

WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1410

October, 2014

Ву

W. Trent Holder, Research Associate

With the assistance of

Robert Leduc, Ph.D., Associate Research Scientist Bistra Anatchkova, Ph.D., Survey Research Manager

Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center

University of Wyoming 1000 E. University Ave, Dept. 3925 Laramie, WY 82071 (307) 766-2189 • wysac@uwyo.edu http://wysac.uwyo.edu

Under contract to

Wyoming State Bar P.O. Box 109 Cheyenne, WY 82003

Citation for this document: WYSAC (2014) *Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll,* 2014, by Holder, W. T. (WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1410). Laramie, WY: Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, University of Wyoming.

Short reference: WYSAC (2014), Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll.

© Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, 2014.

Table of Contents

1.		4
2.	Report Organization	4
3.	1 8	5
	3.1. Questionnaire Development	5
	3.2. Survey Administration	
	3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	
4.	1 0	
5.	5. Individual Results	10
6.		71
Li	List of Tables	
Та	Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	7
	Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention	
	Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level	

1. Introduction

In the spring of 2014, the Wyoming State Bar commissioned the Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming to administer the 2014 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll. Sharon Wilkinson Executive Director of the Wyoming State Bar, coordinated the project activities for the Bar.

This document presents the tabulations and analyses performed on the results of the poll.

The Wyoming State Bar undertook its first judicial evaluation poll in 1976 and has completed one each election year since. The goals and uses of the Wyoming Judicial Advisory poll conform to the American Bar Association (ABA) guidelines as well as to the practices of other Bar associations.

Judicial evaluation polls are used by Bar associations to provide feedback to judicial officials about their performance on the bench and to help the public make more informed judgments in judicial elections. Guidelines established by the ABA state that the primary goal of judicial evaluation is "... to improve the performance of individual judges and the judiciary as a whole."

The evaluations received for Wyoming Judges in the 2014 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll is distributed as follows. First, a copy of this report is sent to all Wyoming Judges who were evaluated. In addition to the information contained in the general report, individual judicial officials receive any written comments submitted by members of the Wyoming State Bar responding to this poll regarding their work on the bench. Comments about judges are released only to the specific judge that they were made about. These comments are not included in the report sent to the Wyoming State Bar. Second, all data results are released to the media prior to the election and published in the *Wyoming Lawyer*.

2. Report Organization

The remainder of this report is organized in the following manner:

- Section 3 is a concise account of the methodology and timeline used by WYSAC to conduct the poll.
- Section 4 relays the condensed results of support for retention for all relevant judicial officials in the "Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention" table. Also included is the "Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level" table, which presents the means for all items in the survey, organized by judicial level.
- Section 5 presents the detailed ratings of individual judicial officials, grouped by judicial level and then, within each level, arranged alphabetically according to the judicial official's last name.
- Section 6 provides a copy of the survey instrument.

3. Methods

3.1. Questionnaire Development

There were no changes to the survey instrument in 2014. The questionnaire items judicial officials were rated upon remained unchanged. The response choice scale for all performance ratings was the same five point fully balanced Likert scale introduced in a previous survey iteration. This scale includes the following options for each judge: strongly disapprove, somewhat disapprove, neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat approve, strongly approve. The use of this scale controls for bias of the ratings provided by the respondents to the survey.

3.2. Survey Administration

As was the case for the past four iterations (2006, 2008, 2010, and 2012), this year the Judicial Advisory Poll was conducted as a web-based survey.

WYSAC revised and updated the survey instrument to reflect the current Wyoming judiciary in Wyoming. WYSAC received an electronic list with contact information of all Wyoming attorneys who are members of the Wyoming State Bar, and are believed to practice in Wyoming, including email addresses, wherever available. There were 1,681 Wyoming attorneys on that list.

The poll was launched on August 6th with an email invitation for participation. The email invitation was sent by WYSAC to all attorneys who had unique email addresses on file. There were 22 attorneys without a unique email addresses on file. These attorneys received invitation letters sent via USPS mail. To all attorneys who had not yet responded with completed surveys, reminder emails were sent roughly every week, for attorneys without a valid email address, one reminder letter was mailed around August 19nd.

The survey closed on September 15th, providing all attorneys five full weeks to respond. After closing, the online database was exported into a format suitable for data analysis. A total of 699 attorneys logged into the survey; 23 of those merely "clicked through" to the last page of the survey without answering any questions. For purposes of calculating response rates these 23 potential respondents were considered to be ineligible for the survey; the same approach used during previous survey iterations. Thus, a total of 676 valid completions were obtained before the cut-off date. From the original respondent list 8 attorneys contacted WYSAC to explain that they did not feel prepared to evaluate any Wyoming judge and 1 explicitly refused to respond. There were 10 attorneys for whom the invitation emails bounced back as undeliverable and one letter sent via USPS came back as undeliverable. Thus, the total of 676 completions brings the response rate to 41%, roughly seven percentage points lower than in 2012. The results were then tallied and are presented in the tables in *Section 4. Group Results* and *Section 5. Individual Results*.

3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

The rating system used in this year's poll was a fully-balanced five point Likert scale, where 1 stands for "Strongly Disapprove", 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove", 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 for "Somewhat Approve", 5 for "Strongly Approve." Thus, mean scores can range from 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest and most favorable rating.

Attorneys were asked to rate the Wyoming Supreme Court Justices on ten distinct categories, such as *knowledge of the law* and *courteousness and politeness*. All other judges were rated on 11 categories, eight of which were also used to rate the justices. The 2014 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll report is based upon completed surveys that were submitted electronically by September 15th, 2014. Individual reports for each judicial official are based on the completed responses on each characteristic if and only if the Bar member responding had affirmed (in an answer to a previous question) that they had appeared before the respective judicial official during the past 24 months.

In the case of Supreme Court Justices, evaluations were also allowed based on reading written opinions from those Justices. Bar members were asked to report the basis for their evaluations of the justices (i.e., reading of judicial decisions, appearances before the court, or both).

Bar members were asked whether they favor or oppose the retention of a particular judge. In all cases, they were asked this question only if a particular judge was indeed standing for retention.

The table and guidelines on the following page, "Interpreting the Numeric Ratings" illustrates the summary evaluations received by a hypothetical Justice Jones and outlines suggestions for interpreting the evaluations. Interpreting the numeric ratings can be approached in two ways:

One. Looking at the average scores and how they compare to the average scores of peer judicial officials on the Wyoming Supreme Court, Federal District Court, State District Court, or Circuit Court.

Two. Looking at the percentage distributions of the responses (Strongly Disapprove, Somewhat Disapprove, Neither Approve nor Disapprove, Somewhat Approve, Strongly Approve) to each item on the survey.

Consider a situation where the average score for District Court Judges on *open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters* is 3.6 and one judge scores 3.2 and another scores 4.1 on this item. This means that the first judge scored worse on that particular item compared to the average court score, whereas the second judge scored above the average for the court.

WYOMING STATE BAR JUDICIAL ADVISORY POLL, 2014

Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

SUPREME COURT			EXA	MPLE			J	lustice	Jones
	of				9 0			Average	e Scores
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Jones	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	304	80	5.4%	10.7%	30.4%	25.9%	27.7%	3.60	3.80
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	308	75	5.6%	12.0%	33.5%	17.2%	31.8%	3.58	3.23
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	311	82	7.9%	14.4%	24.0%	24.0%	29.7%	3.53	3.48
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	301	63	8.0%	16.8%	21.8%	28.6%	24.8%	3.45	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	300	81	4.6%	9.1%	29.2%	36.5%	20.5%	3.59	3.98
Courteousness and politeness.	304	88	3.7%	3.7%	31.5%	24.1%	37.0%	3.87	4.01
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	308	78	3.9%	4.8%	30.0%	20.9%	40.4%	3.89	3.77
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	303	71	4.7%	3.9%	31.5%	19.4%	40.5%	3.87	3.67
Participation in law-related professional activities.	305	69	4.7%	5.9%	33.9%	41.5%	14.0%	3.54	3.36
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	304	70	7.7%	6.8%	21.8%	28.2%	35.5%	3.77	3.54
					,	1.0		00.70/	00.00/
Was your rating based on an	204				Appea	rance befor		29.7%	28.8%
appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	301					Writi	en Opinion Both	12.1% 58.2%	8.9% 62.3%
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this	303						Favor	84.1%	80.9%
judge for another term?						,	Oppose	15.9%	19.1%

GUIDELINES

Justice Jones was evaluated by 300 attorneys on *Attentiveness to arguments of counsel* and 304 on *Courteonsness and politeness*. These numbers vary since each attorney may or may not have answered each rating question.

Justice Jones wants:

- An average score better (higher) than his peers for all items.
- A majority of "Strongly Approve" and "Somewhat Approve" ratings.
- Few, if any, "Strongly Disapprove" and "Somewhat Disapprove" ratings.

The scale employed uses:

- o 1 for "Strongly Disapprove"
- o 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove"
- o 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove"
- o 4 for "Somewhat Approve"
- o 5 for "Strongly Approve"

Thus, average scores range from 1 to 5, where 5 equates to the highest rating. Higher average scores are better than lower scores.

The "best" rating Justice Jones received (3.89) on the ten judicial characteristics was for *How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed*. And he ranks higher than his peers (3.77) on this item.

His average score of 3.45 on *How well he is prepared for oral argument* ranks him notably below the average score of 4.10.

Judges standing for retention have an additional row indicating the percent of responding attorneys *favoring* or *opposing* their retention. Also included here is an average percentage calculated for all judges in the same court system who are up for retention.

Each Supreme Court Justice has an additional row showing what percentage of the attorneys rating that Justice based their ratings on "Appearance before the Court", "Written Opinion", or "Both." Again, included is an average percentage calculated for all justices.

