	10.6%	14.2%	31.9%	22.1%	3.23	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	115	5	20.0%	12.2%	12.2%	31.3%	24.3%	3.28	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	117	3	11.1%	8.5%	11.1%	36.8%	32.5%	3.71	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Michael K. Davis		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Davis	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	149	3	0.7%	4.0%	4.0%	17.4%	73.8%	4.60	4.09	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	149	4	0.7%	2.0%	1.3%	21.5%	74.5%	4.67	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	151	2	2.0%	0.7%	2.0%	16.6%	78.8%	4.70	4.26	
Courteousness and politeness.	149	2	0.7%	0.7%	2.0%	15.4%	81.2%	4.76	4.35	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	148	3	1.4%	0.0%	4.7%	20.9%	73.0%	4.64	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	146	4	1.4%	2.7%	4.1%	22.6%	69.2%	4.55	4.13	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	100	51	1.0%	3.0%	24.0%	18.0%	54.0%	4.21	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	142	9	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	12.0%	84.5%	4.81	4.45	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	146	4	1.4%	1.4%	3.4%	21.2%	72.6%	4.62	4.04	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	147	4	2.0%	4.8%	3.4%	19.0%	70.7%	4.52	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	148	3	0.0%	2.0%	2.7%	18.9%	76.4%	4.70	4.28	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	148						<i>Favor</i>	98.0%	91.4%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	2.0%	8.6%	

DISTRICT COURT								<i>Timothy C. Day</i>	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Day	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	55	2	1.8%	0.0%	14.5%	36.4%	47.3%	4.27	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	53	2	1.9%	7.5%	9.4%	28.3%	52.8%	4.23	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	55	1	1.8%	1.8%	3.6%	30.9%	61.8%	4.49	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	55	1	1.8%	0.0%	3.6%	20.0%	74.5%	4.65	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	53	3	1.9%	0.0%	18.9%	30.2%	49.1%	4.25	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	54	2	1.9%	5.6%	9.3%	33.3%	50.0%	4.24	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	38	17	2.6%	0.0%	15.8%	18.4%	63.2%	4.39	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	52	3	1.9%	0.0%	1.9%	23.1%	73.1%	4.65	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	52	3	1.9%	3.8%	9.6%	34.6%	50.0%	4.27	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	53	3	1.9%	3.8%	13.2%	34.0%	47.2%	4.21	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	54	1	1.9%	0.0%	5.6%	31.5%	61.1%	4.50	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Michael N. Deegan	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Deegan	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	94	9	1.1%	5.3%	7.4%	38.3%	47.9%	4.27	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	97	7	3.1%	3.1%	5.2%	35.1%	53.6%	4.33	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	100	4	1.0%	1.0%	4.0%	27.0%	67.0%	4.58	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	99	4	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	21.2%	74.7%	4.67	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	97	6	2.1%	3.1%	9.3%	39.2%	46.4%	4.25	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	98	4	1.0%	3.1%	10.2%	22.4%	63.3%	4.44	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	44	58	0.0%	0.0%	40.9%	25.0%	34.1%	3.93	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	93	10	1.1%	1.1%	5.4%	20.4%	72.0%	4.61	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	93	9	2.2%	8.6%	6.5%	31.2%	51.6%	4.22	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	93	9	1.1%	6.5%	8.6%	35.5%	48.4%	4.24	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	98	4	2.0%	1.0%	7.1%	24.5%	65.3%	4.50	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Jeffrey A. Donnell	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Donnell	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	138	2	0.0%	0.7%	3.6%	26.1%	69.6%	4.64	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	139	0	3.6%	15.8%	13.7%	18.7%	48.2%	3.92	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	138	2	2.9%	5.1%	9.4%	21.0%	61.6%	4.33	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	139	0	8.6%	14.4%	10.8%	22.3%	43.9%	3.78	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	137	3	0.7%	5.8%	8.0%	24.8%	60.6%	4.39	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	136	3	0.0%	0.7%	5.1%	17.6%	76.5%	4.70	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	93	45	0.0%	4.3%	24.7%	17.2%	53.8%	4.20	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	134	5	0.7%	3.7%	7.5%	16.4%	71.6%	4.54	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	136	2	1.5%	5.1%	4.4%	20.6%	68.4%	4.49	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	137	2	0.7%	3.6%	6.6%	27.0%	62.0%	4.46	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	137	2	0.0%	1.5%	4.4%	19.7%	74.5%	4.67	4.28
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	135						<i>Favor</i>	89.6%	91.4%
							<i>Oppose</i>	10.4%	8.6%

