

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2012

WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1209

September, 2012

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2012

Ву

W. Trent Holder, Assistant Research Scientist

With the assistance of

Robert Leduc, Ph.D., Associate Research Scientist Sid Murthy, Web Applications Developer Jenna McConnell, Research Aide

Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center

University of Wyoming 1000 E. University Ave, Dept. 3925 Laramie, WY 82071 (307) 766-2189 • wysac@uwyo.edu http://wysac.uwyo.edu

Under contract to

Wyoming State Bar P.O. Box 109 Cheyenne, WY 82003

Citation for this document: WYSAC (2012) *Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll,* 2012, by Holder, W. T. (WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1209). Laramie, WY: Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, University of Wyoming.

Short reference: WYSAC (2012), Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll.

© Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, 2012.

Table of Contents

1.	. Introduction	4
2.	. Report Organization	4
3.	1 8	5
	3.1. Questionnaire Development	5
	3.2. Survey Administration	
	3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	
4.	1 0	
5.	•	10
6.		
Li	List of Tables	
Та	able 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	7
	able 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention	
	able 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level	

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2012

1. Introduction

In the spring of 2012, the Wyoming State Bar commissioned the Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming to administer the 2012 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll. Sharon Wilkinson, Communications Director of the Wyoming State Bar, coordinated the project activities for the Bar.

This document presents the tabulations and analyses performed on the results of the poll.

The Wyoming State Bar undertook its first judicial evaluation poll in 1976 and has completed one each election year since. The goals and uses of the Wyoming Judicial Advisory poll conform to the ABA guidelines as well as to the practices of other Bar associations.

Judicial evaluation polls are used by Bar associations to provide feedback to judicial officials about their performance on the bench and to help the public make more informed judgments in judicial elections. Guidelines established by the American Bar Association (ABA) state that the primary goal of judicial evaluation is "... to improve the performance of individual judges and the judiciary as a whole."

The evaluations received for Wyoming Judges in the 2012 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll will be distributed as follows. First, a copy of this report will be sent to all Wyoming Judges who were evaluated. In addition to the information contained in the general report, individual judicial officials will receive any written comments submitted by members of the Wyoming State Bar responding to this poll regarding their work on the bench. Comments about judges will be released only to the specific judge that they were made about. These comments will not be included in the report sent to the Wyoming State Bar. Second, all data results will be released to the media prior to the election and published in the *Wyoming Lanyer*.

2. Report Organization

The remainder of this report is organized in the following manner:

Section 3 is a concise account of the methodology and timeline used by WYSAC to conduct the poll.

Section 4 relays the condensed results of support for retention for all relevant judicial officials in the "Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention" table. Also included is the "Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level" table, which presents the means for all items in the survey, organized by judicial level.

Section 5 presents the detailed ratings of individual judicial officials, grouped by judicial level and then, within each level, arranged alphabetically according to the judicial official's last name.

Section 6 provides a copy of the survey instrument.

3. Methods

3.1. Questionnaire Development

There were no changes to the survey instrument in 2012. The questionnaire items judicial officials were rated upon remained unchanged. The response choice scale for all performance ratings was the same five point fully balanced Likert scale introduced in a previous survey iteration. This scale includes the following options for each judge: strongly disapprove, somewhat disapprove, neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat approve, strongly approve. The use of this scale controls for bias of the ratings provided by the respondents to the survey.

3.2. Survey Administration

As was the case in 2006, 2008, and 2010, this year the Judicial Advisory Poll was conducted as a web-based survey.

WYSAC revised and updated the survey instrument to reflect the current Wyoming judiciary in Wyoming. WYSAC received an electronic list with contact information of all Wyoming attorneys who are members of the Wyoming State Bar, and are believed to practice in Wyoming, including email addresses, wherever available. There were 1578 Wyoming attorneys on that list.

At the beginning of August 2012, Sharon Wilkinson sent an email to all attorneys in the State Bar to inform them of the upcoming email from WYSAC which was to introduce the survey. The poll was launched on August 9th with an email invitation for participation. The email invitation was sent by WYSAC to all 1504 attorneys who had unique email addresses on file. There were ten pairs of attorneys who shared an email address and 54 attorneys without a unique email addresses on file, so these 74 attorneys were assigned a distinct login code which were communicated to them with a hardcopy letter. These attorneys received invitation letters sent via USPS mail. To all attorneys who had not yet responded with completed surveys, reminder emails were sent roughly every week, specifically on August 15th, 20th, 24th and September 6th and, for attorneys without a valid email address, one reminder letter was mailed on August 22nd.

The survey closed on September 10th, providing all attorneys five full weeks to respond. After closing, the online database was exported into a format suitable for data analysis. A total of 777 attorneys logged into the survey; however, 46 of those merely "clicked through" to the last page of the survey without answering any questions. For purposes of calculating response rates these 46 potential respondents were considered to be ineligible for the survey; an approach based partly upon one attorney contacting WYSAC to explain he/she logged in but did not answer any questions because he/she did not feel qualified. Thus, a total of 731 valid completions were obtained before the cut-off date. From the original respondent list 13 attorneys contacted WYSAC to explain that they did not feel prepared to evaluate any Wyoming judge and 4 flatly refused to respond. The total of 731 completions brings the response rate to 48%, one percentage point lower than in 2010. The results were then tallied and are presented in the tables in *Section 4*. *Group Results* and *Section 5*. *Individual Results*.

3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

The rating system used in this year's poll was a fully-balanced five point Likert scale, where 1 stands for "Strongly Disapprove", 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove", 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 for "Somewhat Approve", 5 for "Strongly Approve." Thus, mean scores can range from 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest and most favorable rating.

Attorneys were asked to rate the Wyoming Supreme Court Justices on ten distinct categories, such as *knowledge of the law* and *courteousness and politeness*. All other judges were rated on 11 categories, eight of which were also used to rate the justices. The 2012 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll report is based upon completed surveys that were submitted electronically by September 10th, 2012. Individual reports for each judicial official are based on the completed responses on each characteristic if and only if the Bar member responding had affirmed (in an answer to a previous question) that they had appeared before the respective judicial official during the past 24 months.

In the case of Supreme Court Justices, evaluations were also allowed based on reading written opinions from those Justices. Bar members were asked to report the basis for their evaluations of the justices (i.e., reading of judicial decisions, appearances before the court, or both).

Bar members were asked whether they favor or oppose the retention of a particular judge. In all cases, they were asked this question only if a particular judge was indeed standing for retention.

The table and guidelines on the following page, "Interpreting the Numeric Ratings" illustrates the summary evaluations received by a hypothetical Justice Jones and outlines suggestions for interpreting the evaluations. Interpreting the numeric ratings can be approached in two ways:

One. Looking at the average scores and how they compare to the average scores of peer judicial officials on the Wyoming Supreme Court, Federal District Court, State District Court, or Circuit Court.

Two. Looking at the percentage distributions of the responses (Strongly Disapprove, Somewhat Disapprove, Neither Approve nor Disapprove, Somewhat Approve, Strongly Approve) to each item on the survey.

Consider a situation where the average score for District Court Judges on *open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters* is 3.6 and one judge scores 3.2 and another scores 4.1 on this item. This means that the first judge scored worse on that particular item compared to the average court score, whereas the second judge scored above the average for the court.

WYOMING STATE BAR JUDICIAL ADVISORY POLL, 2012

Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

SUPREME COURT			EXA	MPLE			J	lustice	Jones
	r of .s		ė	e Ft	ove ve	# 0	> 0	Average	e Scores
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Jones	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	304	80	5.4%	10.7%	30.4%	25.9%	27.7%	3.60	3.80
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	308	75	5.6%	12.0%	33.5%	17.2%	31.8%	3.58	3.23
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	311	82	7.9%	14.4%	24.0%	24.0%	29.7%	3.53	3.48
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	301	63	8.0%	16.8%	21.8%	28.6%	24.8%	3.45	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	300	81	4.6%	9.1%	29.2%	36.5%	20.5%	3.59	3.98
Courteousness and politeness.	304	88	3.7%	3.7%	31.5%	24.1%	37.0%	3.87	4.01
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	308	78	3.9%	4.8%	30.0%	20.9%	40.4%	3.89	3.77
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	303	71	4.7%	3.9%	31.5%	19.4%	40.5%	3.87	3.67
Participation in law-related professional activities.	305	69	4.7%	5.9%	33.9%	41.5%	14.0%	3.54	3.36
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	304	70	7.7%	6.8%	21.8%	28.2%	35.5%	3.77	3.54
					Anna	ırance befor	e the Court	29.7%	28.8%
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or	301				Аррес	-	e the Court en Opinion	12.1%	8.9%
simply based on a written opinion?						.,,,,,,,,	Both	58.2%	62.3%
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this	303						Favor	84.1%	80.9%
judge for another term?							Oppose	15.9%	19.1%

GUIDELINES

Justice Jones was evaluated by 300 attorneys on *Attentiveness to arguments of counsel* and 304 on *Courteousness and politeness*. These numbers vary since each attorney may or may not have answered each rating question.

Justice Jones wants:

An average score better (higher) than his peers for all items.

A majority of "Strongly Approve" and "Somewhat Approve" ratings.

Few, if any, "Strongly Disapprove" and "Somewhat Disapprove" ratings.

The scale employed uses:

- o 1 for "Strongly Disapprove"
- o 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove"
- o 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove"
- o 4 for "Somewhat Approve"
- 5 for "Strongly Approve"

Thus, average scores range from 1 to 5, where 5 equates to the highest rating. Higher average scores are better than lower scores.

The "best" rating Justice Jones received (3.89) on the ten judicial characteristics was for *How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed*. And he ranks higher than his peers (3.77) on this item.