4. Group Results

Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2014

Support for Judicial Officials standing for retention

	Favor	Oppose	Number Responding
Supreme Court			
E. James Burke	92.5%	7.5%	531
Michael K. Davis	94.1%	5.9%	475
District Court			
John C. Brooks	92.8%	7.2%	111
Steven R. Cranfill	77.6%	22.4%	98
William J. Edelman	84.2%	15.8%	95
John G. Fenn	91.4%	8.6%	93
Daniel L. Forgey	91.9%	8.1%	86
Nena James	58.8%	41.2%	85
Richard L. Lavery	93.1%	6.9%	87
John R. Perry	92.3%	7.7%	78
Thomas W. Rumpke	68.7%	31.3%	83
Steven K. Sharpe	97.2%	2.8%	106
W. Thomas Sullins	94.9%	5.1%	98
Circuit Court			
Randal R. Arp	84.6%	15.4%	26
Robert A. Castor	88.2%	11.8%	51
Shelley Ann Cundiff	91.7%	8.3%	24
Jane Eakin	55.0%	45.0%	40
Thomas W. Harrington	90.3%	9.7%	31
Craig L. Jones	77.8%	22.2%	27
Denise Nau	67.7%	32.3%	62
Michael N. Patchen	92.7%	7.3%	41
John R. Prokos	70.0%	30.0%	30
Wesley A. Roberts	76.0%	24.0%	25
Bruce B. Waters	85.3%	14.7%	34
Frank J. Zebre	90.6%	9.4%	32

Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level

Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level (means)

Means calculated using a 5 point scale:

(1 "Strongly Disapprove", 2 "Disapprove", 3 "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 "Approve", 5 "Strongly Approve")

	Supreme Court	Federal Court	District Court	Circuit Court	Average of All Courts
Knowledge of the law.	4.21	4.35	4.07	3.94	4.14
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	4.12	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	4.06	4.26	4.00	3.84	4.04
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	4.26	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	4.28	4.51	4.22	4.13	4.29
Courteousness and politeness.	4.37	4.64	4.29	4.22	4.38
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	4.18	4.36	4.08	3.99	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	4.30	4.28	4.07	4.21	4.22
Participation in law-related professional activities.	4.29	4.30	4.11	4.10	4.20
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	4.45	4.59	4.42	4.32	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	n/a	4.29	4.06	3.86	4.07
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	n/a	4.22	3.98	3.82	4.01
Preparedness for court proceedings.	n/a	4.53	4.24	4.22	4.33

5. Individual Results

Following are the results for each justice and judge, ordered by court (Supreme, Federal, District, Circuit), then by last name.

SUPREME COURT RESULTS

SUPREME COURT							E. Ja	mes B	Burke
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Burke	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	379	149	1.3%	3.7%	11.1%	36.4%	47.5%	4.25	4.21
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	376	151	1.3%	5.1%	12.5%	37.5%	43.6%	4.17	4.12
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	369	157	2.7%	8.7%	11.9%	30.9%	45.8%	4.08	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	313	211	1.3%	2.9%	12.5%	29.4%	54.0%	4.32	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	320	204	1.3%	2.2%	12.2%	26.3%	58.1%	4.38	4.28
Courteousness and politeness.	344	174	1.2%	2.0%	10.8%	20.1%	66.0%	4.48	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	336	183	2.1%	5.7%	13.1%	32.4%	46.7%	4.16	4.18
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	312	204	1.0%	1.9%	16.3%	30.4%	50.3%	4.27	4.30
Participation in law-related professional activities.	313	209	1.0%	0.6%	15.3%	19.5%	63.6%	4.44	4.29
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	349	169	0.9%	0.9%	12.6%	18.3%	67.3%	4.50	4.45
Was your rating based on an					Appearan	ce before i	the Court	6.8%	6.8%
appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	469					Written	Opinion	50.7%	51.0%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	42.4%	42.2%
							E.	02 50/	00.00/
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	531						Favor	92.5%	93.2%
or and judge for another term:							Oppose	7.5%	6.8%

SUPREME COURT						1	Micha	el K. L	Davis
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Davis	Scores Court
Knowledge of the law.	348	133	2.6%	2.0%	10.1%	20.4%	64.9%	4.43	4.21
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	346	134	3.2%	1.7%	11.0%	22.5%	61.6%	4.38	4.12
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	337	141	3.0%	2.1%	12.2%	21.1%	61.7%	4.36	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	287	188	1.4%	1.0%	11.8%	17.8%	67.9%	4.50	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	290	183	1.4%	1.4%	12.1%	16.6%	68.6%	4.50	4.28
Courteousness and politeness.	308	165	1.0%	1.3%	9.7%	18.2%	69.8%	4.55	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	308	165	2.9%	1.3%	11.0%	18.8%	65.9%	4.44	4.18
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	293	181	0.7%	1.0%	12.6%	19.5%	66.2%	4.49	4.30
Participation in law-related professional activities.	263	208	1.5%	1.1%	22.8%	17.9%	56.7%	4.27	4.29
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	314	152	1.6%	0.6%	9.6%	13.1%	75.2%	4.60	4.45
Was your rating based on an					Appearan	ce before i	the Court	7.1%	6.8%
appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	422					Written	Opinion	50.9%	51.0%
simply based on a written opinion!		Both 41.9% 42.2%							
							<i>E</i>	04.49/	02.00/
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	475						Favor	94.1%	93.2%
or and judge for another terms							Oppose	5.9%	6.8%

SUPREME COURT						С	ather	ine M	. Fox
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Average	Scores Court
Knowledge of the law.	254	183	1.6%	2.0%	16.9%	24.0%	55.5%	4.30	4.21
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	230	206	2.6%	2.6%	18.3%	25.7%	50.9%	4.20	4.12
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	233	203	2.6%	2.1%	19.7%	26.2%	49.4%	4.18	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	196	236	2.0%	0.5%	21.9%	15.3%	60.2%	4.31	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	200	234	2.0%	1.5%	20.0%	16.0%	60.5%	4.31	4.28
Courteousness and politeness.	219	214	1.8%	4.1%	17.4%	21.0%	55.7%	4.25	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	212	222	1.9%	1.9%	20.3%	22.6%	53.3%	4.24	4.18
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	204	230	0.5%	1.0%	21.6%	20.6%	56.4%	4.31	4.30
Participation in law-related professional activities.	192	241	1.0%	1.0%	28.1%	18.2%	51.6%	4.18	4.29
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	233	194	1.3%	1.3%	15.9%	15.5%	66.1%	4.44	4.45
W		Appearance before the Cour						7.4%	5.2%
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or	310	0 Written Opinion					Opinion	68.1%	39.4%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	24.5%	32.6%

SUPREME COURT	iam U	. Hill							
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	376	98	3.7%	9.8%	17.3%	34.6%	34.6%	3.86	4.21
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	373	98	5.6%	12.6%	15.5%	34.6%	31.6%	3.74	4.12
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	365	106	7.7%	12.6%	17.5%	26.6%	35.6%	3.70	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	314	154	5.4%	6.4%	20.1%	29.0%	39.2%	3.90	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	317	149	6.6%	7.6%	18.3%	25.9%	41.6%	3.88	4.28
Courteousness and politeness.	336	128	6.5%	5.7%	13.4%	26.5%	47.9%	4.04	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	332	132	4.2%	9.0%	19.0%	31.9%	35.8%	3.86	4.18
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	313	155	2.9%	5.1%	19.2%	28.8%	44.1%	4.06	4.30
Participation in law-related professional activities.	286	179	2.1%	2.8%	21.7%	25.5%	47.9%	4.14	4.29
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	338	127	3.0%	3.8%	13.3%	24.6%	55.3%	4.25	4.45
W					Appearan	ce before 1	he Court	6.3%	5.2%
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or	415	Written Opinion						45.3%	39.4%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	48.4%	32.6%

SUPREME COURT	ilyn S.	Kite							
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Average	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	392	88	2.8%	7.1%	6.9%	28.3%	54.8%	4.25	4.21
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	393	86	3.8%	7.4%	10.2%	26.7%	51.9%	4.16	4.12
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	383	97	4.7%	7.3%	13.6%	26.6%	47.8%	4.05	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	326	147	3.1%	3.7%	11.3%	23.6%	58.3%	4.30	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	331	143	3.3%	3.0%	9.7%	21.8%	62.2%	4.37	4.28
Courteousness and politeness.	360	116	1.9%	2.2%	8.6%	16.1%	71.1%	4.52	4.37
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	346	124	2.9%	4.9%	12.4%	25.1%	54.6%	4.24	4.18
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	333	140	2.1%	2.4%	11.7%	23.7%	60.1%	4.37	4.30
Participation in law-related professional activities.	324	147	2.5%	3.1%	13.3%	18.2%	63.0%	4.36	4.29
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	361	106	2.8%	2.8%	8.0%	19.7%	66.8%	4.45	4.45
W					Appearan	ce before i	the Court	6.5%	5.2%
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or	430	Written Opinio						44.7%	39.4%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	48.8%	32.6%

FEDERAL COURT RESULTS

FEDERAL COURT							Mark	L. Cai	man
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Carman	e Scores Contraction Contraction Contracti
Knowledge of the law.	24	2	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	37.5%	54.2%	4.38	4.35
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	38.5%	57.7%	4.54	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	25	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	4.60	4.51
Courteousness and politeness.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.8%	69.2%	4.69	4.64
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	24	1	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	37.5%	58.3%	4.54	4.36
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	26	0	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	23.1%	73.1%	4.65	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	7	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	27.8%	55.6%	4.39	4.30
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	22	3	0.0%	4.5%	9.1%	31.8%	54.5%	4.36	4.59
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	23	2	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	43.5%	52.2%	4.48	4.29
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	23	2	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	39.1%	56.5%	4.48	4.22
Preparedness for court proceedings.	25	1	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	32.0%	64.0%	4.56	4.53