DISTRICT COURT								John G. Fenn	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Fenn	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	115	5	0.9%	5.2%	3.5%	33.9%	56.5%	4.40	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	116	3	0.9%	7.8%	8.6%	31.9%	50.9%	4.24	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	116	3	0.0%	4.3%	7.8%	31.0%	56.9%	4.41	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	117	3	0.0%	4.3%	8.5%	28.2%	59.0%	4.42	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	117	3	0.9%	5.1%	9.4%	38.5%	46.2%	4.24	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	117	3	1.7%	2.6%	6.0%	36.8%	53.0%	4.37	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	70	50	0.0%	4.3%	25.7%	17.1%	52.9%	4.19	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	113	5	0.0%	1.8%	7.1%	18.6%	72.6%	4.62	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	112	7	0.0%	8.0%	6.3%	29.5%	56.3%	4.34	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	112	6	0.9%	6.3%	8.0%	36.6%	48.2%	4.25	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	114	5	0.0%	2.6%	7.9%	28.9%	60.5%	4.47	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								<i>Nena R. James</i>	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge James	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	87	1	13.8%	24.1%	12.6%	33.3%	16.1%	3.14	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	87	2	13.8%	21.8%	19.5%	25.3%	19.5%	3.15	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	85	4	11.8%	10.6%	12.9%	41.2%	23.5%	3.54	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	86	2	9.3%	10.5%	5.8%	38.4%	36.0%	3.81	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	84	4	7.1%	23.8%	20.2%	27.4%	21.4%	3.32	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	83	5	16.9%	16.9%	20.5%	28.9%	16.9%	3.12	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	52	35	9.6%	9.6%	34.6%	26.9%	19.2%	3.37	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	80	7	10.0%	8.8%	15.0%	23.8%	42.5%	3.80	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	83	4	15.7%	22.9%	13.3%	30.1%	18.1%	3.12	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	84	3	17.9%	21.4%	15.5%	25.0%	20.2%	3.08	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	82	5	9.8%	17.1%	20.7%	29.3%	23.2%	3.39	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Keith G. Kautz	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Kautz	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	113	5	4.4%	1.8%	5.3%	43.4%	45.1%	4.23	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	112	4	4.5%	7.1%	14.3%	20.5%	53.6%	4.12	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	112	5	2.7%	2.7%	8.0%	33.9%	52.7%	4.31	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	115	3	1.7%	4.3%	5.2%	21.7%	67.0%	4.48	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	112	5	4.5%	3.6%	6.3%	35.7%	50.0%	4.23	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	108	10	1.9%	3.7%	9.3%	25.9%	59.3%	4.37	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	69	47	1.4%	0.0%	23.2%	15.9%	59.4%	4.32	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	106	11	0.9%	0.0%	9.4%	23.6%	66.0%	4.54	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	113	5	3.5%	4.4%	8.0%	33.6%	50.4%	4.23	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	113	5	4.4%	5.3%	8.8%	33.6%	47.8%	4.15	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	113	5	0.9%	0.9%	8.0%	31.0%	59.3%	4.47	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								David B. Park	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Park	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	110	1	9.1%	16.4%	14.5%	29.1%	30.9%	3.56	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	110	1	19.1%	10.0%	12.7%	22.7%	35.5%	3.45	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	109	0	15.6%	8.3%	8.3%	27.5%	40.4%	3.69	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	110	0	10.9%	10.9%	8.2%	26.4%	43.6%	3.81	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	109	1	11.9%	15.6%	12.8%	23.9%	35.8%	3.56	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	109	2	8.3%	7.3%	14.7%	26.6%	43.1%	3.89	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	57	54	10.5%	8.8%	35.1%	15.8%	29.8%	3.46	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	107	4	8.4%	4.7%	10.3%	24.3%	52.3%	4.07	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	110	1	13.6%	12.7%	10.9%	27.3%	35.5%	3.58	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	110	1	14.5%	21.8%	13.6%	18.2%	31.8%	3.31	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	110	0	9.1%	7.3%	10.0%	31.8%	41.8%	3.90	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								John R. Perry	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Perry	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	102	4	2.0%	5.9%	7.8%	32.4%	52.0%	4.26	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	104	2	2.9%	7.7%	7.7%	37.5%	44.2%	4.13	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	104	2	1.0%	2.9%	7.7%	28.8%	59.6%	4.43	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	103	2	1.9%	1.0%	2.9%	28.2%	66.0%	4.55	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	103	3	1.9%	4.9%	6.8%	35.9%	50.5%	4.28	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	102	4	4.9%	5.9%	8.8%	25.5%	54.9%	4.20	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	54	51	1.9%	0.0%	20.4%	20.4%	57.4%	4.31	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	98	7	2.0%	0.0%	4.1%	27.6%	66.3%	4.56	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	100	6	2.0%	9.0%	5.0%	36.0%	48.0%	4.19	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	102	3	3.9%	8.8%	6.9%	31.4%	49.0%	4.13	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	103	3	1.9%	5.8%	5.8%	31.1%	55.3%	4.32	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Dan R. Price, II	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Price	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	98	1	4.1%	2.0%	4.1%	34.7%	55.1%	4.35	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	96	1	7.3%	5.2%	11.5%	35.4%	40.6%	3.97	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	97	2	5.2%	8.2%	7.2%	30.9%	48.5%	4.09	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	97	2	8.2%	12.4%	9.3%	32.0%	38.1%	3.79	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	96	1	7.3%	3.1%	8.3%	34.4%	46.9%	4.10	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	96	2	9.4%	4.2%	8.3%	30.2%	47.9%	4.03	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	46	52	4.3%	2.2%	30.4%	17.4%	45.7%	3.98	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	91	7	5.5%	1.1%	4.4%	25.3%	63.7%	4.41	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	97	2	5.2%	7.2%	4.1%	36.1%	47.4%	4.13	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	98	1	7.1%	5.1%	5.1%	34.7%	48.0%	4.11	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	95	2	4.2%	2.1%	5.3%	29.5%	58.9%	4.37	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Jere A. Ryckman	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Ryckman	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	84	3	1.2%	4.8%	6.0%	44.0%	44.0%	4.25	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	83	4	3.6%	6.0%	9.6%	39.8%	41.0%	4.08	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	84	0	2.4%	4.8%	7.1%	36.9%	48.8%	4.25	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	86	0	1.2%	3.5%	7.0%	32.6%	55.8%	4.38	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	86	1	1.2%	7.0%	9.3%	41.9%	40.7%	4.14	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	87	0	3.4%	4.6%	11.5%	29.9%	50.6%	4.20	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	47	40	2.1%	2.1%	34.0%	27.7%	34.0%	3.89	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	81	6	2.5%	2.5%	12.3%	18.5%	64.2%	4.40	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	84	3	1.2%	4.8%	8.3%	44.0%	41.7%	4.20	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	82	5	2.4%	4.9%	4.9%	50.0%	37.8%	4.16	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	85	2	1.2%	2.4%	10.6%	36.5%	49.4%	4.31	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Dennis L. Sanderson	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Sanderson	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	91	2	4.4%	18.7%	12.1%	41.8%	23.1%	3.60	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	91	2	13.2%	14.3%	20.9%	24.2%	27.5%	3.38	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	92	2	9.8%	19.6%	14.1%	26.1%	30.4%	3.48	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	93	1	15.1%	8.6%	14.0%	32.3%	30.1%	3.54	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	90	2	8.9%	15.6%	17.8%	33.3%	24.4%	3.49	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	91	2	16.5%	18.7%	16.5%	29.7%	18.7%	3.15	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	53	40	5.7%	0.0%	49.1%	32.1%	13.2%	3.47	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	80	13	6.3%	7.5%	10.0%	27.5%	48.8%	4.05	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	89	2	7.9%	19.1%	14.6%	32.6%	25.8%	3.49	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	88	4	12.5%	22.7%	12.5%	29.5%	22.7%	3.27	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	88	3	11.4%	25.0%	13.6%	29.5%	20.5%	3.23	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Robert E. Skar		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Skar	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	93	2	3.2%	6.5%	4.3%	32.3%	53.8%	4.27	4.09	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	94	1	5.3%	8.5%	10.6%	26.6%	48.9%	4.05	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	92	2	2.2%	8.7%	7.6%	25.0%	56.5%	4.25	4.26	
Courteousness and politeness.	93	1	5.4%	8.6%	5.4%	29.0%	51.6%	4.13	4.35	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	92	2	1.1%	3.3%	13.0%	34.8%	47.8%	4.25	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	92	2	3.3%	4.3%	6.5%	34.8%	51.1%	4.26	4.13	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	54	41	0.0%	0.0%	20.4%	31.5%	48.1%	4.28	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	87	6	2.3%	2.3%	9.2%	21.8%	64.4%	4.44	4.45	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	91	3	5.5%	8.8%	3.3%	29.7%	52.7%	4.15	4.04	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	92	2	3.3%	8.7%	8.7%	31.5%	47.8%	4.12	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	91	3	1.1%	4.4%	8.8%	27.5%	58.2%	4.37	4.28	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	89						<i>Favor</i>	87.6%	91.4%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	12.4%	8.6%	