His average score of 3.45 on *How well he is prepared for oral argument* ranks him notably below the average score of 4.10.

Judges standing for retention have an additional row indicating the percent of responding attorneys *favoring* or *opposing* their retention. Also included here is an average percentage calculated for all judges in the same court system who are up for retention.

Each Supreme Court Justice has an additional row showing what percentage of the attorneys rating that Justice based their ratings on "Appearance before the Court", "Written Opinion", or "Both." Again, included is an average percentage calculated for all justices.

4. Group Results

Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2012

Support for Judicial Officials standing for retention

	Favor	Oppose	Number Responding
District Court			
Peter G. Arnold	74.8%	25.2%	139
Timothy C. Day	93.8%	6.2%	97
Michael N. Deegan	97.0%	3.0%	99
Keith G. Kautz	86.4%	13.6%	110
David B. Park	80.4%	19.6%	102
Wade E. Waldrip	91.8%	8.2%	146
Catherine E. Wilking	92.0%	8.0%	100
Circuit Court			
	75.0%	25.0%	40
Wendy M. Bartlett H. Steven Brown	87.0%	13.0%	46
I. Vincent Case	90.0%	10.0%	30
Robert B. Denhardt	90.9%	9.1%	33
Fred R. Dollison	96.3%	3.7%	27
Daniel L. Forgey	87.5%	12.5%	32
Michael L. Greer	100.0%	0.0%	24
Curt A. Haws	95.2%	4.8%	42
Michael E. Huber	71.4%	28.6%	42
James L. Radda	76.1%	23.9%	46
Terrill R. Tharp	85.0%	15.0%	40

Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2012

Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level (means)

Means calculated using a 5 point scale:

(1 "Strongly Disapprove", 2 "Disapprove", 3 "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 "Approve", 5 "Strongly Approve")

	Supreme Court	Federal Court	District Court	Circuit Court	Average of All Courts
Knowledge of the law.	4.20	4.36	4.13	4.01	4.17
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	4.08	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	3.94	4.24	4.02	3.95	4.04
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	4.25	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	4.23	4.38	4.24	4.18	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	4.29	4.66	4.29	4.29	4.38
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	4.11	4.28	4.09	4.06	4.13
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	4.24	4.25	4.14	4.19	4.21
Participation in law-related professional activities.	4.17	4.26	4.09	4.14	4.17
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	4.43	4.66	4.48	4.36	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	n/a	4.23	4.06	3.93	4.08
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	n/a	4.18	4.00	3.93	4.04
Preparedness for court proceedings.	n/a	4.46	4.26	4.19	4.30

5. Individual Results

Following are the results for each justice and judge, ordered by court (Supreme, Federal, District, Circuit), then by last name.

SUPREME COURT RESULTS

SUPREME COURT							E. Ja	mes B	Burke	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Burke	Supreme Court	
Knowledge of the law.	381	173	1.3%	4.5%	13.6%	30.2%	50.4%	4.24	4.20	
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	372	177	1.6%	5.4%	14.2%	32.8%	46.0%	4.16	4.08	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	372	179	3.0%	7.3%	16.4%	26.3%	47.0%	4.07	3.94	
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	328	214	0.9%	2.7%	15.2%	25.9%	55.2%	4.32	4.25	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	329	212	0.9%	1.5%	11.9%	27.7%	58.1%	4.40	4.23	
Courteousness and politeness.	349	191	1.1%	2.6%	10.6%	21.5%	64.2%	4.45	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	344	201	2.3%	4.1%	17.7%	28.2%	47.7%	4.15	4.11	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	320	225	0.9%	1.6%	20.6%	23.8%	53.1%	4.27	4.24	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	316	233	0.6%	1.6%	18.7%	16.1%	63.0%	4.39	4.17	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	364	187	0.8%	1.4%	11.3%	18.1%	68.4%	4.52	4.43	
Was your rating based on an					Appearan	ce before i	the Court	6.5%	4.3%	
appearance before the Court or	417	Written Opinion 47.0%							44.1%	
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	46.5%	51.5%	

SUPREME COURT							Mich	ael Go	olden
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Polytice Golden	Scores Court Subreme
Knowledge of the law.	383	134	1.8%	5.2%	8.6%	26.1%	58.2%	4.34	4.20
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	384	133	3.1%	5.7%	10.2%	34.1%	46.9%	4.16	4.08
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	373	141	6.4%	7.5%	14.2%	25.2%	46.6%	3.98	3.94
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	332	178	1.8%	3.6%	13.9%	26.2%	54.5%	4.28	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	339	171	3.2%	5.0%	14.2%	26.5%	51.0%	4.17	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	345	160	3.5%	4.6%	14.2%	21.7%	55.9%	4.22	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	346	161	3.2%	5.2%	15.0%	28.0%	48.6%	4.14	4.11
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	329	182	1.5%	2.7%	19.5%	24.9%	51.4%	4.22	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	289	218	2.1%	3.8%	27.0%	21.1%	46.0%	4.05	4.17
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	358	154	1.7%	2.2%	12.3%	18.4%	65.4%	4.44	4.43
Was your rating based on an		Appearance before the Court 4.8%							4.3%
appearance before the Court or	398	Written Opinion 41.5%							44.1%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	53.8%	51.5%

SUPREME COURT							Will	iam U	. Hill
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	390	128	3.6%	9.2%	17.7%	33.8%	35.6%	3.89	4.20
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	390	125	4.6%	12.3%	18.2%	31.3%	33.6%	3.77	4.08
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	378	132	4.2%	12.4%	19.6%	27.0%	36.8%	3.80	3.94
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	328	179	2.4%	5.2%	23.2%	28.4%	40.9%	4.00	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	333	172	2.4%	7.2%	17.7%	31.2%	41.4%	4.02	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	354	157	2.5%	5.9%	14.7%	21.2%	55.6%	4.21	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	346	161	2.9%	8.7%	20.5%	30.1%	37.9%	3.91	4.11
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	331	175	0.9%	4.8%	23.6%	27.2%	43.5%	4.08	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	311	195	1.3%	4.2%	24.4%	19.3%	50.8%	4.14	4.17
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	365	143	1.6%	4.4%	14.0%	20.3%	59.7%	4.32	4.43
Was your esting based as as		Appearance before the Court						3.8%	4.3%
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or	395	Written Opinion						44.3%	44.1%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	51.9%	51.5%

SUPREME COURT	SUPREME COURT Mark											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Kite	Scores Court			
Knowledge of the law.	408	109	1.2%	6.1%	9.6%	26.2%	56.9%	4.31	4.20			
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	408	107	1.5%	7.8%	10.5%	26.7%	53.4%	4.23	4.08			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	397	116	3.0%	7.1%	14.4%	27.2%	48.4%	4.11	3.94			
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	340	165	0.6%	4.1%	13.2%	20.3%	61.8%	4.39	4.25			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	345	161	1.2%	2.0%	12.2%	18.6%	66.1%	4.46	4.23			
Courteousness and politeness.	364	143	0.5%	1.6%	9.1%	17.0%	71.7%	4.58	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	364	145	1.9%	3.6%	13.2%	25.8%	55.5%	4.29	4.11			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	351	156	0.6%	2.6%	16.5%	19.9%	60.4%	4.37	4.24			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	340	169	1.5%	3.2%	17.4%	15.3%	62.6%	4.34	4.17			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	383	125	1.0%	2.3%	10.4%	15.4%	70.8%	4.52	4.43			
Was your rating based on an		Appearance before the Court							4.3%			
appearance before the Court or	399	Written Opinion 4							44.1%			
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	53.1%	51.5%			

SUPREME COURT							Barto	on R. \	/oigt
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Voigt	Scores Subreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	389	124	2.3%	5.4%	14.7%	25.4%	52.2%	4.20	4.20
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	387	126	3.1%	10.1%	13.7%	23.8%	49.4%	4.06	4.08
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	379	131	5.8%	15.8%	13.7%	26.4%	38.3%	3.75	3.94
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	330	172	1.8%	3.3%	14.8%	27.0%	53.0%	4.26	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	335	168	3.3%	8.4%	14.9%	23.9%	49.6%	4.08	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	347	156	4.0%	9.2%	16.4%	26.2%	44.1%	3.97	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	348	155	4.0%	6.9%	16.7%	26.1%	46.3%	4.04	4.11
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	324	178	1.2%	3.1%	18.5%	21.0%	56.2%	4.28	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	292	208	2.7%	5.1%	30.5%	23.3%	38.4%	3.89	4.17
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	358	147	2.2%	3.6%	13.4%	17.9%	62.8%	4.35	4.43
Was your rating based on an		Appearance before the Court							4.3%
appearance before the Court or	395	Written Opinion							44.1%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	52.7%	51.5%

FEDERAL COURT RESULTS

FEDERAL COURT						Clare	ence A	. Brin	nmer
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Brimmer Brimmer	e Scores Court
Knowledge of the law.	59	3	1.7%	5.1%	6.8%	37.3%	49.2%	4.27	4.36
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	61	1	6.6%	8.2%	23.0%	29.5%	32.8%	3.74	4.24
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	61	1	14.8%	18.0%	19.7%	18.0%	29.5%	3.30	4.38
Courteousness and politeness.	60	1	1.7%	3.3%	13.3%	18.3%	63.3%	4.38	4.66
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	62	0	4.8%	9.7%	17.7%	35.5%	32.3%	3.81	4.28
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	61	1	1.6%	11.5%	24.6%	27.9%	34.4%	3.82	4.25
Participation in law-related professional activities.	46	16	0.0%	0.0%	39.1%	15.2%	45.7%	4.07	4.26
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	59	4	1.7%	0.0%	8.5%	15.3%	74.6%	4.61	4.66
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	60	2	5.0%	8.3%	8.3%	36.7%	41.7%	4.02	4.23
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	58	4	5.2%	8.6%	10.3%	39.7%	36.2%	3.93	4.18
Preparedness for court proceedings.	59	3	8.5%	11.9%	20.3%	30.5%	28.8%	3.59	4.46