					Nancy	D. Fr	eudei	nthal
Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Freudenthal	e Scores Conrt
124	5	8.1%	10.5%	12.1%	29.0%	40.3%	3.83	4.35
125	5	12.0%	16.0%	7.2%	23.2%	41.6%	3.66	4.26
121	6	5.8%	7.4%	9.9%	24.8%	52.1%	4.10	4.51
123	6	1.6%	8.9%	8.9%	21.1%	59.3%	4.28	4.64
123	6	4.1%	18.7%	13.0%	21.1%	43.1%	3.80	4.36
122	7	1.6%	3.3%	11.5%	27.0%	56.6%	4.34	4.28
96	32	2.1%	5.2%	22.9%	15.6%	54.2%	4.15	4.30
122	7	6.6%	9.0%	11.5%	13.9%	59.0%	4.10	4.59
122	6	10.7%	16.4%	8.2%	25.4%	39.3%	3.66	4.29
125	5	10.4%	16.0%	8.8%	24.8%	40.0%	3.68	4.22
121	8	2.5%	4.1%	18.2%	23.1%	52.1%	4.18	4.53
	124 125 121 123 122 96 122 122	124 5 125 5 121 6 123 6 123 6 122 7 96 32 122 7 122 6 125 5	124 5 8.1% 125 5 12.0% 121 6 5.8% 123 6 1.6% 123 6 4.1% 122 7 1.6% 96 32 2.1% 122 7 6.6% 122 6 10.7% 125 5 10.4%	124 5 8.1% 10.5% 125 5 12.0% 16.0% 121 6 5.8% 7.4% 123 6 1.6% 8.9% 123 6 4.1% 18.7% 122 7 1.6% 3.3% 96 32 2.1% 5.2% 122 7 6.6% 9.0% 122 6 10.7% 16.4% 125 5 10.4% 16.0%	124 5 8.1% 10.5% 12.1% 125 5 12.0% 16.0% 7.2% 123 6 1.6% 8.9% 8.9% 123 6 4.1% 18.7% 13.0% 122 7 1.6% 3.3% 11.5% 122 7 6.6% 9.0% 11.5% 122 6 10.7% 16.4% 8.2% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 8.8% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0% 16.0% 8.8% 16.0%	124 5 8.1% 10.5% 12.1% 29.0% 125 5 12.0% 16.0% 7.2% 23.2% 121 6 5.8% 7.4% 9.9% 24.8% 123 6 1.6% 8.9% 8.9% 21.1% 124 7 1.6% 3.3% 11.5% 27.0% 125 7 6.6% 9.0% 11.5% 13.9% 122 7 6.6% 9.0% 11.5% 13.9% 122 6 10.7% 16.4% 8.2% 25.4% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8%	124 5 8.1% 10.5% 12.1% 29.0% 40.3% 125 5 12.0% 16.0% 7.2% 23.2% 41.6% 123 6 1.6% 8.9% 8.9% 21.1% 59.3% 122 7 1.6% 3.3% 11.5% 27.0% 56.6% 122 7 6.6% 9.0% 11.5% 13.9% 59.0% 122 6 10.7% 16.4% 8.2% 25.4% 39.3% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 125 10.4% 16.0% 16	124 5 8.1% 10.5% 12.1% 29.0% 40.3% 3.83 125 5 12.0% 16.0% 7.2% 23.2% 41.6% 3.66 121 6 5.8% 7.4% 9.9% 24.8% 52.1% 4.10 123 6 1.6% 8.9% 8.9% 21.1% 59.3% 4.28 123 6 4.1% 18.7% 13.0% 21.1% 43.1% 3.80 122 7 1.6% 3.3% 11.5% 27.0% 56.6% 4.34 96 32 2.1% 5.2% 22.9% 15.6% 54.2% 4.15 122 7 6.6% 9.0% 11.5% 13.9% 59.0% 4.10 122 6 10.7% 16.4% 8.2% 25.4% 39.3% 3.66 125 5 10.4% 16.0% 8.8% 24.8% 40.0% 3.68

FEDERAL COURT							Alan I	B. Joh	nson
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Johnson Sport	e Scores Court
Knowledge of the law.	133	4	0.0%	3.8%	4.5%	27.1%	64.7%	4.53	4.35
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	135	2	1.5%	5.2%	9.6%	22.2%	61.5%	4.37	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	133	4	0.8%	2.3%	3.8%	20.3%	72.9%	4.62	4.51
Courteousness and politeness.	136	1	0.0%	2.9%	0.7%	16.2%	80.1%	4.74	4.64
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	133	4	2.3%	2.3%	5.3%	27.8%	62.4%	4.46	4.36
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	134	0	14.9%	18.7%	16.4%	14.2%	35.8%	3.37	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	103	32	0.0%	1.0%	17.5%	19.4%	62.1%	4.43	4.30
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	131	6	0.0%	1.5%	4.6%	9.2%	84.7%	4.77	4.59
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	132	5	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%	28.0%	62.9%	4.49	4.29
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	134	3	2.2%	5.2%	6.7%	29.9%	56.0%	4.32	4.22
Preparedness for court proceedings.	132	4	1.5%	1.5%	6.8%	24.2%	65.9%	4.52	4.53

FEDERAL COURT Peter J. McNij											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average McNi#	E Scores Conrt Court		
Knowledge of the law.	55	0	1.8%	5.5%	5.5%	30.9%	56.4%	4.35	4.35		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	55	0	1.8%	7.3%	7.3%	25.5%	58.2%	4.31	4.26		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	54	1	1.9%	3.7%	3.7%	24.1%	66.7%	4.50	4.51		
Courteousness and politeness.	56	0	0.0%	1.8%	1.8%	10.7%	85.7%	4.80	4.64		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	55	1	3.6%	5.5%	5.5%	34.5%	50.9%	4.24	4.36		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	55	0	1.8%	5.5%	7.3%	20.0%	65.5%	4.42	4.28		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	26	26	7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	19.2%	46.2%	3.92	4.30		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	51	4	2.0%	0.0%	3.9%	13.7%	80.4%	4.71	4.59		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	53	2	3.8%	5.7%	9.4%	28.3%	52.8%	4.21	4.29		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	55	1	3.6%	9.1%	9.1%	29.1%	49.1%	4.11	4.22		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	55	1	1.8%	5.5%	5.5%	20.0%	67.3%	4.45	4.53		

FEDERAL COURT Kelly H. Rank									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rankin	e Scores Conrt Court
Knowledge of the law.	117	4	0.9%	2.6%	7.7%	34.2%	54.7%	4.39	4.35
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	120	2	0.0%	0.8%	6.7%	25.8%	66.7%	4.58	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	118	3	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%	18.6%	78.0%	4.73	4.51
Courteousness and politeness.	118	3	0.0%	0.8%	1.7%	11.0%	86.4%	4.83	4.64
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	119	3	0.0%	2.5%	5.0%	30.3%	62.2%	4.52	4.36
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	119	3	0.0%	0.8%	5.0%	20.2%	73.9%	4.67	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	82	41	1.2%	3.7%	20.7%	18.3%	56.1%	4.24	4.30
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	116	6	0.0%	0.9%	4.3%	11.2%	83.6%	4.78	4.59
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	117	5	0.9%	4.3%	9.4%	27.4%	58.1%	4.38	4.29
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	115	6	0.9%	5.2%	7.8%	28.7%	57.4%	4.37	4.22
Preparedness for court proceedings.	118	3	0.8%	0.8%	3.4%	16.9%	78.0%	4.70	4.53

FEDERAL COURT Scott W. Skavdah									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Skavdahl	e Scores Conrt
Knowledge of the law.	117	0	1.7%	0.9%	3.4%	18.8%	75.2%	4.65	4.35
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	116	0	1.7%	7.8%	4.3%	24.1%	62.1%	4.37	4.26
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	117	0	0.9%	3.4%	3.4%	20.5%	71.8%	4.59	4.51
Courteousness and politeness.	117	0	1.7%	2.6%	5.1%	12.8%	77.8%	4.62	4.64
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	115	1	0.9%	0.0%	3.5%	21.7%	73.9%	4.68	4.36
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	114	3	0.9%	0.0%	1.8%	20.2%	77.2%	4.73	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	85	31	0.0%	0.0%	18.8%	15.3%	65.9%	4.47	4.30
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	109	4	0.9%	0.0%	5.5%	12.8%	80.7%	4.72	4.59
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	115	2	1.7%	0.9%	4.3%	17.4%	75.7%	4.64	4.29
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	117	0	1.7%	1.7%	4.3%	25.6%	66.7%	4.54	4.22
Preparedness for court proceedings.	116	1	0.0%	1.7%	2.6%	15.5%	80.2%	4.74	4.53

DISTRICT COURT RESULTS

DISTRICT COURT John C. Brooks										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Brooks	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	110	3	0.9%	6.4%	8.2%	25.5%	59.1%	4.35	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	110	2	0.9%	3.6%	11.8%	26.4%	57.3%	4.35	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	111	1	0.9%	2.7%	5.4%	21.6%	69.4%	4.56	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	110	1	0.0%	0.9%	6.4%	19.1%	73.6%	4.65	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	109	3	0.9%	5.5%	7.3%	27.5%	58.7%	4.38	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	107	4	0.9%	5.6%	5.6%	21.5%	66.4%	4.47	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	76	36	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	19.7%	55.3%	4.30	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	105	4	1.0%	1.0%	7.6%	12.4%	78.1%	4.66	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	108	4	2.8%	5.6%	7.4%	31.5%	52.8%	4.26	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	110	2	1.8%	5.5%	11.8%	28.2%	52.7%	4.25	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	111	1	0.0%	5.4%	9.0%	24.3%	61.3%	4.41	4.24	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	111						Favor Oppose	92.8% 7.2%	86.2% 13.8%	

Neith S	Strongly Approve Judge Campbell District Court
7 10/ 31 60/ 3	
7.1% 31.0% 3	3.70 4.07
11.0% 22.7% 4	46.8% 3.86 4.00
10.5% 24.8% 4	9.7% 4.01 4.22
5.3% 24.3% 5	58.6% 4.24 4.29
9.1% 27.3% 4	3.77 4.08
7.1% 23.9% 3	3.35 4.07
19.0% 21.9% 5	33.3% 4.20 4.11
8.9% 22.6% 5	69.6% 4.29 4.42
7.1% 33.8% 3	3.71 4.06
10.5% 29.4% 3	3.59 3.98
14.8% 28.4% 4	3.94 4.24
	10.5% 24.8% 4 5.3% 24.3% 5 9.1% 27.3% 4 7.1% 23.9% 3 19.0% 21.9% 5 8.9% 22.6% 5 7.1% 33.8% 3