DISTRICT COURT								Scott W. Skavdahl		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Skavdahl	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	130	1	1.5%	0.0%	3.8%	18.5%	76.2%	4.68	4.09	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	127	3	2.4%	3.9%	5.5%	20.5%	67.7%	4.47	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	130	1	1.5%	2.3%	3.8%	18.5%	73.8%	4.61	4.26	
Courteousness and politeness.	130	1	1.5%	0.8%	1.5%	19.2%	76.9%	4.69	4.35	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	128	3	2.3%	0.0%	6.3%	19.5%	71.9%	4.59	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	127	3	1.6%	0.0%	2.4%	20.5%	75.6%	4.69	4.13	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	87	44	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	21.8%	63.2%	4.48	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	126	5	0.0%	0.8%	2.4%	18.3%	78.6%	4.75	4.45	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	129	2	1.6%	1.6%	5.4%	19.4%	72.1%	4.59	4.04	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	128	2	3.1%	0.8%	3.1%	18.0%	75.0%	4.61	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	129	2	0.8%	0.0%	1.6%	18.6%	79.1%	4.75	4.28	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	126						<i>Favor</i>	96.0%	91.4%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	4.0%	8.6%	

DISTRICT COURT								W. Thomas Sullins	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Sullins	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	100	2	2.0%	8.0%	9.0%	23.0%	58.0%	4.27	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	100	2	7.0%	5.0%	6.0%	31.0%	51.0%	4.14	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	102	1	2.9%	2.9%	4.9%	26.5%	62.7%	4.43	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	100	1	2.0%	3.0%	2.0%	17.0%	76.0%	4.62	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	100	2	3.0%	8.0%	10.0%	29.0%	50.0%	4.15	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	102	0	3.9%	8.8%	6.9%	24.5%	55.9%	4.20	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	64	37	1.6%	3.1%	20.3%	23.4%	51.6%	4.20	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	99	2	3.0%	3.0%	5.1%	16.2%	72.7%	4.53	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	100	2	4.0%	9.0%	5.0%	27.0%	55.0%	4.20	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	99	3	4.0%	10.1%	7.1%	25.3%	53.5%	4.14	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	101	1	1.0%	3.0%	6.9%	28.7%	60.4%	4.45	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Marvin L. Tyler	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Tyler	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	113	3	2.7%	7.1%	9.7%	32.7%	47.8%	4.16	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	113	3	1.8%	4.4%	8.8%	30.1%	54.9%	4.32	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	115	2	0.9%	1.7%	8.7%	21.7%	67.0%	4.52	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	116	1	0.0%	0.9%	3.4%	20.7%	75.0%	4.70	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	111	5	1.8%	5.4%	11.7%	35.1%	45.9%	4.18	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	114	2	4.4%	6.1%	7.9%	34.2%	47.4%	4.14	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	53	63	0.0%	0.0%	37.7%	24.5%	37.7%	4.00	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	102	13	1.0%	0.0%	4.9%	17.6%	76.5%	4.69	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	110	5	3.6%	5.5%	7.3%	38.2%	45.5%	4.16	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	111	5	3.6%	6.3%	9.0%	33.3%	47.7%	4.15	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	114	2	0.9%	3.5%	6.1%	25.4%	64.0%	4.48	4.28
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	114						<i>Favor</i>	93.9%	91.4%
							<i>Oppose</i>	6.1%	8.6%

