

WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1608
October, 2016

Ву

W. Trent Holder, M.A., Research Associate

With the assistance of

Brian Harnisch, M.B.A., Senior Research Scientist Bistra Anatchkova, Ph.D., Survey Research Manager

Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center

University of Wyoming 1000 E. University Ave, Dept. 3925 Laramie, WY 82071 (307) 766-2189 • wysac@uwyo.edu http://wysac.uwyo.edu

Under contract to

Wyoming State Bar P.O. Box 109 Cheyenne, WY 82003

Citation for this document: WYSAC (2016) *Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll,* 2016, by Holder, W. T. (WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1608). Laramie, WY: Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, University of Wyoming.

Short reference: WYSAC (2016), Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll.

© Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, 2016.

Table of Contents

1.		4
2.	Report Organization	4
3.	1 0	5
	3.1. Questionnaire Development	5
	3.2. Survey Administration	
	3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	
4.		
5.	5. Individual Results	10
6.		73
Li	List of Tables	
Та	Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	7
Та	Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention	8
	Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level	

1. Introduction

In the spring of 2016, the Wyoming State Bar commissioned the Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming to administer the 2016 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll. Sharon Wilkinson Executive Director of the Wyoming State Bar, coordinated the project activities for the Bar.

This document presents the tabulations and analyses performed on the results of the poll.

The Wyoming State Bar undertook its first judicial evaluation poll in 1976 and has completed one each election year since. The goals and uses of the Wyoming Judicial Advisory poll conform to the American Bar Association (ABA) guidelines as well as to the practices of other Bar associations.

Judicial evaluation polls are used by Bar associations to provide feedback to judicial officials about their performance on the bench and to help the public make more informed judgments in judicial elections. Guidelines established by the ABA state that the primary goal of judicial evaluation is "... to improve the performance of individual judges and the judiciary as a whole."

The evaluations received for Wyoming Judges in the 2016 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll is distributed as follows. First, a copy of this report is sent to all Wyoming Judges who were evaluated. In addition to the information contained in the general report, individual judicial officials receive any written comments submitted by members of the Wyoming State Bar responding to this poll regarding their work on the bench (anonymously). Comments about judges are released only to the specific judge that they were made about. These comments are not included in the report sent to the Wyoming State Bar. Second, all data results are released to the media prior to the election and published on the Wyoming State Bar website.

2. Report Organization

The remainder of this report is organized in the following manner:

- Section 3 is a concise account of the methodology and timeline used by WYSAC to conduct the poll.
- Section 4 relays the condensed results of support for retention for all relevant judicial officials in the "Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention" table. Also included is the "Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level" table, which presents the means for all items in the survey, organized by judicial level.
- Section 5 presents the detailed ratings of individual judicial officials, grouped by judicial level and then, within each level, arranged alphabetically according to the judicial official's last name.
- Section 6 provides a copy of the survey instrument.

3. Methods

3.1. Questionnaire Development

There were no changes to the survey instrument in 2016. The questionnaire items judicial officials were rated upon remained unchanged. The response choice scale for all performance ratings was the same five point fully balanced Likert scale introduced in a previous survey iteration. This scale includes the following options for each judge: strongly disapprove, somewhat disapprove, neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat approve, strongly approve. The use of this scale controls for bias of the ratings provided by the respondents to the survey.

3.2. Survey Administration

As was the case for the past five iterations (2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, and 2014), this year the Judicial Advisory Poll was conducted as a web-based survey.

WYSAC revised and updated the survey instrument to reflect the current Wyoming judiciary in Wyoming. WYSAC received an electronic list with contact information of all Wyoming attorneys who are members of the Wyoming State Bar, and are believed to practice in Wyoming, including email addresses, wherever available. There were 1,725 Wyoming attorneys on that list.

The poll was launched on August 10th with an email invitation for participation. The email invitation was sent by WYSAC to all attorneys who had unique email addresses on file. There were 13 attorneys without a unique email addresses on file. These attorneys received initial invitation letters sent via USPS mail. To all attorneys who had not yet responded with completed surveys, reminder emails were sent roughly every week. Additionally, all attorneys with a mailing address on file who had not responded to the first two email invitations were sent an additional invitation letter via USPS mail.