DISTRICT COURT Steven R. Cranfill										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Cranfill	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	93	3	10.8%	11.8%	12.9%	33.3%	31.2%	3.62	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	95	0	8.4%	9.5%	12.6%	23.2%	46.3%	3.89	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	94	1	7.4%	5.3%	6.4%	27.7%	53.2%	4.14	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	95	0	3.2%	2.1%	6.3%	13.7%	74.7%	4.55	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	94	2	11.7%	7.4%	13.8%	33.0%	34.0%	3.70	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	93	3	5.4%	7.5%	6.5%	31.2%	49.5%	4.12	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	62	34	4.8%	1.6%	19.4%	22.6%	51.6%	4.15	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	92	4	5.4%	3.3%	12.0%	19.6%	59.8%	4.25	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	91	5	9.9%	14.3%	9.9%	36.3%	29.7%	3.62	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	94	1	14.9%	8.5%	10.6%	35.1%	30.9%	3.59	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	95	0	4.2%	10.5%	8.4%	36.8%	40.0%	3.98	4.24	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	98						Favor Oppose	77.6% 22.4%	86.2% 13.8%	

DISTRICT COURT Timothy C. Do										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Day	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	90	2	2.2%	3.3%	11.1%	38.9%	44.4%	4.20	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	91	1	4.4%	3.3%	11.0%	27.5%	53.8%	4.23	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	91	1	4.4%	3.3%	8.8%	28.6%	54.9%	4.26	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	92	0	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	18.5%	70.7%	4.53	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	91	1	3.3%	6.6%	9.9%	31.9%	48.4%	4.15	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	91	1	7.7%	12.1%	11.0%	30.8%	38.5%	3.80	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	64	28	0.0%	0.0%	23.4%	20.3%	56.3%	4.33	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	90	1	1.1%	0.0%	10.0%	17.8%	71.1%	4.58	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	87	5	1.1%	2.3%	11.5%	34.5%	50.6%	4.31	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	91	1	3.3%	6.6%	8.8%	30.8%	50.5%	4.19	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	90	2	3.3%	4.4%	6.7%	33.3%	52.2%	4.27	4.24	

DISTRICT COURT Michael N. Deega										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Judge Deegan	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	85	2	1.2%	2.4%	8.2%	32.9%	55.3%	4.39	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	85	1	2.4%	10.6%	3.5%	25.9%	57.6%	4.26	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	85	1	1.2%	3.5%	8.2%	17.6%	69.4%	4.51	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	84	1	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	82.1%	4.79	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	84	2	2.4%	2.4%	8.3%	31.0%	56.0%	4.36	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	85	1	1.2%	1.2%	8.2%	27.1%	62.4%	4.48	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	54	30	1.9%	0.0%	22.2%	22.2%	53.7%	4.26	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	81	4	2.5%	0.0%	4.9%	14.8%	77.8%	4.65	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	83	3	3.6%	3.6%	8.4%	27.7%	56.6%	4.30	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	84	2	4.8%	4.8%	7.1%	31.0%	52.4%	4.21	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	84	2	1.2%	1.2%	9.5%	19.0%	69.0%	4.54	4.24	

DISTRICT COURT Jeffrey A. Donnell										
Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Donnell	District Court		
104	1	1.0%	4.8%	6.7%	21.2%	66.3%	4.47	4.07		
103	1	10.7%	13.6%	6.8%	26.2%	42.7%	3.77	4.00		
104	1	8.7%	8.7%	5.8%	19.2%	57.7%	4.09	4.22		
104	1	16.3%	12.5%	9.6%	21.2%	40.4%	3.57	4.29		
104	1	5.8%	8.7%	6.7%	26.0%	52.9%	4.12	4.08		
98	5	2.0%	0.0%	4.1%	23.5%	70.4%	4.60	4.07		
70	33	2.9%	0.0%	21.4%	18.6%	57.1%	4.27	4.11		
99	6	5.1%	3.0%	5.1%	20.2%	66.7%	4.40	4.42		
101	4	5.0%	2.0%	4.0%	21.8%	67.3%	4.45	4.06		
103	2	4.9%	1.9%	5.8%	19.4%	68.0%	4.44	3.98		
103	2	1.0%	4.9%	3.9%	19.4%	70.9%	4.54	4.24		
	104 103 104 104 104 98 70 99 101	104 1 103 1 104 1 104 1 104 1 104 1 104 1 98 5 70 33 99 6 101 4 103 2	104 1 1.0% 103 1 10.7% 104 1 8.7% 104 1 16.3% 104 1 5.8% 98 5 2.0% 70 33 2.9% 99 6 5.1% 101 4 5.0% 103 2 4.9%	104 1 1.0% 4.8% 103 1 10.7% 13.6% 104 1 8.7% 8.7% 104 1 16.3% 12.5% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 98 5 2.0% 0.0% 70 33 2.9% 0.0% 99 6 5.1% 3.0% 101 4 5.0% 2.0% 103 2 4.9% 1.9%	104 1 1.0% 4.8% 6.7% 103 1 10.7% 13.6% 6.8% 104 1 8.7% 5.8% 104 1 16.3% 12.5% 9.6% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 98 5 2.0% 0.0% 4.1% 70 33 2.9% 0.0% 21.4% 99 6 5.1% 3.0% 5.1% 101 4 5.0% 2.0% 4.0% 103 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8%	104 1 10.7% 13.6% 6.8% 26.2% 104 1 16.3% 12.5% 9.6% 21.2% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 26.0% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 26.0% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 26.0% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 26.0% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 26.0% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 26.0% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 26.0% 104 1 5.0% 2.0% 4.1% 23.5% 104 1 4 5.0% 2.0% 4.0% 21.8% 103 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 104 103 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 104 105	104 1 16.3% 12.5% 9.6% 21.2% 40.4% 104 1 5.8% 8.7% 6.7% 23.5% 70.4% 104 3 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 103 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 104 1 4 5.0% 2.0% 4.0% 21.8% 67.3% 105 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 105 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 105 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 105 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 105 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 106 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 107 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 108 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 107 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 108 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 108 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 109 2 4.9% 1.9% 5.8% 19.4% 68.0% 100 2 4.9% 1	Average Average The part of the part		

DISTRICT COURT William J. Edelman										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Edelman Edelman	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	89	9	7.9%	12.4%	21.3%	34.8%	23.6%	3.54	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	86	11	5.8%	7.0%	16.3%	31.4%	39.5%	3.92	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	91	6	3.3%	7.7%	13.2%	36.3%	39.6%	4.01	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	93	3	1.1%	3.2%	6.5%	29.0%	60.2%	4.44	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	88	9	4.5%	6.8%	20.5%	38.6%	29.5%	3.82	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	86	12	10.5%	7.0%	17.4%	37.2%	27.9%	3.65	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	46	49	4.3%	0.0%	43.5%	23.9%	28.3%	3.72	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	86	11	3.5%	3.5%	17.4%	24.4%	51.2%	4.16	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	84	13	4.8%	7.1%	21.4%	32.1%	34.5%	3.85	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	87	11	8.0%	14.9%	19.5%	34.5%	23.0%	3.49	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	88	7	3.4%	9.1%	17.0%	34.1%	36.4%	3.91	4.24	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	95						Favor Oppose	84.2% 15.8%	86.2% 13.8%	

DISTRICT COURT John G. Fenr										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Page Fenn	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	91	2	4.4%	4.4%	2.2%	33.0%	56.0%	4.32	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	89	4	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	24.7%	58.4%	4.25	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	91	2	4.4%	2.2%	7.7%	22.0%	63.7%	4.38	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	91	2	3.3%	2.2%	5.5%	24.2%	64.8%	4.45	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	88	4	3.4%	0.0%	6.8%	38.6%	51.1%	4.34	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	85	6	2.4%	2.4%	4.7%	37.6%	52.9%	4.36	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	50	41	2.0%	0.0%	28.0%	22.0%	48.0%	4.14	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	85	7	2.4%	1.2%	9.4%	17.6%	69.4%	4.51	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	90	3	3.3%	5.6%	4.4%	33.3%	53.3%	4.28	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	90	3	3.3%	6.7%	4.4%	37.8%	47.8%	4.20	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	91	2	2.2%	1.1%	6.6%	24.2%	65.9%	4.51	4.24	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	93						Favor Oppose	91.4% 8.6%	86.2% 13.8%	

DISTRICT COURT Daniel L. Forgey										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Forge Y	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	86	1	3.5%	5.8%	10.5%	38.4%	41.9%	4.09	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	86	1	0.0%	7.0%	8.1%	23.3%	61.6%	4.40	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	88	0	1.1%	2.3%	8.0%	20.5%	68.2%	4.52	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	87	0	0.0%	1.1%	8.0%	16.1%	74.7%	4.64	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	85	2	1.2%	3.5%	12.9%	25.9%	56.5%	4.33	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	84	3	0.0%	2.4%	17.9%	16.7%	63.1%	4.40	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	46	40	0.0%	4.3%	30.4%	23.9%	41.3%	4.02	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	84	2	1.2%	0.0%	9.5%	15.5%	73.8%	4.61	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	86	1	3.5%	3.5%	14.0%	24.4%	54.7%	4.23	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	84	2	3.6%	4.8%	15.5%	27.4%	48.8%	4.13	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	86	1	1.2%	2.3%	8.1%	24.4%	64.0%	4.48	4.24	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	86						Favor	91.9%	86.2%	
of this judge for another term?							Oppose	8.1%	13.8%	

DISTRICT COURT Nena James									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average James ames	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	85	1	14.1%	18.8%	17.6%	35.3%	14.1%	3.16	4.07
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	86	1	26.7%	16.3%	12.8%	22.1%	22.1%	2.97	4.00
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	87	1	14.9%	14.9%	12.6%	27.6%	29.9%	3.43	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	84	2	16.7%	4.8%	8.3%	32.1%	38.1%	3.70	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	85	3	14.1%	18.8%	20.0%	24.7%	22.4%	3.22	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	85	2	21.2%	27.1%	10.6%	21.2%	20.0%	2.92	4.07
Participation in law-related professional activities.	48	38	22.9%	8.3%	29.2%	12.5%	27.1%	3.13	4.11
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	81	6	16.0%	6.2%	17.3%	24.7%	35.8%	3.58	4.42
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	84	2	14.3%	23.8%	19.0%	25.0%	17.9%	3.08	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	87	1	20.7%	20.7%	14.9%	24.1%	19.5%	3.01	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	87	1	18.4%	18.4%	16.1%	25.3%	21.8%	3.14	4.24
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	85						Favor Oppose	58.8% 41.2%	86.2% 13.8%