DISTRICT COURT								Wade E. Waldrip	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Waldrip	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	157	3	4.5%	5.1%	10.2%	40.1%	40.1%	4.06	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	158	2	7.0%	9.5%	7.6%	27.2%	48.7%	4.01	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	158	2	4.4%	5.1%	8.9%	25.3%	56.3%	4.24	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	159	1	5.7%	5.0%	7.5%	19.5%	62.3%	4.28	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	155	3	4.5%	4.5%	12.3%	31.0%	47.7%	4.13	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	155	5	2.6%	1.9%	9.0%	32.9%	53.5%	4.33	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	93	66	4.3%	0.0%	28.0%	24.7%	43.0%	4.02	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	154	6	5.2%	3.9%	3.2%	21.4%	66.2%	4.40	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	155	5	5.8%	5.8%	9.0%	37.4%	41.9%	4.04	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	152	8	5.3%	7.2%	11.2%	35.5%	40.8%	3.99	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	154	6	2.6%	3.2%	9.7%	31.8%	52.6%	4.29	4.28

DISTRICT COURT								Norman E. Young	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Young	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	139	3	5.8%	6.5%	8.6%	30.2%	48.9%	4.10	4.09
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	140	2	6.4%	5.7%	10.0%	25.7%	52.1%	4.11	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	139	2	4.3%	3.6%	7.9%	24.5%	59.7%	4.32	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	141	2	2.8%	2.1%	7.8%	19.1%	68.1%	4.48	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	135	6	4.4%	5.9%	11.1%	28.9%	49.6%	4.13	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	137	5	5.8%	8.8%	13.1%	24.8%	47.4%	3.99	4.13
Participation in law-related professional activities.	74	66	1.4%	0.0%	33.8%	12.2%	52.7%	4.15	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	137	5	2.9%	2.2%	10.2%	13.9%	70.8%	4.47	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	136	3	5.9%	6.6%	11.0%	27.2%	49.3%	4.07	4.04
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	136	4	8.8%	6.6%	8.1%	30.1%	46.3%	3.99	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	136	5	4.4%	5.1%	8.1%	26.5%	55.9%	4.24	4.28
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	133						<i>Favor</i>	85.7%	91.4%
							<i>Oppose</i>	14.3%	8.6%

CIRCUIT COURT RESULTS

CIRCUIT COURT

Randal R. Arp

	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Arp	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	23	0	0.0%	4.3%	8.7%	47.8%	39.1%	4.22	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	23	0	0.0%	4.3%	4.3%	34.8%	56.5%	4.43	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	23	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.4%	69.6%	4.70	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	23	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	30.4%	65.2%	4.61	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	23	0	0.0%	4.3%	13.0%	34.8%	47.8%	4.26	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	23	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	30.4%	65.2%	4.61	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	15	8	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	33.3%	40.0%	4.13	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	22	1	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	18.2%	77.3%	4.73	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	22	1	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	63.6%	31.8%	4.23	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	23	0	0.0%	4.3%	21.7%	30.4%	43.5%	4.13	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	23	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	21.7%	73.9%	4.70	4.11
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	22						<i>Favor</i>	90.9%	81.6%
							<i>Oppose</i>	9.1%	18.4%

CIRCUIT COURT								H. Steven Brown	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Brown	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	49	0	10.2%	16.3%	12.2%	34.7%	26.5%	3.51	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	49	0	10.2%	10.2%	14.3%	24.5%	40.8%	3.76	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	49	0	8.2%	8.2%	8.2%	28.6%	46.9%	3.98	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	49	0	0.0%	2.0%	4.1%	22.4%	71.4%	4.63	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	49	0	4.1%	24.5%	10.2%	18.4%	42.9%	3.71	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	48	0	0.0%	4.2%	10.4%	33.3%	52.1%	4.33	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	29	20	0.0%	3.4%	17.2%	24.1%	55.2%	4.31	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	47	2	0.0%	4.3%	8.5%	23.4%	63.8%	4.47	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	49	0	8.2%	22.4%	14.3%	20.4%	34.7%	3.51	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	49	0	10.2%	20.4%	16.3%	24.5%	28.6%	3.41	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	48	1	4.2%	10.4%	25.0%	22.9%	37.5%	3.79	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								I. Vincent Case	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Case	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	22	1	4.5%	13.6%	4.5%	31.8%	45.5%	4.00	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	22	1	9.1%	18.2%	13.6%	27.3%	31.8%	3.55	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	22	1	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	45.5%	3.91	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	22	1	4.5%	13.6%	18.2%	13.6%	50.0%	3.91	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	22	1	4.5%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	40.9%	3.82	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	21	2	0.0%	23.8%	19.0%	19.0%	38.1%	3.71	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	13	10	0.0%	0.0%	61.5%	7.7%	30.8%	3.69	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	21	2	0.0%	9.5%	14.3%	33.3%	42.9%	4.10	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	22	1	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	36.4%	36.4%	3.91	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	22	1	4.5%	22.7%	9.1%	31.8%	31.8%	3.64	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	22	1	4.5%	18.2%	22.7%	22.7%	31.8%	3.59	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Robert A. Castor	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Castor	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	63	1	4.8%	6.3%	7.9%	36.5%	44.4%	4.10	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	63	1	6.3%	12.7%	6.3%	23.8%	50.8%	4.00	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	62	2	4.8%	6.5%	12.9%	19.4%	56.5%	4.16	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	63	1	4.8%	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	61.9%	4.29	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	62	2	4.8%	3.2%	14.5%	27.4%	50.0%	4.15	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	63	1	4.8%	0.0%	12.7%	27.0%	55.6%	4.29	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	43	21	4.7%	0.0%	20.9%	20.9%	53.5%	4.19	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	60	4	3.3%	6.7%	10.0%	16.7%	63.3%	4.30	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	63	1	6.3%	1.6%	12.7%	33.3%	46.0%	4.11	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	62	2	4.8%	9.7%	9.7%	32.3%	43.5%	4.00	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	62	2	3.2%	0.0%	12.9%	30.6%	53.2%	4.31	4.11
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	64						<i>Favor</i>	85.9%	81.6%
							<i>Oppose</i>	14.1%	18.4%