The survey closed on September 16th, providing all attorneys more than 5 weeks to respond. After closing, the online database was exported into a format suitable for data analysis. A total of 903 attorneys *accessed* the online survey. A total of 773 valid completions were obtained before the cut-off date. A number of partial completions (35) were included in the data if the attorney responded to questions for at least some of the judges. From the original respondent list six attorneys contacted WYSAC to explain that they did not feel qualified to evaluate any Wyoming judge or were not currently practicing and three explicitly refused to respond. There were 14 attorneys for whom the invitation emails bounced back as undeliverable, and 17 attorneys for whom the letter sent via USPS came back as undeliverable. Thus, the total of 773 completions brings the response rate to 46%, roughly five percentage points higher than in 2014. The results were then tallied and are presented in the tables in *Section 4*. *Group Results* and *Section 5*. *Individual Results*.

3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

The rating system used in this year's poll was a fully-balanced five point Likert scale, where 1 stands for "Strongly Disapprove", 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove", 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 for "Somewhat Approve", 5 for "Strongly Approve." Thus, mean scores can range from 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest and most favorable rating.

Attorneys were asked to rate the Wyoming Supreme Court Justices on ten distinct categories, such as *knowledge of the law* and *courteousness and politeness*. All other judges were rated on 11 categories, eight of which were also used to rate the justices. The 2016 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll report is based upon completed surveys that were submitted electronically by September 16th, 2016. Individual reports for each judicial official are based on the completed responses on each characteristic if and only if the Bar member responding had affirmed (in an answer to a previous question) that they had appeared before the respective judicial official during the past 24 months.

In the case of Supreme Court Justices, evaluations were also allowed based on reading written opinions from those Justices. Bar members were asked to report the basis for their evaluations of the justices (i.e., reading of judicial decisions, appearances before the court, or both).

Bar members were asked whether they favor or oppose the retention of a particular judge. In all cases, they were asked this question only if a particular judge was indeed standing for retention.

The table and guidelines on the following page, "Interpreting the Numeric Ratings" illustrates the summary evaluations received by a hypothetical Justice Jones and outlines suggestions for interpreting the evaluations. Interpreting the numeric ratings can be approached in two ways:

One. Looking at the average scores and how they compare to the average scores of peer judicial officials on the Wyoming Supreme Court, Federal District Court, State District Court, or Circuit Court.

Two. Looking at the percentage distributions of the responses (Strongly Disapprove, Somewhat Disapprove, Neither Approve nor Disapprove, Somewhat Approve, Strongly Approve) to each item on the survey.

Consider a situation where the average score for District Court Judges on *open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters* is 3.6, and where one judge scores 3.2 and another scores 4.1 on this item. This means that the first judge scored worse on that particular item compared to the average court score, whereas the second judge scored above the average for the court.

WYOMING STATE BAR JUDICIAL ADVISORY POLL, 2016

Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

SUPREME COURT			EX	AMPLI	Ξ			Justice Jones		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Jones	Supreme Supreme Court	
Knowledge of the law.	304	80	5.4%	10.7%	30.4%	25.9%	27.7%	3.60	3.80	
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	308	75	5.6%	12.0%	33.5%	17.2%	31.8%	3.58	3.23	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	311	82	7.9%	14.4%	24.0%	24.0%	29.7%	3.53	3.48	
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	301	63	8.0%	16.8%	21.8%	28.6%	24.8%	3.45	4.10	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	300	81	4.6%	9.1%	29.2%	36.5%	20.5%	3.59	3.98	
Courteousness and politeness.	304	88	3.7%	3.7%	31.5%	24.1%	37.0%	3.87	4.01	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	308	78	3.9%	4.8%	30.0%	20.9%	40.4%	3.89	3.77	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	303	71	4.7%	3.9%	31.5%	19.4%	40.5%	3.87	3.67	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	305	69	4.7%	5.9%	33.9%	41.5%	14.0%	3.54	3.36	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	304	70	7.7%	6.8%	21.8%	28.2%	35.5%	3.77	3.54	
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	301				Ap		ore the Court itten Opinion Both	29.7% 12.1% 58.2%	28.8% 8.9% 62.3%	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	303						Favor Oppose	84.1% 15.9%	80.9% 19.1%	

2016 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll 7 GUIDELINES

Justice Jones was evaluated by 300 attorneys on *Attentiveness to arguments of counsel* and 304 on *Courteousness and politeness*. These numbers vary since each attorney may or may not have answered each rating question.