DISTRICT COURT Keith G. Kautz									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Kautz	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	102	1	2.0%	10.8%	6.9%	29.4%	51.0%	4.17	4.07
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	102	2	5.9%	15.7%	10.8%	21.6%	46.1%	3.86	4.00
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	102	1	2.0%	8.8%	9.8%	21.6%	57.8%	4.25	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	104	0	6.7%	6.7%	5.8%	26.0%	54.8%	4.15	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	102	2	4.9%	4.9%	8.8%	34.3%	47.1%	4.14	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	104	0	3.8%	1.9%	10.6%	24.0%	59.6%	4.34	4.07
Participation in law-related professional activities.	60	43	1.7%	0.0%	23.3%	16.7%	58.3%	4.30	4.11
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	100	4	4.0%	5.0%	6.0%	18.0%	67.0%	4.39	4.42
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	101	3	3.0%	9.9%	9.9%	26.7%	50.5%	4.12	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	102	2	6.9%	8.8%	10.8%	25.5%	48.0%	3.99	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	102	2	1.0%	4.9%	9.8%	25.5%	58.8%	4.36	4.24

DISTRICT COURT Richard L. Lavery									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Lavery	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	84	2	1.2%	6.0%	3.6%	38.1%	51.2%	4.32	4.07
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	85	2	4.7%	10.6%	4.7%	21.2%	58.8%	4.19	4.00
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	86	1	2.3%	3.5%	3.5%	24.4%	66.3%	4.49	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	84	2	2.4%	1.2%	6.0%	15.5%	75.0%	4.60	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	84	3	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	26.2%	56.0%	4.24	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	85	2	4.7%	7.1%	3.5%	27.1%	57.6%	4.26	4.07
Participation in law-related professional activities.	60	25	3.3%	1.7%	18.3%	18.3%	58.3%	4.27	4.11
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	80	6	2.5%	1.3%	6.3%	15.0%	75.0%	4.59	4.42
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	85	2	2.4%	9.4%	3.5%	31.8%	52.9%	4.24	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	85	2	4.7%	5.9%	9.4%	29.4%	50.6%	4.15	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	85	2	1.2%	2.4%	2.4%	34.1%	60.0%	4.49	4.24
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	87						Favor Oppose	93.1% 6.9%	86.2% 13.8%

DISTRICT COURT John R. Perry											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Perry	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	76	4	2.6%	2.6%	13.2%	27.6%	53.9%	4.28	4.07		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	77	3	3.9%	2.6%	10.4%	32.5%	50.6%	4.23	4.00		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	77	3	2.6%	1.3%	14.3%	23.4%	58.4%	4.34	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	77	3	1.3%	2.6%	13.0%	16.9%	66.2%	4.44	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	76	4	2.6%	3.9%	10.5%	27.6%	55.3%	4.29	4.08		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	73	6	2.7%	6.8%	15.1%	20.5%	54.8%	4.18	4.07		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	48	30	2.1%	0.0%	33.3%	10.4%	54.2%	4.15	4.11		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	73	6	2.7%	0.0%	15.1%	20.5%	61.6%	4.38	4.42		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	75	4	4.0%	2.7%	10.7%	28.0%	54.7%	4.27	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	75	5	6.7%	1.3%	17.3%	24.0%	50.7%	4.11	3.98		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	75	4	2.7%	1.3%	13.3%	25.3%	57.3%	4.33	4.24		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	78						Favor Oppose	92.3% 7.7%	86.2% 13.8%		

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Catherine R. Rogers										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rogers	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	85	4	7.1%	15.3%	9.4%	40.0%	28.2%	3.67	4.07		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	83	3	9.6%	13.3%	15.7%	19.3%	42.2%	3.71	4.00		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	86	2	7.0%	9.3%	10.5%	22.1%	51.2%	4.01	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	86	1	9.3%	9.3%	12.8%	15.1%	53.5%	3.94	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	83	4	3.6%	9.6%	19.3%	26.5%	41.0%	3.92	4.08		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	79	7	7.6%	7.6%	15.2%	17.7%	51.9%	3.99	4.07		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	54	31	5.6%	3.7%	24.1%	16.7%	50.0%	4.02	4.11		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	83	5	3.6%	1.2%	16.9%	18.1%	60.2%	4.30	4.42		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	82	7	7.3%	25.6%	7.3%	29.3%	30.5%	3.50	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	81	6	7.4%	11.1%	19.8%	27.2%	34.6%	3.70	3.98		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	83	5	7.2%	3.6%	12.0%	24.1%	53.0%	4.12	4.24		

DISTRICT COURT Thomas W. Rumpke										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Jndge Rumpke	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	80	5	7.5%	13.8%	8.8%	35.0%	35.0%	3.76	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	81	5	8.6%	12.3%	14.8%	27.2%	37.0%	3.72	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	78	6	11.5%	7.7%	14.1%	21.8%	44.9%	3.81	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	80	6	11.3%	13.8%	11.3%	21.3%	42.5%	3.70	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	79	6	7.6%	19.0%	12.7%	27.8%	32.9%	3.59	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	79	5	11.4%	12.7%	12.7%	29.1%	34.2%	3.62	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	38	47	5.3%	0.0%	42.1%	13.2%	39.5%	3.82	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	71	14	5.6%	4.2%	11.3%	16.9%	62.0%	4.25	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	79	6	10.1%	16.5%	8.9%	30.4%	34.2%	3.62	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	79	6	10.1%	17.7%	15.2%	22.8%	34.2%	3.53	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	80	5	5.0%	12.5%	11.3%	30.0%	41.3%	3.90	4.24	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	83						Favor	68.7%	86.2%	
of this judge for another term?	0.5						Oppose	31.3%	13.8%	

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Dennis L. Sanderson										
	per of ents	ion	ly ove	nat ove	ove nor	nat ⁄e	ily re	Average			
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Sanderson	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	92	1	8.7%	18.5%	16.3%	27.2%	29.3%	3.50	4.07		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	91	2	15.4%	22.0%	16.5%	28.6%	17.6%	3.11	4.00		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	90	2	17.8%	17.8%	17.8%	17.8%	28.9%	3.22	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	90	2	15.6%	21.1%	16.7%	15.6%	31.1%	3.26	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	90	3	10.0%	21.1%	17.8%	31.1%	20.0%	3.30	4.08		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	91	2	18.7%	16.5%	20.9%	22.0%	22.0%	3.12	4.07		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	60	31	8.3%	5.0%	43.3%	23.3%	20.0%	3.42	4.11		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	84	8	4.8%	3.6%	16.7%	33.3%	41.7%	4.04	4.42		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	90	2	13.3%	18.9%	14.4%	30.0%	23.3%	3.31	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	90	3	17.8%	17.8%	11.1%	31.1%	22.2%	3.22	3.98		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	90	3	20.0%	20.0%	17.8%	18.9%	23.3%	3.06	4.24		

DISTRICT COURT Steven K. Sharpe										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sharpe	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	105	2	1.0%	3.8%	4.8%	28.6%	61.9%	4.47	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	105	2	1.9%	1.0%	4.8%	25.7%	66.7%	4.54	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	104	2	1.0%	1.0%	5.8%	17.3%	75.0%	4.64	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	105	1	1.9%	3.8%	3.8%	17.1%	73.3%	4.56	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	106	1	0.9%	4.7%	4.7%	26.4%	63.2%	4.46	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	102	2	0.0%	2.9%	5.9%	26.5%	64.7%	4.53	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	73	32	0.0%	1.4%	20.5%	20.5%	57.5%	4.34	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	103	3	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	20.4%	77.7%	4.76	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	104	3	1.0%	4.8%	5.8%	28.8%	59.6%	4.41	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	105	2	0.0%	3.8%	4.8%	31.4%	60.0%	4.48	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	103	3	1.0%	0.0%	1.9%	26.2%	70.9%	4.66	4.24	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	106						Favor Oppose	97.2% 2.8%	86.2% 13.8%	

DISTRICT COURT Robert E. Skar											
	per of ents	ion	ly ove	nat ove	ove nor	nat ⁄e	راح رو		e Scores		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Skar	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	73	2	2.7%	6.8%	4.1%	28.8%	57.5%	4.32	4.07		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	73	1	4.1%	12.3%	4.1%	37.0%	42.5%	4.01	4.00		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	74	1	5.4%	4.1%	6.8%	23.0%	60.8%	4.30	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	72	1	4.2%	4.2%	9.7%	25.0%	56.9%	4.26	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	72	3	2.8%	6.9%	6.9%	40.3%	43.1%	4.14	4.08		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	72	4	9.7%	16.7%	8.3%	29.2%	36.1%	3.65	4.07		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	41	34	2.4%	4.9%	26.8%	19.5%	46.3%	4.02	4.11		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	71	4	1.4%	2.8%	8.5%	16.9%	70.4%	4.52	4.42		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	72	3	5.6%	2.8%	5.6%	29.2%	56.9%	4.29	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	71	3	5.6%	7.0%	1.4%	38.0%	47.9%	4.15	3.98		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	74	1	4.1%	5.4%	5.4%	32.4%	52.7%	4.24	4.24		

DISTRICT COURT W. Thomas Sullins										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sullins agpnr	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	76	4	2.6%	2.6%	13.2%	27.6%	53.9%	4.28	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	101	2	4.0%	4.0%	7.9%	18.8%	65.3%	4.38	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	104	0	2.9%	2.9%	3.8%	16.3%	74.0%	4.56	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	103	0	2.9%	1.0%	1.0%	14.6%	80.6%	4.69	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	99	3	4.0%	3.0%	1.0%	19.2%	72.7%	4.54	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	101	3	0.0%	5.9%	5.0%	12.9%	76.2%	4.59	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	60	44	1.7%	0.0%	18.3%	13.3%	66.7%	4.43	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	99	4	3.0%	0.0%	3.0%	9.1%	84.8%	4.73	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	103	1	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	19.4%	71.8%	4.54	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	103	1	2.9%	7.8%	1.9%	19.4%	68.0%	4.42	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	104	0	0.0%	1.0%	2.9%	18.3%	77.9%	4.73	4.24	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	98						Favor	94.9%	86.2%	
of this judge for another term?	90						Oppose	5.1%	13.8%	