CIRCUIT COURT								Roberta A. Coates	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Coates	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	72	1	15.3%	23.6%	18.1%	20.8%	22.2%	3.11	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	73	0	5.5%	15.1%	16.4%	20.5%	42.5%	3.79	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	73	0	5.5%	4.1%	16.4%	24.7%	49.3%	4.08	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	70	0	4.3%	1.4%	7.1%	25.7%	61.4%	4.39	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	72	1	9.7%	12.5%	25.0%	23.6%	29.2%	3.50	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	69	4	4.3%	4.3%	10.1%	29.0%	52.2%	4.20	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	47	26	2.1%	0.0%	17.0%	29.8%	51.1%	4.28	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	69	4	1.4%	2.9%	10.1%	18.8%	66.7%	4.46	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	73	0	13.7%	24.7%	13.7%	19.2%	28.8%	3.25	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	71	2	15.5%	22.5%	14.1%	23.9%	23.9%	3.18	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	73	0	5.5%	11.0%	19.2%	27.4%	37.0%	3.79	4.11
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	72						<i>Favor</i>	68.1%	81.6%
							<i>Oppose</i>	31.9%	18.4%

CIRCUIT COURT								Robert B. Denhardt	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Denhardt	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	24	0	0.0%	8.3%	8.3%	54.2%	29.2%	4.04	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	24	0	0.0%	8.3%	12.5%	41.7%	37.5%	4.08	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	23	0	0.0%	8.7%	21.7%	30.4%	39.1%	4.00	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	24	0	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	41.7%	41.7%	4.25	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	24	0	0.0%	4.2%	16.7%	45.8%	33.3%	4.08	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	24	0	0.0%	8.3%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	3.92	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	17	7	0.0%	5.9%	35.3%	29.4%	29.4%	3.82	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	24	0	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	4.33	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	23	0	0.0%	8.7%	8.7%	56.5%	26.1%	4.00	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	24	0	0.0%	8.3%	20.8%	41.7%	29.2%	3.92	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	24	0	0.0%	4.2%	20.8%	37.5%	37.5%	4.08	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Fred R. Dollison	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Dollison	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	33	3	0.0%	15.2%	6.1%	36.4%	42.4%	4.06	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	35	1	8.6%	2.9%	11.4%	34.3%	42.9%	4.00	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	36	0	2.8%	2.8%	8.3%	38.9%	47.2%	4.25	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	36	0	0.0%	2.8%	11.1%	38.9%	47.2%	4.31	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	35	1	2.9%	0.0%	5.7%	42.9%	48.6%	4.34	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	33	3	0.0%	6.1%	9.1%	27.3%	57.6%	4.36	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	17	19	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	52.9%	41.2%	4.35	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	4	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%	4.34	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	33	3	3.0%	9.1%	12.1%	36.4%	39.4%	4.00	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	33	3	3.0%	15.2%	9.1%	30.3%	42.4%	3.94	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	35	1	0.0%	2.9%	5.7%	45.7%	45.7%	4.34	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Jane Eakin	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Eakin	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	36	1	11.1%	13.9%	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	3.47	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	36	1	16.7%	11.1%	22.2%	19.4%	30.6%	3.36	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	36	1	13.9%	8.3%	13.9%	25.0%	38.9%	3.67	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	36	1	2.8%	11.1%	11.1%	25.0%	50.0%	4.08	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	36	1	5.6%	11.1%	36.1%	19.4%	27.8%	3.53	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	36	1	5.6%	8.3%	22.2%	27.8%	36.1%	3.81	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	16	0.0%	19.0%	47.6%	14.3%	19.0%	3.33	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	2	8.8%	8.8%	29.4%	8.8%	44.1%	3.71	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	36	1	16.7%	13.9%	16.7%	25.0%	27.8%	3.33	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	3	14.7%	17.6%	17.6%	20.6%	29.4%	3.32	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	36	1	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	33.3%	33.3%	3.83	4.11
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	35						<i>Favor</i>	62.9%	81.6%
							<i>Oppose</i>	37.1%	18.4%