FEDERAL COURT						Nancy	D. Fr	eudei	nthal
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Freudenthal	e Scores Court Court
Knowledge of the law.	135	7	3.0%	10.4%	13.3%	25.9%	47.4%	4.04	4.36
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	138	3	6.5%	8.7%	12.3%	20.3%	52.2%	4.03	4.24
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	137	4	3.6%	7.3%	9.5%	16.1%	63.5%	4.28	4.38
Courteousness and politeness.	136	4	1.5%	5.1%	6.6%	13.2%	73.5%	4.52	4.66
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	136	3	2.9%	7.4%	14.0%	26.5%	49.3%	4.12	4.28
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	134	5	0.7%	1.5%	12.7%	18.7%	66.4%	4.49	4.25
Participation in law-related professional activities.	98	41	0.0%	3.1%	33.7%	19.4%	43.9%	4.04	4.26
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	132	7	2.3%	3.0%	12.9%	13.6%	68.2%	4.42	4.66
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	132	8	4.5%	10.6%	12.1%	36.4%	36.4%	3.89	4.23
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	136	5	7.4%	12.5%	6.6%	30.1%	43.4%	3.90	4.18
Preparedness for court proceedings.	136	4	1.5%	4.4%	8.8%	22.1%	63.2%	4.41	4.46

FEDERAL COURT							Alan	B. Joh	nson
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Johnson Average	e Scores Court
Knowledge of the law.	148	3	0.7%	1.4%	2.0%	27.0%	68.9%	4.62	4.36
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	149	2	1.3%	5.4%	5.4%	25.5%	62.4%	4.42	4.24
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	150	1	0.0%	1.3%	6.7%	11.3%	80.7%	4.71	4.38
Courteousness and politeness.	149	1	0.0%	0.7%	2.0%	16.1%	81.2%	4.78	4.66
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	149	2	2.0%	2.0%	10.1%	23.5%	62.4%	4.42	4.28
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	149	2	8.1%	16.8%	12.1%	20.1%	43.0%	3.73	4.25
Participation in law-related professional activities.	111	40	0.0%	0.0%	18.0%	22.5%	59.5%	4.41	4.26
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	140	7	0.7%	0.0%	2.1%	12.9%	84.3%	4.80	4.66
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	146	5	1.4%	2.7%	5.5%	28.8%	61.6%	4.47	4.23
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	147	3	2.0%	6.1%	6.8%	31.3%	53.7%	4.29	4.18
Preparedness for court proceedings.	150	1	1.3%	0.7%	3.3%	22.7%	72.0%	4.63	4.46

FEDERAL COURT	FEDERAL COURT Peter J. McNiff											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average McNiff	e Scores Court Court			
Knowledge of the law.	58	2	1.7%	8.6%	6.9%	27.6%	55.2%	4.26	4.36			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	57	3	5.3%	3.5%	8.8%	21.1%	61.4%	4.30	4.24			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	59	1	6.8%	3.4%	3.4%	23.7%	62.7%	4.32	4.38			
Courteousness and politeness.	59	1	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	84.7%	4.80	4.66			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	57	2	3.5%	3.5%	15.8%	21.1%	56.1%	4.23	4.28			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	59	0	1.7%	8.5%	8.5%	18.6%	62.7%	4.32	4.25			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	33	26	3.0%	6.1%	18.2%	6.1%	66.7%	4.27	4.26			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	55	5	0.0%	1.8%	3.6%	18.2%	76.4%	4.69	4.66			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	58	2	6.9%	6.9%	10.3%	20.7%	55.2%	4.10	4.23			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	57	3	3.5%	8.8%	7.0%	26.3%	54.4%	4.19	4.18			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	59	1	1.7%	5.1%	6.8%	25.4%	61.0%	4.39	4.46			

FEDERAL COURT	FEDERAL COURT Scott W. Skavdahl										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Skavdahl	e Scores Court Court		
Knowledge of the law.	141	6	0.0%	2.1%	12.1%	23.4%	62.4%	4.46	4.36		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	145	4	1.4%	4.1%	7.6%	21.4%	65.5%	4.46	4.24		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	145	4	0.7%	3.4%	4.8%	15.9%	75.2%	4.61	4.38		
Courteousness and politeness.	146	2	0.7%	2.1%	2.1%	15.1%	80.1%	4.72	4.66		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	142	7	1.4%	0.7%	10.6%	21.1%	66.2%	4.50	4.28		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	146	2	0.0%	0.7%	4.8%	16.4%	78.1%	4.72	4.25		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	100	47	0.0%	1.0%	20.0%	20.0%	59.0%	4.37	4.26		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	140	8	0.7%	0.0%	4.3%	12.1%	82.9%	4.76	4.66		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	140	8	0.7%	2.1%	12.1%	22.9%	62.1%	4.44	4.23		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	141	6	0.7%	2.1%	10.6%	25.5%	61.0%	4.44	4.18		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	145	4	0.0%	0.7%	5.5%	17.2%	76.6%	4.70	4.46		

DISTRICT COURT RESULTS

DISTRICT COURT	Peter	G. Aı	rnold						
	ber of ents	ion	rly ove	hat ove	ove nor	hat ve	gly ve	Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Arnold	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	142	2	12.0%	17.6%	9.9%	34.5%	26.1%	3.45	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	144	0	6.3%	15.3%	14.6%	25.0%	38.9%	3.75	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	144	0	3.5%	4.9%	10.4%	29.9%	51.4%	4.21	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	144	0	4.2%	2.1%	5.6%	20.8%	67.4%	4.45	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	143	1	7.0%	16.8%	18.2%	28.0%	30.1%	3.57	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	143	1	6.3%	11.2%	11.2%	28.0%	43.4%	3.91	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	95	48	2.1%	1.1%	25.3%	23.2%	48.4%	4.15	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	135	9	3.0%	1.5%	8.9%	20.0%	66.7%	4.46	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	141	3	12.1%	19.1%	15.6%	30.5%	22.7%	3.33	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	143	1	14.7%	16.1%	12.6%	34.3%	22.4%	3.34	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	142	2	4.9%	5.6%	15.5%	31.7%	42.3%	4.01	4.26
	1								
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	139						Favor	74.8%	87.5%
of this judge for another term?							Oppose	25.2%	12.5%

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT John C. Brooks										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Brooks	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	138	4	2.9%	4.3%	5.1%	34.8%	52.9%	4.30	4.13		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	140	1	1.4%	7.9%	8.6%	28.6%	53.6%	4.25	4.02		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	140	2	1.4%	5.0%	10.0%	25.0%	58.6%	4.34	4.24		
Courteousness and politeness.	141	1	0.7%	2.8%	6.4%	19.9%	70.2%	4.56	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	139	3	2.2%	7.2%	10.1%	29.5%	51.1%	4.20	4.09		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	137	4	0.7%	4.4%	9.5%	29.9%	55.5%	4.35	4.14		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	81	61	0.0%	2.5%	38.3%	22.2%	37.0%	3.94	4.09		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	137	5	0.0%	2.2%	4.4%	20.4%	73.0%	4.64	4.48		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	140	2	2.9%	5.0%	5.7%	33.6%	52.9%	4.29	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	138	4	1.4%	8.0%	10.9%	29.0%	50.7%	4.20	4.00		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	139	3	2.2%	7.2%	5.8%	29.5%	55.4%	4.29	4.26		

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Thomas T.C. Campbell									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Campbell	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	156	2	6.4%	13.5%	6.4%	32.7%	41.0%	3.88	4.13	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	155	2	7.1%	6.5%	11.6%	25.2%	49.7%	4.04	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	157	1	6.4%	7.0%	5.7%	24.8%	56.1%	4.17	4.24	
Courteousness and politeness.	157	0	4.5%	5.1%	2.5%	24.8%	63.1%	4.37	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	153	5	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	26.1%	44.4%	3.92	4.09	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	152	5	17.1%	13.2%	10.5%	23.0%	36.2%	3.48	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	104	53	2.9%	0.0%	22.1%	25.0%	50.0%	4.19	4.09	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	147	11	3.4%	2.0%	7.5%	17.0%	70.1%	4.48	4.48	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	153	4	7.8%	11.8%	9.2%	32.0%	39.2%	3.83	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	155	2	9.0%	11.0%	10.3%	33.5%	36.1%	3.77	4.00	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	157	1	5.7%	7.0%	10.8%	28.7%	47.8%	4.06	4.26	

DISTRICT COURT Steven R. Cranfi									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Cranfill	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	105	0	8.6%	19.0%	11.4%	40.0%	21.0%	3.46	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	104	2	9.6%	7.7%	10.6%	27.9%	44.2%	3.89	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	104	1	4.8%	5.8%	8.7%	29.8%	51.0%	4.16	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	106	0	0.9%	2.8%	4.7%	18.9%	72.6%	4.59	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	105	1	10.5%	9.5%	13.3%	33.3%	33.3%	3.70	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	101	3	7.9%	7.9%	11.9%	35.6%	36.6%	3.85	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	71	34	2.8%	1.4%	32.4%	19.7%	43.7%	4.00	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	100	6	3.0%	7.0%	7.0%	23.0%	60.0%	4.30	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	106	0	9.4%	17.9%	16.0%	32.1%	24.5%	3.44	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	103	3	11.7%	16.5%	15.5%	29.1%	27.2%	3.44	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	105	1	8.6%	6.7%	13.3%	37.1%	34.3%	3.82	4.26