DISTRICT COURT					Mar	vin L.	Tyler		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Tyler	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	116	6	6.0%	4.3%	7.8%	30.2%	51.7%	4.17	4.07
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	115	7	9.6%	7.0%	12.2%	25.2%	46.1%	3.91	4.00
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	117	4	6.8%	4.3%	6.8%	27.4%	54.7%	4.19	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	118	4	5.1%	6.8%	6.8%	24.6%	56.8%	4.21	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	114	7	4.4%	6.1%	11.4%	33.3%	44.7%	4.08	4.08
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	111	9	1.8%	4.5%	16.2%	27.0%	50.5%	4.20	4.07
Participation in law-related professional activities.	63	57	0.0%	1.6%	38.1%	14.3%	46.0%	4.05	4.11
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	108	12	4.6%	2.8%	11.1%	17.6%	63.9%	4.33	4.42
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	113	8	6.2%	7.1%	12.4%	25.7%	48.7%	4.04	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	114	7	9.6%	6.1%	12.3%	26.3%	45.6%	3.92	3.98
Preparedness for court proceedings.	114	5	0.9%	4.4%	6.1%	26.3%	62.3%	4.45	4.24

DISTRICT COURT Wade E. Waldrip										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Waldrip	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	143	4	0.7%	1.4%	7.0%	33.6%	57.3%	4.45	4.07	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	145	2	3.4%	5.5%	6.2%	24.1%	60.7%	4.33	4.00	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	144	2	2.1%	2.8%	4.2%	22.2%	68.8%	4.53	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	145	1	1.4%	6.2%	5.5%	17.9%	69.0%	4.47	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	143	3	1.4%	3.5%	6.3%	28.7%	60.1%	4.43	4.08	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	140	5	0.7%	2.1%	5.7%	27.9%	63.6%	4.51	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	93	52	1.1%	2.2%	19.4%	18.3%	59.1%	4.32	4.11	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	135	9	2.2%	1.5%	5.9%	14.8%	75.6%	4.60	4.42	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	141	6	1.4%	2.8%	7.1%	30.5%	58.2%	4.41	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	143	4	2.1%	2.8%	5.6%	30.1%	59.4%	4.42	3.98	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	145	2	0.7%	2.1%	4.8%	21.4%	71.0%	4.60	4.24	

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Catherine E. Wilking										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Milking	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	121	8	5.0%	5.0%	8.3%	27.3%	54.5%	4.21	4.07		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	123	4	6.5%	10.6%	9.8%	27.6%	45.5%	3.95	4.00		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	123	5	6.5%	4.9%	5.7%	19.5%	63.4%	4.28	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	124	3	7.3%	6.5%	8.1%	23.4%	54.8%	4.12	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	122	6	3.3%	7.4%	9.8%	24.6%	54.9%	4.20	4.08		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	120	6	5.0%	0.8%	6.7%	25.0%	62.5%	4.39	4.07		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	69	58	5.8%	0.0%	26.1%	21.7%	46.4%	4.03	4.11		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	116	11	3.4%	0.9%	11.2%	10.3%	74.1%	4.51	4.42		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	118	9	2.5%	7.6%	8.5%	31.4%	50.0%	4.19	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	123	5	3.3%	7.3%	13.0%	26.8%	49.6%	4.12	3.98		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	121	7	3.3%	2.5%	8.3%	22.3%	63.6%	4.40	4.24		

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Norman E. Young											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Aonug Bunda Bund	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	104	2	5.8%	6.7%	8.7%	30.8%	48.1%	4.09	4.07			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	103	3	10.7%	3.9%	12.6%	18.4%	54.4%	4.02	4.00			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	104	2	5.8%	4.8%	7.7%	20.2%	61.5%	4.27	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	106	1	0.9%	3.8%	10.4%	9.4%	75.5%	4.55	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	101	4	4.0%	7.9%	10.9%	24.8%	52.5%	4.14	4.08			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	101	5	7.9%	11.9%	13.9%	23.8%	42.6%	3.81	4.07			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	47	58	0.0%	0.0%	31.9%	17.0%	51.1%	4.19	4.11			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	99	6	3.0%	6.1%	10.1%	14.1%	66.7%	4.35	4.42			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	104	2	6.7%	7.7%	7.7%	27.9%	50.0%	4.07	4.06			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	102	4	7.8%	9.8%	10.8%	25.5%	46.1%	3.92	3.98			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	104	1	4.8%	6.7%	11.5%	22.1%	54.8%	4.15	4.24			

CIRCUIT COURT RESULTS

CIRCUIT COURT							Rar	ndal R	. Arp
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Arb	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.6%	65.4%	4.65	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	26	0	0.0%	11.5%	0.0%	23.1%	65.4%	4.42	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	84.6%	4.85	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	27	0	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	81.5%	4.59	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	26	0	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	34.6%	57.7%	4.46	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	24	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	91.7%	4.92	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	19	7	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	26.3%	68.4%	4.63	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	24	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	83.3%	4.83	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	23.1%	69.2%	4.62	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	26	0	0.0%	7.7%	3.8%	26.9%	61.5%	4.42	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	84.6%	4.85	4.22
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	26						Favor	84.6%	79.9%
or any judge for another terms							Oppose	15.4%	20.1%

CIRCUIT COURT Wendy Martin Bartlett									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Bartlett	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	38	0	0.0%	10.5%	18.4%	47.4%	23.7%	3.84	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	36	2	5.6%	13.9%	22.2%	36.1%	22.2%	3.56	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	38	0	2.6%	10.5%	18.4%	23.7%	44.7%	3.97	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	38	0	5.3%	18.4%	10.5%	18.4%	47.4%	3.84	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	36	1	2.8%	13.9%	22.2%	27.8%	33.3%	3.75	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	36	1	0.0%	2.8%	25.0%	33.3%	38.9%	4.08	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	14	0.0%	4.3%	39.1%	17.4%	39.1%	3.91	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	35	2	2.9%	11.4%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	3.97	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	37	1	2.7%	16.2%	13.5%	43.2%	24.3%	3.70	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	36	1	2.8%	16.7%	25.0%	30.6%	25.0%	3.58	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	36	2	0.0%	2.8%	19.4%	36.1%	41.7%	4.17	4.22

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT H. Steven Brown										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Brown Brown	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	33	0	18.2%	21.2%	9.1%	18.2%	33.3%	3.27	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	33	0	15.2%	21.2%	12.1%	15.2%	36.4%	3.36	3.84		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	0	9.4%	15.6%	15.6%	18.8%	40.6%	3.66	4.13		
Courteousness and politeness.	33	0	6.1%	9.1%	12.1%	3.0%	69.7%	4.21	4.22		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	32	1	6.3%	21.9%	15.6%	12.5%	43.8%	3.66	3.99		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	33	0	3.0%	6.1%	15.2%	27.3%	48.5%	4.12	4.21		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	10	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	13.6%	59.1%	4.32	4.10		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	0	9.7%	12.9%	9.7%	9.7%	58.1%	3.94	4.32		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	33	0	18.2%	27.3%	6.1%	12.1%	36.4%	3.21	3.86		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	33	0	18.2%	24.2%	12.1%	12.1%	33.3%	3.18	3.82		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	33	0	9.1%	21.2%	18.2%	15.2%	36.4%	3.48	4.22		

CIRCUIT COURT	I. Vincent Case, Jr.								
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Case On	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	26	0	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	38.5%	46.2%	4.15	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	26	0	7.7%	7.7%	11.5%	26.9%	46.2%	3.96	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	26	0	3.8%	15.4%	11.5%	23.1%	46.2%	3.92	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	26	0	7.7%	3.8%	3.8%	23.1%	61.5%	4.27	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	26	0	11.5%	7.7%	11.5%	30.8%	38.5%	3.77	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	24	2	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	20.8%	45.8%	3.71	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	19	6	5.3%	0.0%	21.1%	31.6%	42.1%	4.05	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	25	1	4.0%	0.0%	8.0%	24.0%	64.0%	4.44	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	26	0	3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	26.9%	46.2%	4.00	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	26	0	7.7%	19.2%	7.7%	26.9%	38.5%	3.69	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	26	0	3.8%	15.4%	11.5%	26.9%	42.3%	3.88	4.22

CIRCUIT COURT Robert A. Castor											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Castor	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	52	1	1.9%	5.8%	5.8%	30.8%	55.8%	4.33	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	51	1	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	27.5%	60.8%	4.37	3.84		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	51	2	2.0%	5.9%	3.9%	17.6%	70.6%	4.49	4.13		
Courteousness and politeness.	52	1	1.9%	3.8%	9.6%	15.4%	69.2%	4.46	4.22		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	52	1	0.0%	5.8%	7.7%	26.9%	59.6%	4.40	3.99		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	51	2	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	19.6%	74.5%	4.69	4.21		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	37	16	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	21.6%	64.9%	4.51	4.10		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	49	4	2.0%	2.0%	6.1%	18.4%	71.4%	4.55	4.32		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	52	1	5.8%	1.9%	7.7%	30.8%	53.8%	4.25	3.86		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	51	2	2.0%	5.9%	7.8%	25.5%	58.8%	4.33	3.82		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	50	2	0.0%	2.0%	6.0%	24.0%	68.0%	4.58	4.22		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	51						Favor Oppose	88.2% 11.8%	79.9% 20.1%		

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Brian D. Christensen										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Christensen	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	34	1	8.8%	2.9%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%	4.03	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	34	1	5.9%	5.9%	20.6%	11.8%	55.9%	4.06	3.84		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	34	0	2.9%	0.0%	8.8%	20.6%	67.6%	4.50	4.13		
Courteousness and politeness.	35	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	8.6%	82.9%	4.74	4.22		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	34	1	2.9%	5.9%	17.6%	17.6%	55.9%	4.18	3.99		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	33	1	3.0%	9.1%	6.1%	12.1%	69.7%	4.36	4.21		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	11	0.0%	4.3%	17.4%	13.0%	65.2%	4.39	4.10		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	1	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	11.8%	79.4%	4.71	4.32		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	34	1	5.9%	5.9%	8.8%	23.5%	55.9%	4.18	3.86		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	1	5.9%	2.9%	17.6%	20.6%	52.9%	4.12	3.82		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	35	0	2.9%	5.7%	14.3%	11.4%	65.7%	4.31	4.22		