CIRCUIT COURT								Daniel L. Forgey	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Forgey	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	33	0	0.0%	9.1%	9.1%	39.4%	42.4%	4.15	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	33	0	6.1%	18.2%	9.1%	33.3%	33.3%	3.70	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	0	3.0%	12.1%	0.0%	30.3%	54.5%	4.21	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	33	0	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	27.3%	66.7%	4.52	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	33	0	6.1%	9.1%	9.1%	30.3%	45.5%	4.00	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	33	0	6.1%	15.2%	9.1%	24.2%	45.5%	3.88	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	12	4.8%	0.0%	33.3%	23.8%	38.1%	3.90	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	2	3.2%	0.0%	6.5%	25.8%	64.5%	4.48	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	33	0	6.1%	9.1%	9.1%	36.4%	39.4%	3.94	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	32	1	3.1%	15.6%	15.6%	28.1%	37.5%	3.81	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	33	0	3.0%	3.0%	6.1%	36.4%	51.5%	4.30	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Michael L. Greer	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Greer	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	18	1	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	33.3%	55.6%	4.44	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	18	1	0.0%	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	55.6%	4.39	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	18	1	0.0%	5.6%	11.1%	27.8%	55.6%	4.33	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	18	1	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	22.2%	72.2%	4.67	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	18	1	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	4.33	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	18	1	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	27.8%	55.6%	4.39	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	12	7	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	25.0%	58.3%	4.42	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	18	1	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	66.7%	4.56	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	18	1	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	38.9%	50.0%	4.39	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	17	2	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	29.4%	52.9%	4.35	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	18	1	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	11.1%	66.7%	4.44	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Thomas W. Harrington		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Harrington	Circuit Court	
Knowledge of the law.	32	1	3.1%	3.1%	12.5%	40.6%	40.6%	4.13	3.91	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	1	3.1%	0.0%	3.1%	37.5%	56.3%	4.44	3.88	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	1	3.1%	0.0%	3.1%	34.4%	59.4%	4.47	4.10	
Courteousness and politeness.	32	1	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	84.4%	4.75	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	32	0	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	46.9%	43.8%	4.25	3.98	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	31	2	3.2%	0.0%	3.2%	35.5%	58.1%	4.45	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	17	15	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	17.6%	58.8%	4.35	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	2	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	90.3%	4.81	4.34	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	32	1	3.1%	0.0%	9.4%	46.9%	40.6%	4.22	3.86	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	33	0	3.0%	12.1%	9.1%	36.4%	39.4%	3.97	3.76	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	1	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	40.6%	50.0%	4.31	4.11	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	31						<i>Favor</i>	96.8%	81.6%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	3.2%	18.4%	

CIRCUIT COURT								Curt A. Haws	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Haws	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	37	1	0.0%	2.7%	16.2%	21.6%	59.5%	4.38	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	38	0	0.0%	2.6%	18.4%	15.8%	63.2%	4.39	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	38	0	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	21.1%	68.4%	4.58	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	38	0	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	7.9%	89.5%	4.87	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	38	0	0.0%	7.9%	10.5%	23.7%	57.9%	4.32	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	36	1	0.0%	5.6%	11.1%	16.7%	66.7%	4.44	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	16	0.0%	0.0%	31.8%	18.2%	50.0%	4.18	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	37	1	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	13.5%	78.4%	4.70	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	38	0	2.6%	5.3%	2.6%	34.2%	55.3%	4.34	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	38	0	0.0%	10.5%	15.8%	18.4%	55.3%	4.18	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	38	0	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	18.4%	71.1%	4.61	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Michael E. Huber	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Huber	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	54	0	13.0%	13.0%	13.0%	31.5%	29.6%	3.52	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	53	1	11.3%	11.3%	13.2%	30.2%	34.0%	3.64	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	54	0	9.3%	13.0%	13.0%	35.2%	29.6%	3.63	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	54	0	1.9%	14.8%	7.4%	35.2%	40.7%	3.98	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	53	1	7.5%	15.1%	15.1%	28.3%	34.0%	3.66	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	52	2	7.7%	17.3%	19.2%	23.1%	32.7%	3.56	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	33	21	3.0%	6.1%	27.3%	18.2%	45.5%	3.97	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	51	1	3.9%	9.8%	11.8%	23.5%	51.0%	4.08	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	54	0	14.8%	9.3%	14.8%	33.3%	27.8%	3.50	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	54	0	13.0%	14.8%	18.5%	31.5%	22.2%	3.35	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	54	0	11.1%	11.1%	24.1%	31.5%	22.2%	3.43	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Denise Nau		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Nau	Circuit Court	
Knowledge of the law.	53	0	15.1%	5.7%	22.6%	28.3%	28.3%	3.49	3.91	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	53	0	20.8%	18.9%	17.0%	22.6%	20.8%	3.04	3.88	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	53	0	20.8%	13.2%	15.1%	22.6%	28.3%	3.25	4.10	
Courteousness and politeness.	53	0	22.6%	13.2%	20.8%	24.5%	18.9%	3.04	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	51	1	15.7%	9.8%	19.6%	23.5%	31.4%	3.45	3.98	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	51	2	7.8%	5.9%	23.5%	19.6%	43.1%	3.84	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	30	23	13.3%	3.3%	33.3%	6.7%	43.3%	3.63	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	50	3	16.0%	6.0%	28.0%	18.0%	32.0%	3.44	4.34	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	53	0	20.8%	7.5%	15.1%	22.6%	34.0%	3.42	3.86	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	53	0	20.8%	9.4%	22.6%	18.9%	28.3%	3.25	3.76	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	53	0	13.2%	7.5%	18.9%	18.9%	41.5%	3.68	4.11	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	53						<i>Favor</i>	62.3%	81.6%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	37.7%	18.4%	

CIRCUIT COURT								Michael N. Patchen		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Patchen	Circuit Court	
Knowledge of the law.	57	1	1.8%	8.8%	14.0%	36.8%	38.6%	4.02	3.91	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	56	2	3.6%	7.1%	17.9%	30.4%	41.1%	3.98	3.88	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	57	1	1.8%	3.5%	7.0%	35.1%	52.6%	4.33	4.10	
Courteousness and politeness.	57	1	1.8%	1.8%	5.3%	29.8%	61.4%	4.47	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	54	4	1.9%	5.6%	16.7%	33.3%	42.6%	4.09	3.98	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	54	3	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	31.5%	51.9%	4.35	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	31	27	0.0%	3.2%	25.8%	25.8%	45.2%	4.13	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	53	4	0.0%	1.9%	13.2%	20.8%	64.2%	4.47	4.34	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	56	2	1.8%	10.7%	14.3%	41.1%	32.1%	3.91	3.86	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	56	2	3.6%	16.1%	10.7%	33.9%	35.7%	3.82	3.76	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	57	1	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	26.3%	59.6%	4.46	4.11	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	53						<i>Favor</i>	92.5%	81.6%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	7.5%	18.4%	