DISTRICT COURT Michael K. Davis									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Davis	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	162	1	1.2%	3.7%	2.5%	11.7%	80.9%	4.67	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	163	0	1.8%	0.6%	5.5%	15.3%	76.7%	4.64	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	162	0	0.0%	3.1%	2.5%	16.0%	78.4%	4.70	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	162	1	1.9%	2.5%	3.7%	8.0%	84.0%	4.70	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	160	2	1.3%	1.3%	3.8%	19.4%	74.4%	4.64	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	163	0	1.8%	2.5%	6.7%	14.7%	74.2%	4.57	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	110	52	0.0%	0.9%	24.5%	10.0%	64.5%	4.38	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	155	6	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	7.1%	89.0%	4.85	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	160	2	2.5%	1.9%	2.5%	13.1%	80.0%	4.66	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	161	2	2.5%	1.9%	3.1%	14.9%	77.6%	4.63	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	163	0	0.0%	1.2%	5.5%	13.5%	79.8%	4.72	4.26

DISTRICT COURT							Timo	thy C.	Day
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Day	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	97	2	5.2%	3.1%	3.1%	35.1%	53.6%	4.29	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	94	5	6.4%	5.3%	3.2%	21.3%	63.8%	4.31	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	96	3	5.2%	3.1%	1.0%	17.7%	72.9%	4.50	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	96	2	3.1%	0.0%	2.1%	8.3%	86.5%	4.75	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	95	4	4.2%	2.1%	7.4%	27.4%	58.9%	4.35	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	93	6	5.4%	4.3%	6.5%	19.4%	64.5%	4.33	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	65	34	3.1%	1.5%	18.5%	12.3%	64.6%	4.34	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	91	7	3.3%	0.0%	5.5%	14.3%	76.9%	4.62	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	93	5	4.3%	4.3%	5.4%	24.7%	61.3%	4.34	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	93	6	6.5%	1.1%	8.6%	23.7%	60.2%	4.30	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	96	3	4.2%	1.0%	5.2%	19.8%	69.8%	4.50	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	07						Favor	93.8%	87.5%
of this judge for another term?	97						Oppose	6.2%	12.5%

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Michael											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Deegan	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	100	2	1.0%	3.0%	4.0%	38.0%	54.0%	4.41	4.13			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	100	2	3.0%	5.0%	6.0%	26.0%	60.0%	4.35	4.02			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	101	1	1.0%	1.0%	3.0%	17.8%	77.2%	4.69	4.24			
Courteousness and politeness.	101	1	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	12.9%	85.1%	4.82	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	101	1	2.0%	4.0%	4.0%	32.7%	57.4%	4.40	4.09			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	97	5	0.0%	1.0%	5.2%	26.8%	67.0%	4.60	4.14			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	56	45	0.0%	0.0%	26.8%	16.1%	57.1%	4.30	4.09			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	93	8	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	9.7%	88.2%	4.86	4.48			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	100	2	2.0%	6.0%	2.0%	36.0%	54.0%	4.34	4.06			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	101	1	3.0%	2.0%	4.0%	32.7%	58.4%	4.42	4.00			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	97	3	0.0%	1.0%	2.1%	21.6%	75.3%	4.71	4.26			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	00						Favor	97.0%	87.5%			
of this judge for another term?	99						Oppose	3.0%	12.5%			

DISTRICT COURT Jeffrey A. Donnel									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Donnell	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	129	1	1.6%	2.3%	3.1%	22.5%	70.5%	4.58	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	129	0	7.8%	12.4%	14.0%	28.7%	37.2%	3.75	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	130	0	3.8%	8.5%	6.2%	31.5%	50.0%	4.15	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	130	0	10.8%	17.7%	13.1%	24.6%	33.8%	3.53	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	130	0	3.1%	3.1%	8.5%	30.0%	55.4%	4.32	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	127	2	0.8%	0.8%	8.7%	18.1%	71.7%	4.59	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	78	51	3.8%	2.6%	29.5%	14.1%	50.0%	4.04	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	123	5	2.4%	3.3%	6.5%	17.9%	69.9%	4.50	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	129	1	2.3%	5.4%	3.9%	18.6%	69.8%	4.48	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	130	0	2.3%	6.2%	4.6%	25.4%	61.5%	4.38	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	130	0	2.3%	1.5%	1.5%	18.5%	76.2%	4.65	4.26

DISTRICT COURT John G. Feni										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Bpnr By	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	132	5	3.8%	5.3%	9.1%	30.3%	51.5%	4.20	4.13	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	135	2	6.7%	8.1%	10.4%	22.2%	52.6%	4.06	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	136	1	3.7%	7.4%	8.8%	22.1%	58.1%	4.24	4.24	
Courteousness and politeness.	136	1	4.4%	5.1%	6.6%	22.8%	61.0%	4.31	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	133	3	3.0%	6.0%	18.8%	24.1%	48.1%	4.08	4.09	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	133	4	2.3%	4.5%	12.0%	27.1%	54.1%	4.26	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	82	54	1.2%	1.2%	35.4%	13.4%	48.8%	4.07	4.09	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	127	10	1.6%	3.1%	9.4%	11.0%	74.8%	4.54	4.48	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	132	5	5.3%	5.3%	6.8%	34.8%	47.7%	4.14	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	134	3	4.5%	13.4%	9.7%	29.1%	43.3%	3.93	4.00	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	133	4	2.3%	3.0%	15.0%	24.1%	55.6%	4.28	4.26	

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Nena R. James										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average James Jndge James	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	98	2	24.5%	13.3%	14.3%	26.5%	21.4%	3.07	4.13		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	99	1	29.3%	11.1%	17.2%	17.2%	25.3%	2.98	4.02		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	97	2	20.6%	16.5%	10.3%	15.5%	37.1%	3.32	4.24		
Courteousness and politeness.	97	1	20.6%	6.2%	16.5%	14.4%	42.3%	3.52	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	99	1	22.2%	14.1%	17.2%	21.2%	25.3%	3.13	4.09		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	97	3	29.9%	17.5%	15.5%	17.5%	19.6%	2.79	4.14		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	60	39	21.7%	6.7%	28.3%	13.3%	30.0%	3.23	4.09		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	89	11	19.1%	7.9%	16.9%	18.0%	38.2%	3.48	4.48		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	97	3	25.8%	12.4%	15.5%	26.8%	19.6%	3.02	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	98	2	26.5%	13.3%	15.3%	25.5%	19.4%	2.98	4.00		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	99	1	23.2%	11.1%	16.2%	24.2%	25.3%	3.17	4.26		

DISTRICT COURT Keith G. Kautz											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Kautz	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	116	0	0.9%	11.2%	6.9%	30.2%	50.9%	4.19	4.13		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	113	1	4.4%	10.6%	8.8%	20.4%	55.8%	4.12	4.02		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	113	3	2.7%	7.1%	3.5%	23.9%	62.8%	4.37	4.24		
Courteousness and politeness.	115	1	3.5%	7.8%	3.5%	19.1%	66.1%	4.37	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	114	2	1.8%	7.0%	7.0%	28.9%	55.3%	4.29	4.09		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	113	3	0.9%	3.5%	11.5%	20.4%	63.7%	4.42	4.14		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	72	41	0.0%	1.4%	25.0%	13.9%	59.7%	4.32	4.09		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	105	9	1.0%	2.9%	5.7%	13.3%	77.1%	4.63	4.48		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	114	2	2.6%	10.5%	11.4%	25.4%	50.0%	4.10	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	113	3	3.5%	8.8%	7.1%	26.5%	54.0%	4.19	4.00		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	112	3	0.9%	3.6%	8.9%	21.4%	65.2%	4.46	4.26		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention							Favor	86.4%	87.5%		
of this judge for another term?	110						Oppose	13.6%	12.5%		

DISTRICT COURT Richard L. Lavery											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Pavery	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	31	1	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	29.0%	67.7%	4.65	4.13		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	30	2	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	16.7%	80.0%	4.77	4.02		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	31	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.1%	83.9%	4.84	4.24		
Courteousness and politeness.	31	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	90.3%	4.90	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	29	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.1%	75.9%	4.76	4.09		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	26	5	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	15.4%	76.9%	4.65	4.14		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	19	12	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	5.3%	84.2%	4.74	4.09		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	28	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	85.7%	4.86	4.48		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	29	2	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	31.0%	62.1%	4.55	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	29	3	0.0%	3.4%	6.9%	24.1%	65.5%	4.52	4.00		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	31	1	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	29.0%	67.7%	4.65	4.26		

DISTRICT COURT David B. Par											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Park	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	108	2	5.6%	13.0%	7.4%	30.6%	43.5%	3.94	4.13		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	108	0	8.3%	16.7%	12.0%	21.3%	41.7%	3.71	4.02		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	110	0	6.4%	9.1%	10.9%	30.0%	43.6%	3.95	4.24		
Courteousness and politeness.	108	0	10.2%	7.4%	7.4%	25.9%	49.1%	3.96	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	106	3	6.6%	11.3%	16.0%	27.4%	38.7%	3.80	4.09		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	107	2	4.7%	2.8%	11.2%	28.0%	53.3%	4.22	4.14		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	70	40	1.4%	4.3%	35.7%	21.4%	37.1%	3.89	4.09		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	99	7	5.1%	5.1%	10.1%	25.3%	54.5%	4.19	4.48		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	107	2	6.5%	16.8%	6.5%	29.0%	41.1%	3.81	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	109	1	8.3%	16.5%	8.3%	29.4%	37.6%	3.72	4.00		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	110	0	4.5%	7.3%	10.0%	29.1%	49.1%	4.11	4.26		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	400						Favor	80.4%	87.5%		
of this judge for another term?	102					Oppose	19.6%	12.5%			