CIRCUIT COURT	Roberta A. Coates								
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Coates	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	77	2	10.4%	16.9%	11.7%	33.8%	27.3%	3.51	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	78	1	7.7%	9.0%	11.5%	23.1%	48.7%	3.96	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	78	1	5.1%	7.7%	5.1%	30.8%	51.3%	4.15	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	77	1	2.6%	5.2%	2.6%	20.8%	68.8%	4.48	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	77	2	6.5%	10.4%	14.3%	32.5%	36.4%	3.82	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	76	3	1.3%	10.5%	9.2%	27.6%	51.3%	4.17	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	57	21	1.8%	3.5%	17.5%	10.5%	66.7%	4.37	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	71	7	1.4%	1.4%	7.0%	18.3%	71.8%	4.58	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	78	1	10.3%	14.1%	14.1%	28.2%	33.3%	3.60	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	77	2	10.4%	15.6%	14.3%	28.6%	31.2%	3.55	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	78	1	3.8%	9.0%	12.8%	25.6%	48.7%	4.06	4.22

CIRCUIT COURT Shelley Ann Cundiff											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Cnuditt	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	24	1	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	54.2%	20.8%	3.71	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	25	0	8.0%	4.0%	0.0%	48.0%	40.0%	4.08	3.84		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	25	0	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	32.0%	56.0%	4.32	4.13		
Courteousness and politeness.	25	0	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	60.0%	4.36	4.22		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	24	1	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	45.8%	4.08	3.99		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	22	3	9.1%	0.0%	13.6%	27.3%	50.0%	4.09	4.21		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	10	14	10.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	30.0%	3.40	4.10		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	23	2	4.3%	0.0%	8.7%	34.8%	52.2%	4.30	4.32		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	24	1	12.5%	8.3%	12.5%	37.5%	29.2%	3.63	3.86		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	24	1	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	45.8%	29.2%	3.79	3.82		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	24	1	4.2%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	45.8%	4.08	4.22		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	24						Favor Oppose	91.7% 8.3%	79.9% 20.1%		

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Robert B. Denhard										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Denhardt	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	33	1	3.0%	15.2%	3.0%	51.5%	27.3%	3.85	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	30	3	13.3%	3.3%	10.0%	26.7%	46.7%	3.90	3.84		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	1	6.1%	6.1%	3.0%	27.3%	57.6%	4.24	4.13		
Courteousness and politeness.	33	1	12.1%	3.0%	6.1%	15.2%	63.6%	4.15	4.22		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	3	3.2%	9.7%	9.7%	38.7%	38.7%	4.00	3.99		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	30	3	3.3%	3.3%	6.7%	46.7%	40.0%	4.17	4.21		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	15	19	0.0%	6.7%	40.0%	26.7%	26.7%	3.73	4.10		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	2	3.1%	9.4%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%	4.28	4.32		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	32	2	9.4%	9.4%	12.5%	34.4%	34.4%	3.75	3.86		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	31	3	6.5%	9.7%	6.5%	38.7%	38.7%	3.94	3.82		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	2	3.1%	0.0%	12.5%	34.4%	50.0%	4.28	4.22		

CIRCUIT COURT Jane Eak												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Eakin	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	40	0	15.0%	20.0%	7.5%	22.5%	35.0%	3.43	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	40	0	27.5%	17.5%	12.5%	7.5%	35.0%	3.05	3.84			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	39	1	17.9%	17.9%	12.8%	10.3%	41.0%	3.38	4.13			
Courteousness and politeness.	40	0	15.0%	15.0%	7.5%	17.5%	45.0%	3.63	4.22			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	39	1	12.8%	17.9%	15.4%	17.9%	35.9%	3.46	3.99			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	35	4	8.6%	8.6%	22.9%	17.1%	42.9%	3.77	4.21			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	21	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	0.0%	55.6%	3.94	4.10			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	5	17.6%	11.8%	11.8%	11.8%	47.1%	3.59	4.32			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	40	0	20.0%	22.5%	7.5%	17.5%	32.5%	3.20	3.86			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	39	1	15.4%	28.2%	5.1%	20.5%	30.8%	3.23	3.82			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	38	2	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	28.9%	44.7%	4.03	4.22			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	40						Favor	55.0%	79.9%			
of this judge for another term?	40						Oppose	45.0%	20.1%			

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Michael L. Greer										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Greer	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	25	1	0.0%	4.0%	8.0%	32.0%	56.0%	4.40	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	26	0	3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	15.4%	53.8%	4.04	3.84		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	26	0	0.0%	7.7%	7.7%	23.1%	61.5%	4.38	4.13		
Courteousness and politeness.	26	0	0.0%	7.7%	3.8%	26.9%	61.5%	4.42	4.22		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	26	0	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	42.3%	46.2%	4.23	3.99		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	22	3	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	22.7%	72.7%	4.64	4.21		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	14	11	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	7.1%	64.3%	4.36	4.10		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	22	4	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.8%	68.2%	4.68	4.32		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	26	0	0.0%	7.7%	7.7%	42.3%	42.3%	4.19	3.86		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	25	1	0.0%	8.0%	8.0%	36.0%	48.0%	4.24	3.82		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	26	0	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	34.6%	57.7%	4.46	4.22		

CIRCUIT COURT Thomas W. Harrington											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Harrington	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	36	0	2.8%	2.8%	5.6%	41.7%	47.2%	4.28	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	36	0	2.8%	2.8%	11.1%	25.0%	58.3%	4.33	3.84		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	36	0	2.8%	0.0%	2.8%	30.6%	63.9%	4.53	4.13		
Courteousness and politeness.	36	0	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	19.4%	72.2%	4.56	4.22		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	36	0	2.8%	5.6%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	4.22	3.99		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	35	1	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	34.3%	60.0%	4.49	4.21		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	14	4.5%	0.0%	18.2%	27.3%	50.0%	4.18	4.10		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	1	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	20.6%	73.5%	4.62	4.32		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	35	1	8.6%	2.9%	5.7%	37.1%	45.7%	4.09	3.86		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	36	0	5.6%	5.6%	2.8%	33.3%	52.8%	4.22	3.82		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	36	0	2.8%	0.0%	2.8%	36.1%	58.3%	4.47	4.22		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	31						Favor Oppose	90.3% 9.7%	79.9% 20.1%		

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Curt A. Haws											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Haws	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	37	3	0.0%	5.4%	2.7%	32.4%	59.5%	4.46	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	38	2	0.0%	7.9%	2.6%	26.3%	63.2%	4.45	3.84			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	40	0	2.5%	0.0%	5.0%	22.5%	70.0%	4.58	4.13			
Courteousness and politeness.	40	0	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	17.5%	80.0%	4.73	4.22			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	39	1	0.0%	5.1%	10.3%	23.1%	61.5%	4.41	3.99			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	38	2	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%	28.9%	63.2%	4.55	4.21			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	16	0.0%	0.0%	21.7%	21.7%	56.5%	4.35	4.10			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	5	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	11.8%	79.4%	4.71	4.32			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	36	4	0.0%	5.6%	2.8%	36.1%	55.6%	4.42	3.86			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	37	3	0.0%	5.4%	5.4%	24.3%	64.9%	4.49	3.82			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	38	2	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	34.2%	63.2%	4.55	4.22			

CIRCUIT COURT Craig L. Jones												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Jones	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	27	1	7.4%	22.2%	14.8%	22.2%	33.3%	3.52	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	27	1	14.8%	7.4%	25.9%	22.2%	29.6%	3.44	3.84			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	28	0	7.1%	10.7%	25.0%	21.4%	35.7%	3.68	4.13			
Courteousness and politeness.	28	0	14.3%	10.7%	10.7%	35.7%	28.6%	3.54	4.22			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	27	1	7.4%	3.7%	29.6%	25.9%	33.3%	3.74	3.99			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	26	2	11.5%	15.4%	23.1%	15.4%	34.6%	3.46	4.21			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	16	12	31.3%	6.3%	25.0%	0.0%	37.5%	3.06	4.10			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	23	5	8.7%	0.0%	21.7%	26.1%	43.5%	3.96	4.32			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	27	1	7.4%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	25.9%	3.37	3.86			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	28	0	14.3%	14.3%	25.0%	21.4%	25.0%	3.29	3.82			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	28	0	3.6%	10.7%	32.1%	21.4%	32.1%	3.68	4.22			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	27						Favor Oppose	77.8% 22.2%	79.9% 20.1%			

CIRCUIT COURT							D	enise	Nau
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Nad Nad	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	61	1	9.8%	9.8%	4.9%	26.2%	49.2%	3.95	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	61	1	24.6%	13.1%	4.9%	19.7%	37.7%	3.33	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	61	1	18.0%	11.5%	1.6%	21.3%	47.5%	3.69	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	61	1	19.7%	13.1%	3.3%	21.3%	42.6%	3.54	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	60	2	8.3%	13.3%	6.7%	25.0%	46.7%	3.88	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	60	2	6.7%	1.7%	11.7%	30.0%	50.0%	4.15	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	37	25	2.7%	8.1%	24.3%	13.5%	51.4%	4.03	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	54	6	13.0%	7.4%	3.7%	24.1%	51.9%	3.94	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	61	1	13.1%	14.8%	4.9%	19.7%	47.5%	3.74	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	61	1	19.7%	8.2%	8.2%	16.4%	47.5%	3.64	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	61	1	8.2%	6.6%	8.2%	16.4%	60.7%	4.15	4.22
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	62						Favor Oppose	67.7% 32.3%	79.9% 20.1%

CIRCUIT COURT						Mic	hael I	V. Pat	chen
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Patchen	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	43	2	9.3%	2.3%	11.6%	37.2%	39.5%	3.95	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	44	1	6.8%	4.5%	15.9%	27.3%	45.5%	4.00	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	42	2	7.1%	4.8%	7.1%	23.8%	57.1%	4.19	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	44	1	4.5%	2.3%	6.8%	15.9%	70.5%	4.45	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	44	1	2.3%	6.8%	15.9%	29.5%	45.5%	4.09	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	42	3	4.8%	0.0%	9.5%	23.8%	61.9%	4.38	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	26	18	3.8%	0.0%	26.9%	19.2%	50.0%	4.12	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	43	2	7.0%	0.0%	4.7%	16.3%	72.1%	4.47	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	43	2	11.6%	7.0%	7.0%	32.6%	41.9%	3.86	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	43	2	9.3%	9.3%	11.6%	30.2%	39.5%	3.81	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	43	2	4.7%	0.0%	9.3%	23.3%	62.8%	4.40	4.22
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	41						Favor	92.7%	79.9%
of this judge for another term?	41						Oppose	7.3%	20.1%