CIRCUIT COURT								J. John Sampson	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Sampson	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	51	0	2.0%	5.9%	3.9%	51.0%	37.3%	4.16	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	51	0	3.9%	7.8%	7.8%	37.3%	43.1%	4.08	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	51	0	2.0%	5.9%	7.8%	29.4%	54.9%	4.29	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	49	0	0.0%	4.1%	6.1%	20.4%	69.4%	4.55	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	51	0	2.0%	2.0%	15.7%	31.4%	49.0%	4.24	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	51	0	2.0%	3.9%	11.8%	37.3%	45.1%	4.20	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	29	22	3.4%	3.4%	31.0%	34.5%	27.6%	3.79	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	50	1	2.0%	2.0%	12.0%	26.0%	58.0%	4.36	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	51	0	2.0%	2.0%	11.8%	45.1%	39.2%	4.18	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	51	0	2.0%	7.8%	15.7%	43.1%	31.4%	3.94	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	51	0	2.0%	5.9%	15.7%	31.4%	45.1%	4.12	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Wesley A. Roberts		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Roberts	Circuit Court	
Knowledge of the law.	31	0	0.0%	3.2%	6.5%	35.5%	54.8%	4.42	3.91	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	0	0.0%	21.9%	6.3%	25.0%	46.9%	3.97	3.88	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	0	3.1%	6.3%	3.1%	25.0%	62.5%	4.38	4.10	
Courteousness and politeness.	32	0	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%	15.6%	71.9%	4.53	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	0	0.0%	3.2%	9.7%	25.8%	61.3%	4.45	3.98	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	31	0	3.2%	6.5%	12.9%	22.6%	54.8%	4.19	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	20	11	0.0%	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	65.0%	4.50	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	0	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	16.1%	77.4%	4.65	4.34	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	0	0.0%	3.3%	6.7%	30.0%	60.0%	4.47	3.86	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	30	0	0.0%	10.0%	6.7%	26.7%	56.7%	4.30	3.76	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	30	0	0.0%	3.3%	6.7%	23.3%	66.7%	4.53	4.11	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	31						<i>Favor</i>	87.1%	81.6%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	12.9%	18.4%	

CIRCUIT COURT								Catherine R. Rogers		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Rogers	Circuit Court	
Knowledge of the law.	38	1	0.0%	7.9%	7.9%	50.0%	34.2%	4.11	3.91	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	38	1	0.0%	7.9%	13.2%	36.8%	42.1%	4.13	3.88	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	38	1	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	39.5%	52.6%	4.45	4.10	
Courteousness and politeness.	37	1	0.0%	2.7%	8.1%	27.0%	62.2%	4.49	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	38	1	0.0%	7.9%	15.8%	42.1%	34.2%	4.03	3.98	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	37	2	0.0%	0.0%	8.1%	32.4%	59.5%	4.51	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	16	0.0%	4.3%	21.7%	21.7%	52.2%	4.22	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	35	4	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	25.7%	71.4%	4.69	4.34	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	38	1	0.0%	13.2%	10.5%	44.7%	31.6%	3.95	3.86	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	38	1	0.0%	10.5%	13.2%	44.7%	31.6%	3.97	3.76	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	38	1	0.0%	2.6%	5.3%	44.7%	47.4%	4.37	4.11	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	39						<i>Favor</i>	89.7%	81.6%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	10.3%	18.4%	

CIRCUIT COURT								E. Victoria Schofield		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Schofield	Circuit Court	
Knowledge of the law.	30	2	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	46.7%	4.00	3.91	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	31	1	9.7%	0.0%	16.1%	32.3%	41.9%	3.97	3.88	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	0	9.4%	3.1%	6.3%	25.0%	56.3%	4.16	4.10	
Courteousness and politeness.	32	0	6.3%	3.1%	6.3%	21.9%	62.5%	4.31	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	30	2	6.7%	3.3%	20.0%	23.3%	46.7%	4.00	3.98	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	30	2	13.3%	16.7%	16.7%	20.0%	33.3%	3.43	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	10	8.7%	4.3%	21.7%	30.4%	34.8%	3.78	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	30	3	10.0%	3.3%	13.3%	16.7%	56.7%	4.07	4.34	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	31	1	6.5%	6.5%	16.1%	25.8%	45.2%	3.97	3.86	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	30	2	10.0%	6.7%	20.0%	20.0%	43.3%	3.80	3.76	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	0	9.4%	12.5%	18.8%	18.8%	40.6%	3.69	4.11	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	32						<i>Favor</i>	84.4%	81.6%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	15.6%	18.4%	

CIRCUIT COURT								Terrill R. Tharp	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Tharp	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	38	1	10.5%	5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	36.8%	3.84	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	37	2	10.8%	16.2%	13.5%	24.3%	35.1%	3.57	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	37	2	16.2%	2.7%	8.1%	32.4%	40.5%	3.78	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	38	1	15.8%	2.6%	7.9%	31.6%	42.1%	3.82	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	37	1	8.1%	5.4%	10.8%	40.5%	35.1%	3.89	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	37	2	8.1%	5.4%	8.1%	32.4%	45.9%	4.03	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	15	23	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	53.3%	4.00	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	5	8.8%	0.0%	5.9%	26.5%	58.8%	4.26	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	37	2	16.2%	5.4%	5.4%	43.2%	29.7%	3.65	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	36	3	16.7%	8.3%	11.1%	30.6%	33.3%	3.56	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	38	1	5.3%	2.6%	10.5%	39.5%	42.1%	4.11	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Bruce B. Waters	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores	
								Judge Waters	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	39	0	2.6%	12.8%	10.3%	41.0%	33.3%	3.90	3.91
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	39	0	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	25.6%	35.9%	3.67	3.88
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	39	0	5.1%	15.4%	15.4%	20.5%	43.6%	3.82	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	39	0	10.3%	5.1%	10.3%	33.3%	41.0%	3.90	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	39	0	5.1%	12.8%	15.4%	30.8%	35.9%	3.79	3.98
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	39	0	5.1%	5.1%	23.1%	30.8%	35.9%	3.87	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	25	14	4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	24.0%	36.0%	3.84	4.06
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	35	4	2.9%	11.4%	8.6%	22.9%	54.3%	4.14	4.34
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	39	0	10.3%	10.3%	15.4%	28.2%	35.9%	3.69	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	37	1	8.1%	13.5%	10.8%	32.4%	35.1%	3.73	3.76
Preparedness for court proceedings.	39	0	5.1%	7.7%	23.1%	20.5%	43.6%	3.90	4.11