DISTRICT COURT John R. Perry										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Berry	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	86	3	2.3%	3.5%	11.6%	27.9%	54.7%	4.29	4.13	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	88	0	4.5%	8.0%	11.4%	22.7%	53.4%	4.13	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	89	0	2.2%	5.6%	7.9%	19.1%	65.2%	4.39	4.24	
Courteousness and politeness.	89	0	2.2%	4.5%	4.5%	18.0%	70.8%	4.51	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	88	0	3.4%	3.4%	10.2%	27.3%	55.7%	4.28	4.09	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	89	0	2.2%	3.4%	5.6%	34.8%	53.9%	4.35	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	48	40	0.0%	0.0%	29.2%	22.9%	47.9%	4.19	4.09	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	84	5	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	10.7%	78.6%	4.68	4.48	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	86	3	1.2%	5.8%	11.6%	26.7%	54.7%	4.28	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	88	1	5.7%	5.7%	10.2%	23.9%	54.5%	4.16	4.00	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	89	0	4.5%	3.4%	7.9%	25.8%	58.4%	4.30	4.26	

DISTRICT COURT Dan R. Price, II										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Price	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	97	2	1.0%	3.1%	10.3%	32.0%	53.6%	4.34	4.13	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	93	4	9.7%	18.3%	14.0%	28.0%	30.1%	3.51	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	96	1	7.3%	9.4%	13.5%	36.5%	33.3%	3.79	4.24	
Courteousness and politeness.	96	1	16.7%	19.8%	13.5%	24.0%	26.0%	3.23	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	96	3	6.3%	9.4%	10.4%	36.5%	37.5%	3.90	4.09	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	93	6	2.2%	5.4%	10.8%	36.6%	45.2%	4.17	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	47	51	0.0%	0.0%	27.7%	31.9%	40.4%	4.13	4.09	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	90	9	1.1%	2.2%	11.1%	23.3%	62.2%	4.43	4.48	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	96	3	3.1%	8.3%	8.3%	32.3%	47.9%	4.14	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	98	1	5.1%	10.2%	9.2%	37.8%	37.8%	3.93	4.00	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	97	2	0.0%	5.2%	15.5%	30.9%	48.5%	4.23	4.26	

DISTRICT COURT Dennis L. Sanderson											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Sanderson	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	99	4	8.1%	7.1%	15.2%	37.4%	32.3%	3.79	4.13		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	99	3	9.1%	15.2%	19.2%	24.2%	32.3%	3.56	4.02		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	99	4	11.1%	16.2%	14.1%	25.3%	33.3%	3.54	4.24		
Courteousness and politeness.	99	3	15.2%	17.2%	10.1%	17.2%	40.4%	3.51	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	97	6	10.3%	13.4%	14.4%	36.1%	25.8%	3.54	4.09		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	98	5	22.4%	7.1%	18.4%	25.5%	26.5%	3.27	4.14		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	58	45	8.6%	3.4%	31.0%	29.3%	27.6%	3.64	4.09		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	95	7	3.2%	4.2%	16.8%	25.3%	50.5%	4.16	4.48		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	99	4	8.1%	16.2%	14.1%	35.4%	26.3%	3.56	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	98	4	11.2%	17.3%	18.4%	24.5%	28.6%	3.42	4.00		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	97	6	16.5%	17.5%	21.6%	17.5%	26.8%	3.21	4.26		

DISTRICT COURT Robert E. Sk										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Skar	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	85	3	3.5%	2.4%	4.7%	40.0%	49.4%	4.29	4.13	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	86	2	4.7%	4.7%	10.5%	33.7%	46.5%	4.13	4.02	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	86	0	2.3%	8.1%	4.7%	29.1%	55.8%	4.28	4.24	
Courteousness and politeness.	88	0	5.7%	5.7%	4.5%	31.8%	52.3%	4.19	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	82	4	3.7%	3.7%	6.1%	34.1%	52.4%	4.28	4.09	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	85	3	7.1%	8.2%	12.9%	29.4%	42.4%	3.92	4.14	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	52	35	1.9%	1.9%	34.6%	32.7%	28.8%	3.85	4.09	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	83	3	2.4%	1.2%	7.2%	27.7%	61.4%	4.45	4.48	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	83	4	3.6%	1.2%	7.2%	34.9%	53.0%	4.33	4.06	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	84	4	3.6%	4.8%	9.5%	31.0%	51.2%	4.21	4.00	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	85	2	2.4%	5.9%	7.1%	30.6%	54.1%	4.28	4.26	

DISTRICT COURT W. Thomas Sullin									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sullins agont	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	106	2	0.9%	2.8%	5.7%	26.4%	64.2%	4.50	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	104	4	2.9%	6.7%	8.7%	19.2%	62.5%	4.32	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	106	2	0.9%	4.7%	5.7%	17.9%	70.8%	4.53	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	106	1	1.9%	3.8%	4.7%	14.2%	75.5%	4.58	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	105	3	3.8%	4.8%	7.6%	21.0%	62.9%	4.34	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	103	5	1.0%	1.9%	9.7%	17.5%	69.9%	4.53	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	64	44	0.0%	1.6%	32.8%	9.4%	56.3%	4.20	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	103	5	1.0%	1.0%	7.8%	14.6%	75.7%	4.63	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	105	3	1.0%	5.7%	9.5%	21.0%	62.9%	4.39	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	105	3	1.0%	4.8%	9.5%	22.9%	61.9%	4.40	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	107	1	0.0%	1.9%	4.7%	21.5%	72.0%	4.64	4.26

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Tyler	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	129	2	1.6%	7.8%	7.8%	25.6%	57.4%	4.29	4.13		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	128	4	5.5%	4.7%	13.3%	19.5%	57.0%	4.18	4.02		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	129	3	3.1%	3.1%	7.0%	22.5%	64.3%	4.42	4.24		
Courteousness and politeness.	128	4	3.9%	4.7%	4.7%	17.2%	69.5%	4.44	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	128	4	3.1%	4.7%	14.8%	25.0%	52.3%	4.19	4.09		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	127	5	5.5%	9.4%	12.6%	25.2%	47.2%	3.99	4.14		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	74	58	2.7%	1.4%	25.7%	23.0%	47.3%	4.11	4.09		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	121	10	2.5%	2.5%	10.7%	18.2%	66.1%	4.43	4.48		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	129	3	3.1%	5.4%	11.6%	24.0%	55.8%	4.24	4.06		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	127	4	4.7%	10.2%	8.7%	26.8%	49.6%	4.06	4.00		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	128	3	1.6%	0.8%	9.4%	23.4%	64.8%	4.49	4.26		

DISTRICT COURT						V	Vade	E. Wa	ldrip
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Waldrip	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	154	0	2.6%	5.2%	4.5%	33.8%	53.9%	4.31	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	154	0	7.1%	7.1%	3.2%	26.6%	55.8%	4.17	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	154	0	4.5%	3.9%	4.5%	18.2%	68.8%	4.43	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	154	0	5.2%	5.8%	3.9%	12.3%	72.7%	4.42	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	152	2	3.9%	5.9%	5.9%	26.3%	57.9%	4.28	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	151	3	2.0%	2.0%	4.0%	23.8%	68.2%	4.54	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	93	60	1.1%	2.2%	23.7%	19.4%	53.8%	4.23	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	146	8	4.1%	0.0%	6.2%	16.4%	73.3%	4.55	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	153	0	3.3%	7.8%	4.6%	32.0%	52.3%	4.22	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	154	0	3.2%	7.8%	5.8%	29.9%	53.2%	4.22	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	152	2	0.7%	2.6%	3.3%	23.0%	70.4%	4.60	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	146						Favor	91.8%	87.5%
of this judge for another term?	170						Oppose	8.2%	12.5%

DISTRICT COURT	erine	E. Wi	lking						
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Wilking	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	100	8	5.0%	6.0%	10.0%	32.0%	47.0%	4.10	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	99	6	4.0%	10.1%	12.1%	20.2%	53.5%	4.09	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	103	4	4.9%	7.8%	7.8%	21.4%	58.3%	4.20	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	106	1	2.8%	9.4%	6.6%	18.9%	62.3%	4.28	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	102	5	2.9%	4.9%	17.6%	23.5%	51.0%	4.15	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	101	6	1.0%	2.0%	11.9%	23.8%	61.4%	4.43	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	59	47	0.0%	3.4%	27.1%	16.9%	52.5%	4.19	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	96	10	2.1%	3.1%	11.5%	14.6%	68.8%	4.45	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	100	7	2.0%	6.0%	12.0%	32.0%	48.0%	4.18	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	99	8	4.0%	11.1%	10.1%	25.3%	49.5%	4.05	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	103	4	1.9%	1.0%	8.7%	30.1%	58.3%	4.42	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	400						Favor	92.0%	87.5%
of this judge for another term?	100						Oppose	8.0%	12.5%

DISTRICT COURT Norman E. Young									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Aonug BunoA again	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	125	4	10.4%	5.6%	8.8%	28.8%	46.4%	3.95	4.13
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	125	3	12.0%	12.0%	6.4%	17.6%	52.0%	3.86	4.02
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	127	2	4.7%	9.4%	3.9%	28.3%	53.5%	4.17	4.24
Courteousness and politeness.	126	2	2.4%	5.6%	4.8%	18.3%	69.0%	4.46	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	125	4	4.0%	11.2%	8.8%	29.6%	46.4%	4.03	4.09
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	124	5	7.3%	12.1%	8.9%	29.0%	42.7%	3.88	4.14
Participation in law-related professional activities.	71	56	2.8%	2.8%	28.2%	23.9%	42.3%	4.00	4.09
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	121	6	7.4%	5.0%	7.4%	16.5%	63.6%	4.24	4.48
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	125	4	10.4%	10.4%	6.4%	24.0%	48.8%	3.90	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	124	4	11.3%	10.5%	7.3%	25.0%	46.0%	3.84	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	125	4	5.6%	6.4%	9.6%	32.0%	46.4%	4.07	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT RESULTS