CIRCUIT COURT							John	R. Pr	okos
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Prokos	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	30	0	16.7%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	33.3%	3.53	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	30	0	26.7%	10.0%	6.7%	16.7%	40.0%	3.33	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	29	1	13.8%	17.2%	0.0%	27.6%	41.4%	3.66	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	29	1	6.9%	3.4%	3.4%	27.6%	58.6%	4.28	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	29	1	17.2%	3.4%	17.2%	17.2%	44.8%	3.69	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	28	2	7.1%	0.0%	10.7%	25.0%	57.1%	4.25	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	20	10	25.0%	0.0%	15.0%	10.0%	50.0%	3.60	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	28	2	14.3%	10.7%	7.1%	14.3%	53.6%	3.82	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	0	16.7%	16.7%	6.7%	20.0%	40.0%	3.50	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	29	1	17.2%	13.8%	17.2%	20.7%	31.0%	3.34	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	27	3	3.7%	7.4%	7.4%	25.9%	55.6%	4.22	4.22
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	30						Favor Oppose	70.0% 30.0%	79.9% 20.1%

CIRCUIT COURT							Jame	s L. R	adda
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Radda	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	34	2	11.8%	17.6%	8.8%	38.2%	23.5%	3.44	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	35	1	22.9%	8.6%	8.6%	25.7%	34.3%	3.40	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	35	1	5.7%	8.6%	5.7%	42.9%	37.1%	3.97	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	35	1	0.0%	5.7%	2.9%	34.3%	57.1%	4.43	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	34	2	8.8%	11.8%	8.8%	38.2%	32.4%	3.74	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	34	1	8.8%	5.9%	14.7%	29.4%	41.2%	3.88	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	14	4.5%	0.0%	40.9%	22.7%	31.8%	3.77	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	4	3.1%	0.0%	28.1%	18.8%	50.0%	4.13	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	35	1	5.7%	14.3%	14.3%	31.4%	34.3%	3.74	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	35	1	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	25.7%	31.4%	3.46	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	34	1	8.8%	5.9%	8.8%	32.4%	44.1%	3.97	4.22

CIRCUIT COURT												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Roberts	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	26	1	0.0%	3.8%	7.7%	50.0%	38.5%	4.23	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	27	0	14.8%	14.8%	22.2%	22.2%	25.9%	3.30	3.84			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	27	0	0.0%	18.5%	14.8%	14.8%	51.9%	4.00	4.13			
Courteousness and politeness.	27	0	7.4%	11.1%	3.7%	18.5%	59.3%	4.11	4.22			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	27	0	11.1%	14.8%	11.1%	25.9%	37.0%	3.63	3.99			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	26	1	19.2%	11.5%	7.7%	23.1%	38.5%	3.50	4.21			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	8	17	0.0%	0.0%	62.5%	0.0%	37.5%	3.75	4.10			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	23	3	8.7%	4.3%	17.4%	17.4%	52.2%	4.00	4.32			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	26	1	3.8%	15.4%	11.5%	46.2%	23.1%	3.69	3.86			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	26	1	0.0%	19.2%	11.5%	38.5%	30.8%	3.81	3.82			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	27	0	3.7%	3.7%	11.1%	29.6%	51.9%	4.22	4.22			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	25						Favor Oppose	76.0% 24.0%	79.9% 20.1%			

CIRCUIT COURT							Terrill R. Tharp		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Tharp	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	34	3	0.0%	2.9%	14.7%	50.0%	32.4%	4.12	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	35	2	2.9%	22.9%	11.4%	22.9%	40.0%	3.74	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	35	1	5.7%	17.1%	5.7%	37.1%	34.3%	3.77	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	36	1	8.3%	16.7%	13.9%	33.3%	27.8%	3.56	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	34	2	2.9%	11.8%	23.5%	32.4%	29.4%	3.74	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	35	2	0.0%	11.4%	17.1%	40.0%	31.4%	3.91	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	19	18	0.0%	10.5%	31.6%	21.1%	36.8%	3.84	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	5	0.0%	9.4%	12.5%	34.4%	43.8%	4.13	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	34	3	2.9%	11.8%	17.6%	38.2%	29.4%	3.79	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	3	2.9%	14.7%	23.5%	26.5%	32.4%	3.71	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	36	1	2.8%	11.1%	16.7%	41.7%	27.8%	3.81	4.22

CIRCUIT COURT							Bruce	B. W	aters
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Waters	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	32	2	3.1%	12.5%	6.3%	37.5%	40.6%	4.00	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	2	6.3%	6.3%	15.6%	28.1%	43.8%	3.97	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	1	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%	4.38	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	32	1	9.4%	3.1%	9.4%	25.0%	53.1%	4.09	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	3	0.0%	6.5%	9.7%	41.9%	41.9%	4.19	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	28	5	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	25.0%	60.7%	4.36	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	11	4.3%	0.0%	21.7%	17.4%	56.5%	4.22	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	27	4	3.7%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	74.1%	4.52	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	4	3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	50.0%	4.13	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	32	2	3.1%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	46.9%	4.00	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	33	1	0.0%	3.0%	9.1%	24.2%	63.6%	4.48	4.22
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	2.4						Favor	85.3%	79.9%
of this judge for another term?	34						Oppose	14.7%	20.1%

CIRCUIT COURT							Fra	nk J. Z	ebre!
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Zepre	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	31	0	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	12.9%	83.9%	4.77	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	31	0	0.0%	6.5%	9.7%	16.1%	67.7%	4.45	3.84
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	31	0	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	12.9%	83.9%	4.77	4.13
Courteousness and politeness.	30	0	0.0%	6.7%	3.3%	10.0%	80.0%	4.63	4.22
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	30	0	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	13.3%	80.0%	4.67	3.99
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	30	1	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	10.0%	86.7%	4.80	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	20	11	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	0.0%	60.0%	4.05	4.10
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	0	0.0%	3.2%	6.5%	6.5%	83.9%	4.71	4.32
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	31	0	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	19.4%	74.2%	4.61	3.86
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	30	1	0.0%	6.7%	3.3%	13.3%	76.7%	4.60	3.82
Preparedness for court proceedings.	31	0	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	12.9%	83.9%	4.77	4.22
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	32						Favor Oppose	90.6% 9.4%	79.9% 20.1%

6. Questionnaire

A copy of the questionnaire is attached.

Welcome to the 2014 Judicial Advisory Poll

The Judicial Advisory Poll is administered every two years by the Wyoming State Bar as a service to Wyoming voters. A goal of the poll is to provide judges with constructive feedback from the legal community.

You will be asked to evaluate each judge and justice **before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months**. You may also evaluate Supreme Court Justices on the basis of having read their written opinions. For each judge who will stand for retention in November, you will be asked to indicate whether you favor or oppose their retention.

Finally, if you rate a judge particularly high or low, please include your comments explaining that rating. All written comments will remain completely confidential.

If you choose not to evaluate a particular justice or judge, you may leave their page blank and skip over to the next page by clicking the "continue" button.

First, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **Wyoming Supreme Court Justices** on the following items.

- E. James Burke
- Michael K. Davis
- Catherine M. Fox
- William U. Hill
- Marilyn S. Kite

JUSTICE: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.						
3. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
4. How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.						
5. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
6. Courteousness and politeness.						
7. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
8. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
Participation in law-related professional activities.						
10. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						

	Written Opinion	Appearance	Both
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court, or simply based on a written opinion?			

Supreme Court Justices up for retention this year are:

- E. James Burke
- Michael K. Davis

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Justice [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the **FEDERAL COURT JUDGES.** Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months.

- Mark L. Carman
- Nancy D. Freudenthal
- Alan B. Johnson
- Peter J. McNiff
- Kelly H. Rankin
- Scott W. Skavdahl

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the FEDERAL COURT JUDGES on the following items.

FEDERAL COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they						
expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the **WYOMING DISTRICT COURT JUDGES.** Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the <u>past 24</u> months.

- John C. Brooks
- Thomas T.C. Campbell
- Steven R. Cranfill
- Timothy C. Day
- Michael N. Deegan
- Jeffrey A. Donnell
- William J. Edelman
- John G. Fenn
- Daniel L. Forgey
- Nena James
- Keith G. Kautz
- Richard L. Lavery

- John R. Perry
- Catherine R. Rogers
- Thomas W. Rumpke
- Dennis L. Sanderson
- Steven K. Sharpe
- Robert E. Skar
- W. Thomas Sullins
- Marvin L. Tyler
- Wade E. Waldrip
- Catherine E. Wilking
- Norman E. Young

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **DISTRICT COURT JUDGES** before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months on the following items.

DISTRICT COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they						
expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

District Court Judges up for retention this year are:

- John C. Brooks
- Steven R. Cranfill
- William J. Edelman
- John G. Fenn
- Nena James
- Daniel L. Forgey
- Richard L. Lavery
- John R. Perry
- Thomas W. Rumpke
- Steven K. Sharpe
- W. Thomas Sullins

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the **WYOMING CIRCUIT COURT.** Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months.

- Randal R. Arp
- Wendy Martin Bartlett
- H. Steven Brown
- I. Vincent Case Jr.
- Robert A. Castor
- Brian D. Christensen
- Roberta A. Coates
- Shelley Ann Cundiff
- Robert B. Denhardt
- Jane Eakin
- Michael L. Greer

- Thomas W. Harrington
- Curt A. Haws
- Craig L. Jones
- Denise Nau
- Michael N. Patchen
- John R. Prokos
- James L. Radda
- Wesley A. Roberts
- Terrill R. Tharp
- Bruce B. Waters
- Frank J. Zebre

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES** before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months on the following items.

CIRCUIT COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they						
expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

Circuit Court Judges up for retention this year are:

- Randal R. Arp
- Robert A. Castor
- Shelley Ann Cundiff
- Jane Eakin
- Thomas W. Harrington
- Craig L. Jones
- Denise Nau
- Michael N. Patchen
- John R. Prokos
- Wesley A. Roberts
- Bruce B. Waters
- Frank J. Zebre

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

That is the end of our survey. Thank you for your cooperation!