CIRCUIT COURT								Frank J. Zebre		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scores		
								Judge Zebre	Circuit Court	
Knowledge of the law.	31	1	0.0%	3.2%	3.2%	9.7%	83.9%	4.74	3.91	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	31	1	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	25.8%	64.5%	4.45	3.88	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	31	1	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	12.9%	80.6%	4.68	4.10	
Courteousness and politeness.	32	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	18.8%	78.1%	4.75	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	1	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	12.9%	83.9%	4.77	3.98	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	31	1	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	19.4%	77.4%	4.74	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	16	16	0.0%	0.0%	18.8%	25.0%	56.3%	4.38	4.06	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	28	4	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	14.3%	82.1%	4.75	4.34	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	31	1	3.2%	0.0%	6.5%	9.7%	80.6%	4.65	3.86	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	31	1	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	22.6%	74.2%	4.65	3.76	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	31	1	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	12.9%	83.9%	4.81	4.11	
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	31						<i>Favor</i>	100.0%	81.6%	
							<i>Oppose</i>	0.0%	18.4%	

6. Questionnaire

A copy of the questionnaire is attached.

Welcome to the 2010 Judicial Advisory Poll

The Judicial Advisory Poll is administered every two years by the Wyoming State Bar as a service to Wyoming voters. A goal of the poll is to provide judges with constructive feedback from the legal community.

You will be asked to evaluate each judge and justice **before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months**. You may also evaluate Supreme Court Justices on the basis of having read their written opinions. For each judge who will stand for retention in November, you will be asked to indicate whether you favor or oppose their retention.

Finally, if you rate a judge particularly high or low, please include your comments explaining that rating. All written comments will remain completely confidential.

If you choose not to evaluate a particular justice or judge, you may leave their page blank and skip over to the next page by clicking the "continue" button.

First, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **Wyoming Supreme Court Justices** on the following items.

WYOMING SUPREME COURT

- E. James Burke
- Michael Golden
- William U. Hill
- Marilyn S. Kite
- Barton R. Voigt

JUSTICE: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.						
3. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
4. How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.						
5. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
6. Courteousness and politeness.						
7. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
8. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
9. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
10. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						

	Written Opinion	Appearance	Both
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court, or simply based on a written opinion?			

Supreme Court Justices up for retention this year are:

- Marilyn S. Kite
- Barton R. Voigt

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Justice [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the **FEDERAL COURT JUDGES**. Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months.

- Clarence A. Brimmer
- William F. Downes
- Nancy D. Freudenthal
- Alan B. Johnson
- Peter J. McNiff

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **FEDERAL COURT JUDGES** on the following items.

FEDERAL COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the **WYOMING DISTRICT COURT JUDGES**. Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months.

- Peter G. Arnold
- John C. Brooks
- Thomas T.C. Campbell
- Steven R. Cranfill
- Michael K. Davis
- Timothy C. Day
- Michael N. Deegan
- Jeffrey A. Donnell
- John G. Fenn
- Nena R. James
- Keith G. Kautz
- David B. Park
- John R. Perry
- Dan R. Price, II
- Jere A. Ryckman
- Dennis L. Sanderson
- Robert E. Skar
- Scott W. Skavdahl
- W. Thomas Sullins
- Marvin L. Tyler
- Wade E. Waldrip
- Norman E. Young

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **DISTRICT COURT JUDGES** before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months on the following items.

DISTRICT COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

District Court Judges up for retention this year are:

- Thomas T.C. Campbell
- Michael K. Davis
- Jeffrey A. Donnell
- Dan R. Price, II
- Robert E. Skar
- Scott W. Skavdahl
- Marvin L. Tyler
- Norman E. Young

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the **WYOMING CIRCUIT COURT**. Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months.

- Randal R. Arp
- Wendy Bartlett
- H. Steven Brown
- I. Vincent Case
- Robert A. Castor
- Roberta A. Coates
- Robert B. Denhardt
- Fred R. Dollison
- Jane Eakin
- Daniel L. Forgey
- Michael L. Greer
- Thomas W. Harrington
- Curt A. Haws
- Michael E. Huber
- Denise Nau
- Michael N. Patchen
- Wesley A. Roberts
- Catherine R. Rogers
- J. John Sampson
- E. Victoria Schofield
- Terrill R. Tharp
- Bruce B. Waters
- Frank J. Zebre

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES** before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months on the following items.

CIRCUIT COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

Circuit Court Judges up for retention this year are:

- Randal R. Arp
- Robert A. Castor
- Roberta A. Coates
- Jane Eakin
- Thomas W. Harrington
- Denise Nau
- Michael N. Patchen
- Wesley A. Roberts
- Catherine R. Rogers
- E. Victoria Schofield
- Bruce B. Waters
- Frank J. Zebre

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

That is the end of our survey. Thank you for your cooperation!