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Randal R. Arp											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Arp	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	34	1	0.0%	2.9%	5.9%	47.1%	44.1%	4.32	4.01			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	34	1	2.9%	8.8%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%	4.21	3.95			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	1	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	39.4%	54.5%	4.48	4.18			
Courteousness and politeness.	34	1	2.9%	0.0%	5.9%	35.3%	55.9%	4.41	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	34	1	0.0%	8.8%	17.6%	32.4%	41.2%	4.06	4.06			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	1	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	40.6%	56.3%	4.53	4.19			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	14	0.0%	0.0%	38.1%	19.0%	42.9%	4.05	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	3	0.0%	3.1%	3.1%	25.0%	68.8%	4.59	4.36			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	34	1	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	47.1%	44.1%	4.26	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	33	1	0.0%	15.2%	9.1%	27.3%	48.5%	4.09	3.93			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	34	1	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	35.3%	61.8%	4.59	4.19			

CIRCUIT COURT	M. Ba	rtlett							
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Bartlett	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	43	0	7.0%	14.0%	14.0%	34.9%	30.2%	3.67	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	42	1	9.5%	11.9%	9.5%	28.6%	40.5%	3.79	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	43	0	4.7%	7.0%	14.0%	23.3%	51.2%	4.09	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	43	0	9.3%	4.7%	9.3%	25.6%	51.2%	4.05	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	41	2	2.4%	7.3%	19.5%	31.7%	39.0%	3.98	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	40	3	2.5%	0.0%	10.0%	40.0%	47.5%	4.30	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	22	4.8%	0.0%	33.3%	9.5%	52.4%	4.05	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	38	4	5.3%	2.6%	7.9%	15.8%	68.4%	4.39	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	42	1	9.5%	9.5%	16.7%	35.7%	28.6%	3.64	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	42	1	7.1%	9.5%	11.9%	38.1%	33.3%	3.81	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	43	0	2.3%	0.0%	9.3%	30.2%	58.1%	4.42	4.19
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	40						Favor	75.0%	85.6%
of this judge for another term?	40						Oppose	25.0%	14.4%

CIRCUIT COURT						ŀ	H. Ste	ven Bi	rown
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Brown Brown	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	52	0	7.7%	17.3%	17.3%	25.0%	32.7%	3.58	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	51	0	5.9%	21.6%	17.6%	19.6%	35.3%	3.57	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	52	0	5.8%	19.2%	7.7%	26.9%	40.4%	3.77	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	52	0	0.0%	9.6%	5.8%	26.9%	57.7%	4.33	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	49	2	8.2%	16.3%	20.4%	22.4%	32.7%	3.55	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	49	2	0.0%	10.2%	12.2%	36.7%	40.8%	4.08	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	29	22	0.0%	6.9%	24.1%	20.7%	48.3%	4.10	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	48	3	4.2%	6.3%	14.6%	20.8%	54.2%	4.15	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	52	0	11.5%	13.5%	21.2%	26.9%	26.9%	3.44	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	51	1	13.7%	23.5%	7.8%	23.5%	31.4%	3.35	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	52	0	3.8%	17.3%	13.5%	30.8%	34.6%	3.75	4.19
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	46						Favor	87.0%	85.6%
of this judge for another term?	40						Oppose	13.0%	14.4%

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT I. Vin										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Case Case	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	30	1	0.0%	6.7%	6.7%	43.3%	43.3%	4.23	4.01		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	29	2	0.0%	3.4%	6.9%	41.4%	48.3%	4.34	3.95		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	30	1	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	43.3%	53.3%	4.50	4.18		
Courteousness and politeness.	30	1	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	30.0%	66.7%	4.60	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	30	1	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	40.0%	50.0%	4.40	4.06		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	30	1	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	33.3%	56.7%	4.37	4.19		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	16	14	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	4.13	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	28	3	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	28.6%	64.3%	4.57	4.36		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	1	0.0%	13.3%	3.3%	40.0%	43.3%	4.13	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	30	1	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	33.3%	53.3%	4.40	3.93		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	30	1	0.0%	3.3%	10.0%	36.7%	50.0%	4.33	4.19		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention							Favor	90.0%	85.6%		
of this judge for another term?	30						Oppose	10.0%	14.4%		

CIRCUIT COURT Robert A. Casto									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Castor	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	63	2	1.6%	3.2%	9.5%	28.6%	57.1%	4.37	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	63	2	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	30.2%	60.3%	4.41	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	63	2	0.0%	3.2%	4.8%	23.8%	68.3%	4.57	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	63	2	1.6%	3.2%	4.8%	12.7%	77.8%	4.62	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	62	3	0.0%	3.2%	8.1%	27.4%	61.3%	4.47	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	61	3	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	19.7%	75.4%	4.70	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	43	21	0.0%	0.0%	18.6%	14.0%	67.4%	4.49	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	61	4	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	19.7%	70.5%	4.57	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	62	3	1.6%	3.2%	12.9%	24.2%	58.1%	4.34	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	63	2	1.6%	0.0%	9.5%	30.2%	58.7%	4.44	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	63	2	1.6%	0.0%	7.9%	19.0%	71.4%	4.59	4.19

CIRCUIT COURT Roberta A. Coate									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Coates	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	75	0	17.3%	18.7%	1.3%	29.3%	33.3%	3.43	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	72	3	4.2%	15.3%	6.9%	25.0%	48.6%	3.99	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	74	1	4.1%	6.8%	8.1%	20.3%	60.8%	4.27	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	73	2	0.0%	4.1%	4.1%	17.8%	74.0%	4.62	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	74	1	10.8%	13.5%	10.8%	21.6%	43.2%	3.73	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	70	5	7.1%	2.9%	11.4%	27.1%	51.4%	4.13	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	50	24	2.0%	4.0%	14.0%	18.0%	62.0%	4.34	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	67	7	3.0%	3.0%	7.5%	13.4%	73.1%	4.51	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	72	2	19.4%	13.9%	6.9%	23.6%	36.1%	3.43	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	73	2	16.4%	15.1%	9.6%	23.3%	35.6%	3.47	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	72	2	5.6%	9.7%	12.5%	26.4%	45.8%	3.97	4.19

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Robert B. Der											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Denhardt	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	34	1	5.9%	8.8%	8.8%	41.2%	35.3%	3.91	4.01			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	34	1	5.9%	11.8%	14.7%	23.5%	44.1%	3.88	3.95			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	34	1	5.9%	5.9%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%	4.18	4.18			
Courteousness and politeness.	35	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	34.3%	57.1%	4.49	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	34	1	8.8%	2.9%	8.8%	29.4%	50.0%	4.09	4.06			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	3	6.3%	3.1%	9.4%	34.4%	46.9%	4.13	4.19			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	20	15	5.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	55.0%	4.20	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	3	0.0%	6.3%	15.6%	25.0%	53.1%	4.25	4.36			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	34	1	11.8%	0.0%	14.7%	35.3%	38.2%	3.88	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	1	11.8%	8.8%	11.8%	23.5%	44.1%	3.79	3.93			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	34	1	5.9%	2.9%	11.8%	32.4%	47.1%	4.12	4.19			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	20						Favor	90.9%	85.6%			
of this judge for another term?	33						Oppose	9.1%	14.4%			

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Fred											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Dollison	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	30	1	0.0%	3.3%	13.3%	56.7%	26.7%	4.07	4.01			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	31	0	6.5%	6.5%	16.1%	25.8%	45.2%	3.97	3.95			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	31	0	0.0%	3.2%	12.9%	29.0%	54.8%	4.35	4.18			
Courteousness and politeness.	31	0	3.2%	6.5%	3.2%	25.8%	61.3%	4.35	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	29	2	0.0%	3.4%	17.2%	44.8%	34.5%	4.10	4.06			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	28	3	0.0%	3.6%	17.9%	39.3%	39.3%	4.14	4.19			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	12	18	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	16.7%	50.0%	4.17	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	30	1	3.3%	0.0%	6.7%	23.3%	66.7%	4.50	4.36			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	1	3.3%	3.3%	16.7%	30.0%	46.7%	4.13	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	30	1	3.3%	3.3%	20.0%	33.3%	40.0%	4.03	3.93			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	30	1	3.3%	0.0%	23.3%	26.7%	46.7%	4.13	4.19			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	67						Favor	96.3%	85.6%			
of this judge for another term?	27						Oppose	3.7%	14.4%			

CIRCUIT COURT Jane Eakin											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Judge Eakin	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	46	2	13.0%	26.1%	13.0%	26.1%	21.7%	3.17	4.01		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	46	2	30.4%	21.7%	8.7%	19.6%	19.6%	2.76	3.95		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	46	2	19.6%	19.6%	15.2%	26.1%	19.6%	3.07	4.18		
Courteousness and politeness.	47	1	25.5%	23.4%	6.4%	23.4%	21.3%	2.91	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	46	2	15.2%	17.4%	19.6%	21.7%	26.1%	3.26	4.06		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	45	2	13.3%	11.1%	15.6%	31.1%	28.9%	3.51	4.19		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	26	18.2%	13.6%	31.8%	9.1%	27.3%	3.14	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	42	6	23.8%	14.3%	16.7%	19.0%	26.2%	3.10	4.36		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	46	2	19.6%	23.9%	10.9%	23.9%	21.7%	3.04	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	46	2	23.9%	13.0%	19.6%	26.1%	17.4%	3.00	3.93		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	46	2	8.7%	6.5%	32.6%	26.1%	26.1%	3.54	4.19		

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Danie											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Forgey	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	33	0	3.0%	0.0%	6.1%	27.3%	63.6%	4.48	4.01			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	1	6.3%	6.3%	9.4%	18.8%	59.4%	4.19	3.95			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	0	3.0%	6.1%	3.0%	15.2%	72.7%	4.48	4.18			
Courteousness and politeness.	33	0	3.0%	6.1%	3.0%	21.2%	66.7%	4.42	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	32	1	3.1%	12.5%	6.3%	21.9%	56.3%	4.16	4.06			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	33	0	3.0%	3.0%	6.1%	18.2%	69.7%	4.48	4.19			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	25	8	4.0%	8.0%	20.0%	12.0%	56.0%	4.08	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	30	2	6.7%	0.0%	13.3%	13.3%	66.7%	4.33	4.36			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	32	1	3.1%	12.5%	6.3%	21.9%	56.3%	4.16	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	32	1	3.1%	6.3%	9.4%	25.0%	56.3%	4.25	3.93			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	1	3.1%	0.0%	3.1%	15.6%	78.1%	4.66	4.19			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	00						Favor	87.5%	85.6%			
of this judge for another term?	32						Oppose	12.5%	14.4%			

CIRCUIT COURT							Micho	ael L. C	Greer
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Greer	Scores Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	24	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%	4.50	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	24	0	0.0%	4.2%	20.8%	25.0%	50.0%	4.21	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	24	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	16.7%	70.8%	4.58	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	24	0	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	20.8%	75.0%	4.67	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	23	1	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%	30.4%	60.9%	4.52	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	24	0	4.2%	0.0%	4.2%	33.3%	58.3%	4.42	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	13	11	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	15.4%	61.5%	4.38	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	22	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.7%	77.3%	4.77	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	24	0	0.0%	4.2%	8.3%	41.7%	45.8%	4.29	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	24	0	0.0%	4.2%	8.3%	33.3%	54.2%	4.38	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	24	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	37.5%	58.3%	4.54	4.19
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	24						Favor	100.0%	85.6%
of this judge for another term?	<u> </u>						Oppose	0.0%	14.4%

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Thomas W. Harrington										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Harrington	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	42	1	0.0%	4.8%	4.8%	31.0%	59.5%	4.45	4.01		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	42	1	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	21.4%	73.8%	4.64	3.95		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	42	1	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	16.7%	81.0%	4.79	4.18		
Courteousness and politeness.	42	1	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	9.5%	88.1%	4.86	4.29		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	42	1	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	26.2%	71.4%	4.67	4.06		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	42	1	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	21.4%	76.2%	4.74	4.19		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	27	15	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	14.8%	63.0%	4.41	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	40	3	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	12.5%	85.0%	4.83	4.36		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	42	1	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	35.7%	59.5%	4.52	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	41	1	2.4%	4.9%	0.0%	26.8%	65.9%	4.49	3.93		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	41	1	0.0%	2.4%	7.3%	19.5%	70.7%	4.59	4.19		

CIRCUIT COURT												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Haws	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	42	1	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	26.2%	69.0%	4.62	4.01			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	42	1	0.0%	2.4%	7.1%	16.7%	73.8%	4.62	3.95			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	43	0	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%	11.6%	83.7%	4.77	4.18			
Courteousness and politeness.	42	1	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	97.6%	4.95	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	40	3	0.0%	2.5%	2.5%	17.5%	77.5%	4.70	4.06			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	42	1	0.0%	2.4%	7.1%	9.5%	81.0%	4.69	4.19			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	32	11	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%	9.4%	81.3%	4.72	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	39	3	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	5.1%	89.7%	4.85	4.36			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	42	1	0.0%	7.1%	2.4%	21.4%	69.0%	4.52	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	42	1	0.0%	7.1%	2.4%	16.7%	73.8%	4.57	3.93			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	42	1	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	7.1%	85.7%	4.79	4.19			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	42						Favor	95.2%	85.6%			
of this judge for another term?	42						Oppose	4.8%	14.4%			

CIRCUIT COURT	٨	Michael E. Huber							
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Indge Huber	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	49	0	12.2%	20.4%	14.3%	30.6%	22.4%	3.31	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	49	0	14.3%	20.4%	12.2%	32.7%	20.4%	3.24	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	47	2	17.0%	17.0%	17.0%	27.7%	21.3%	3.19	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	49	0	8.2%	8.2%	14.3%	38.8%	30.6%	3.76	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	49	0	16.3%	12.2%	8.2%	40.8%	22.4%	3.41	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	48	1	14.6%	16.7%	10.4%	27.1%	31.3%	3.44	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	29	19	3.4%	6.9%	37.9%	17.2%	34.5%	3.72	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	47	2	8.5%	8.5%	19.1%	23.4%	40.4%	3.79	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	49	0	14.3%	14.3%	22.4%	24.5%	24.5%	3.31	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	49	0	18.4%	22.4%	16.3%	28.6%	14.3%	2.98	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	48	1	14.6%	25.0%	10.4%	29.2%	20.8%	3.17	4.19
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention	40						Favor	71.4%	85.6%
of this judge for another term?	42						Oppose	28.6%	14.4%

CIRCUIT COURT Denise Nau										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average ne e e e e e e e o f o f	Circuit Court	
Knowledge of the law.	57	3	12.3%	8.8%	5.3%	40.4%	33.3%	3.74	4.01	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	57	3	15.8%	28.1%	7.0%	17.5%	31.6%	3.21	3.95	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	57	3	14.0%	17.5%	8.8%	26.3%	33.3%	3.47	4.18	
Courteousness and politeness.	58	2	17.2%	17.2%	10.3%	25.9%	29.3%	3.33	4.29	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	56	4	8.9%	16.1%	8.9%	30.4%	35.7%	3.68	4.06	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	56	4	1.8%	8.9%	14.3%	30.4%	44.6%	4.07	4.19	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	34	26	2.9%	11.8%	29.4%	20.6%	35.3%	3.74	4.14	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	49	10	12.2%	14.3%	12.2%	12.2%	49.0%	3.71	4.36	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	57	3	15.8%	10.5%	5.3%	36.8%	31.6%	3.58	3.93	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	57	3	15.8%	8.8%	10.5%	29.8%	35.1%	3.60	3.93	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	57	3	7.0%	7.0%	10.5%	33.3%	42.1%	3.96	4.19	

CIRCUIT COURT Michael N. Patchen									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Patchen	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	46	0	6.5%	8.7%	8.7%	43.5%	32.6%	3.87	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	46	0	10.9%	6.5%	10.9%	26.1%	45.7%	3.89	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	46	0	8.7%	4.3%	6.5%	34.8%	45.7%	4.04	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	46	0	6.5%	0.0%	8.7%	23.9%	60.9%	4.33	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	46	0	8.7%	6.5%	15.2%	26.1%	43.5%	3.89	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	45	0	4.4%	0.0%	11.1%	37.8%	46.7%	4.22	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	24	21	4.2%	0.0%	37.5%	33.3%	25.0%	3.75	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	45	1	2.2%	6.7%	8.9%	33.3%	48.9%	4.20	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	46	0	10.9%	13.0%	10.9%	37.0%	28.3%	3.59	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	45	0	11.1%	11.1%	4.4%	44.4%	28.9%	3.69	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	46	0	6.5%	2.2%	6.5%	30.4%	54.3%	4.24	4.19

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT James											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Radda	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	46	0	10.9%	15.2%	6.5%	23.9%	43.5%	3.74	4.01			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	44	1	13.6%	15.9%	2.3%	13.6%	54.5%	3.80	3.95			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	45	1	8.9%	6.7%	4.4%	17.8%	62.2%	4.18	4.18			
Courteousness and politeness.	46	0	6.5%	2.2%	2.2%	13.0%	76.1%	4.50	4.29			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	44	1	6.8%	13.6%	11.4%	20.5%	47.7%	3.89	4.06			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	45	1	15.6%	4.4%	6.7%	22.2%	51.1%	3.89	4.19			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	30	16	3.3%	3.3%	13.3%	16.7%	63.3%	4.33	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	44	2	6.8%	4.5%	2.3%	18.2%	68.2%	4.36	4.36			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	46	0	8.7%	15.2%	6.5%	26.1%	43.5%	3.80	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	45	1	11.1%	17.8%	8.9%	15.6%	46.7%	3.69	3.93			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	45	0	8.9%	4.4%	6.7%	20.0%	60.0%	4.18	4.19			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	40						Favor	76.1%	85.6%			
of this judge for another term?	46						Oppose	23.9%	14.4%			

CIRCUIT COURT Wesley A. Rober									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Roberts	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	32	0	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	40.6%	56.3%	4.50	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	0	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	18.8%	50.0%	3.94	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	0	0.0%	3.1%	3.1%	40.6%	53.1%	4.44	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	32	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%	4.50	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	32	0	0.0%	0.0%	21.9%	18.8%	59.4%	4.38	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	0	3.1%	15.6%	6.3%	21.9%	53.1%	4.06	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	20	12	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	4.25	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	0	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	18.8%	68.8%	4.47	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	32	0	0.0%	3.1%	3.1%	46.9%	46.9%	4.38	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	32	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	40.6%	56.3%	4.53	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	0	0.0%	3.1%	6.3%	28.1%	62.5%	4.50	4.19

CIRCUIT COURT						Catl	herine	R. Ro	gers
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rogers	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	58	4	3.4%	6.9%	5.2%	29.3%	55.2%	4.26	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	57	4	1.8%	5.3%	8.8%	33.3%	50.9%	4.26	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	59	2	1.7%	6.8%	6.8%	25.4%	59.3%	4.34	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	60	1	1.7%	8.3%	1.7%	28.3%	60.0%	4.37	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	58	3	1.7%	1.7%	6.9%	34.5%	55.2%	4.40	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	57	4	10.5%	7.0%	5.3%	19.3%	57.9%	4.07	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	37	24	0.0%	0.0%	32.4%	16.2%	51.4%	4.19	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	56	5	1.8%	0.0%	10.7%	8.9%	78.6%	4.63	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	57	4	3.5%	8.8%	7.0%	33.3%	47.4%	4.12	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	58	3	1.7%	8.6%	5.2%	29.3%	55.2%	4.28	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	58	3	6.9%	3.4%	6.9%	19.0%	63.8%	4.29	4.19

CIRCUIT COURT						J	I. Johr	n Sam	pson
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sambson	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	52	1	1.9%	3.8%	7.7%	42.3%	44.2%	4.23	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	52	1	3.8%	5.8%	13.5%	32.7%	44.2%	4.08	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	53	0	1.9%	0.0%	5.7%	37.7%	54.7%	4.43	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	52	0	0.0%	3.8%	9.6%	23.1%	63.5%	4.46	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	51	2	3.9%	7.8%	13.7%	27.5%	47.1%	4.06	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	51	2	2.0%	0.0%	9.8%	43.1%	45.1%	4.29	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	27	26	3.7%	0.0%	33.3%	18.5%	44.4%	4.00	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	50	3	2.0%	2.0%	6.0%	16.0%	74.0%	4.58	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	52	1	1.9%	1.9%	9.6%	42.3%	44.2%	4.25	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	52	1	1.9%	9.6%	9.6%	34.6%	44.2%	4.10	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	51	2	2.0%	2.0%	7.8%	49.0%	39.2%	4.22	4.19

CIRCUIT COURT						E. V	ictorio	a Scho	field
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Scholield	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	32	1	6.3%	12.5%	6.3%	28.1%	46.9%	3.97	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	31	2	6.5%	6.5%	12.9%	32.3%	41.9%	3.97	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	1	3.1%	6.3%	9.4%	34.4%	46.9%	4.16	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	31	1	3.2%	0.0%	12.9%	32.3%	51.6%	4.29	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	2	6.5%	6.5%	9.7%	38.7%	38.7%	3.97	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	1	25.0%	15.6%	15.6%	18.8%	25.0%	3.03	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	12	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	47.6%	4.10	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	27	6	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	33.3%	48.1%	4.11	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	31	2	6.5%	12.9%	9.7%	32.3%	38.7%	3.84	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	31	2	6.5%	12.9%	6.5%	45.2%	29.0%	3.77	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	1	15.6%	12.5%	15.6%	25.0%	31.3%	3.44	4.19

CIRCUIT COURT							Terri	ill R. T	harp
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Tharp	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	44	0	0.0%	6.8%	11.4%	36.4%	45.5%	4.20	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	44	0	0.0%	13.6%	13.6%	29.5%	43.2%	4.02	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	44	0	2.3%	4.5%	11.4%	38.6%	43.2%	4.16	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	44	0	2.3%	4.5%	18.2%	36.4%	38.6%	4.05	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	43	0	0.0%	7.0%	11.6%	32.6%	48.8%	4.23	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	41	2	0.0%	9.8%	9.8%	26.8%	53.7%	4.24	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	21	0.0%	0.0%	26.1%	13.0%	60.9%	4.35	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	41	3	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	19.5%	70.7%	4.61	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	43	1	2.3%	4.7%	11.6%	41.9%	39.5%	4.12	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	43	1	0.0%	9.3%	11.6%	37.2%	41.9%	4.12	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	43	1	0.0%	4.7%	11.6%	37.2%	46.5%	4.26	4.19
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	40						Favor	85.0%	85.6%
of this judge for another term?	40						Oppose	15.0%	14.4%

CIRCUIT COURT							Bruce	B. W	aters
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Waters	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	30	0	3.3%	6.7%	3.3%	46.7%	40.0%	4.13	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	30	0	6.7%	16.7%	3.3%	30.0%	43.3%	3.87	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	30	0	6.7%	13.3%	3.3%	36.7%	40.0%	3.90	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	30	0	10.0%	3.3%	10.0%	33.3%	43.3%	3.97	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	30	0	3.3%	6.7%	10.0%	43.3%	36.7%	4.03	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	30	0	3.3%	0.0%	6.7%	43.3%	46.7%	4.30	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	8	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	31.8%	40.9%	4.00	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	30	0	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	30.0%	60.0%	4.40	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	29	0	3.4%	10.3%	10.3%	34.5%	41.4%	4.00	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	30	0	3.3%	13.3%	6.7%	36.7%	40.0%	3.97	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	30	0	3.3%	6.7%	0.0%	40.0%	50.0%	4.27	4.19

CIRCUIT COURT							Fra	nk J. Z	?ebre
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Zepre Zepre	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	34	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	76.5%	4.76	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	34	2	2.9%	5.9%	2.9%	20.6%	67.6%	4.44	3.95
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	34	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.6%	79.4%	4.79	4.18
Courteousness and politeness.	34	2	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	20.6%	76.5%	4.71	4.29
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	33	2	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	18.2%	78.8%	4.76	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	34	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	91.2%	4.91	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	14	4.5%	0.0%	22.7%	9.1%	63.6%	4.27	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	4	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	9.7%	87.1%	4.84	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	34	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	76.5%	4.76	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	2	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	20.6%	76.5%	4.74	3.93
Preparedness for court proceedings.	34	2	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	14.7%	82.4%	4.79	4.19

6. Questionnaire

A copy of the questionnaire is attached.

Welcome to the 2012 Judicial Advisory Poll

The Judicial Advisory Poll is administered every two years by the Wyoming State Bar as a service to Wyoming voters. A goal of the poll is to provide judges with constructive feedback from the legal community.

You will be asked to evaluate each judge and justice **before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months**. You may also evaluate Supreme Court Justices on the basis of having read their written opinions. For each judge who will stand for retention in November, you will be asked to indicate whether you favor or oppose their retention.

Finally, if you rate a judge particularly high or low, please include your comments explaining that rating. All written comments will remain completely confidential.

If you choose not to evaluate a particular justice or judge, you may leave their page blank and skip over to the next page by clicking the "continue" button.

First, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{e}$	yoming Supreme Court Justices on the following items.
--	---

E. James Burke

Michael Golden

William U. Hill

Marilyn S. Kite

Barton R. Voigt

JUSTICE: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.						
3. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
4. How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.						
5. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
6. Courteousness and politeness.						
7. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
8. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
Participation in law-related professional activities.						
10. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						

	Written Opinion	Appearance	Both
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court, or simply based on a written opinion?			

Supreme Court Justices up for retention this year are:

None

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Justice [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the FEDERAL COURT JUDGES. Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months.

Clarence A. Brimmer

Nancy D. Freudenthal

Alan B. Johnson

Peter J. McNiff

Scott W. Skavdahl

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the FEDERAL COURT JUDGES on the following items.

FEDERAL COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they						
expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the **WYOMING DISTRICT COURT JUDGES.** Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the <u>past 24 months.</u>

Peter G. Arnold Richard L. Lavery John C. Brooks David B. Park Thomas T.C. Campbell John R. Perry Steven R. Cranfill Dan R. Price, II Michael K. Davis Dennis L. Sanderson Timothy C. Day Robert E. Skar Michael N. Deegan W. Thomas Sullins Jeffrey A. Donnell Marvin L. Tyler John G. Fenn Wade E. Waldrip Nena R. James Catherine E. Wilking Keith G. Kautz Norman E. Young

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **DISTRICT COURT JUDGES** before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months on the following items.

DISTRICT COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they						
expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

District Court Judges up for retention this year are:

Peter G. Arnold

Timothy C. Day

Michael N. Deegan

Keith G. Kautz

David B. Park

Wade E. Waldrip

Catherine E. Wilking

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

Here is a list of the **WYOMING CIRCUIT COURT.** Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the <u>past 24 months</u>.

Randal R. Arp Curt A. Haws Wendy M. Bartlett Michael E. Huber

H. Steven Brown Denise Nau

I. Vincent Case Michael N. Patchen
Robert A. Castor James L. Radda
Roberta A. Coates Wesley A. Roberts
Robert B. Denhardt Catherine R. Rogers
Fred R. Dollison J. John Sampson
Jane Eakin E. Victoria Schofield

Daniel L. Forgey Terrill R. Tharp
Michael L. Greer Bruce B. Waters
Thomas W. Harrington Frank J. Zebre

Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of the **CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES** before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months on the following items.

CIRCUIT COURT

Judge: [Insert Name]	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither approve nor disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
Knowledge of the law.						
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.						
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.						
4. Courteousness and politeness.						
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.						
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.						
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.						
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.						
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.						
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they						
expressed.						
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.						

Circuit Court Judges up for retention this year are:

Wendy M. Bartlett Michael L. Greer
H. Steven Brown Curt A. Haws
I. Vincent Case Michael E. Huber
Robert B. Denhardt James L. Radda
Fred R. Dollison Terrill R. Tharp

Daniel L. Forgey

	Favor	Oppose
Do you favor or oppose the retention of this judicial official for another term?		

Do you have any additional comments about Judge [Insert Name]? Comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their performance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued performance of his/her duties.

That is the end of our survey. Thank you for your cooperation!