Justice Jones wants:

- An average score better (higher) than his peers for all items.
- A majority of "Strongly Approve" and "Somewhat Approve" ratings.
- Few, if any, "Strongly Disapprove" and "Somewhat Disapprove" ratings.

The scale employed uses:

- o 1 for "Strongly Disapprove"
- o 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove"
- o 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove"
- o 4 for "Somewhat Approve"
- o 5 for "Strongly Approve"

Thus, average scores range from 1 to 5, where 5 equates to the highest rating. Higher average scores are better than lower scores.

The "best" rating Justice Jones received (3.89) on the ten judicial characteristics was for *How he/she addresses* and answers issues squarely posed. And he ranks higher than his peers (3.77) on this item.

His average score of 3.45 on *How well he is prepared for oral argument* ranks him notably below the average score of 4.10.

Judges standing for retention have an additional row indicating the percent of responding attorneys *favoring* or *opposing* their retention. Also included here is an average percentage calculated for all judges in the same court system who are up for retention.

Each Supreme Court Justice has an additional row showing what percentage of the attorneys rating that Justice based their ratings on "Appearance before the Court", "Written Opinion", or "Both." Again, included is an average percentage calculated for all justices.

4. Group Results

Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2016

Support for Judicial Officials standing for retention

	Favor	Oppose	Number Responding
Supreme Court			
Catherine M. Fox	89.9%	10.1%	475
William U. Hill	81.9%	18.1%	503
Keith G. Kautz	90.3%	9.7%	475
District Court			
Joseph B. Bluemel	83.8%	16.2%	111
Thomas T.C. Campbell	76.0%	24.0%	179
Patrick W. Korell	94.9%	5.1%	59
Catherine R. Rogers	73.4%	26.6%	154
Robert E. Skar	78.1%	21.9%	73
Marvin L. Tyler	78.9%	21.1%	109
Norman E. Young	87.6%	12.4%	105
Circuit Court			
Wendy Martin Bartlett	80.0%	20.0%	45
H. Steven Brown	74.4%	25.6%	43
I. Vincent Case Jr.	96.0%	4.0%	25
Matthew F.G. Castano	95.0%	5.0%	40
Brian D. Christensen	95.5%	4.5%	44
Robert B. Denhardt	87.5%	12.5%	32
Michael L. Greer	90.6%	9.4%	32
Curt A. Haws	98.0%	2.0%	51
Antoinette Williams Healy	68.1%	31.9%	69
Thomas L. Lee	91.5%	8.5%	71
James L. Radda	63.0%	37.0%	54

Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level

Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level (means)

Means calculated using a 5 point scale:

(1 "Strongly Disapprove", 2 "Disapprove", 3 "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 "Approve", 5 "Strongly Approve")

	Supreme Court	Federal Court	District Court	Circuit Court	Average of All Courts
Knowledge of the law.	4.22	4.31	4.12	4.02	4.17
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	4.11	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	4.08	4.21	3.99	3.86	4.04
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	4.25	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	4.23	4.42	4.20	4.06	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	4.32	4.63	4.30	4.20	4.36
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	4.16	4.33	4.11	4.06	4.17
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	4.29	4.32	4.07	4.24	4.23
Participation in law-related professional activities.	4.28	4.36	4.14	4.14	4.23
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	4.46	4.56	4.45	4.29	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	n/a	4.24	4.07	3.92	4.08
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	n/a	4.18	4.00	3.89	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	n/a	4.52	4.34	4.26	4.37

5. Individual Results

Following are the results for each justice and judge, ordered by court (Federal, Supreme, District, Circuit), then by last name.

FEDERAL COURT RESULTS

FEDERAL COURT Mark L. Carman												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Carman	Federal Court			
Knowledge of the law.	54	2	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	31.5%	59.3%	4.50	4.31			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	55	0	0.0%	3.6%	5.5%	27.3%	63.6%	4.51	4.21			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	53	3	0.0%	1.9%	5.7%	28.3%	64.2%	4.55	4.42			
Courteousness and politeness.	56	0	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	17.9%	76.8%	4.71	4.63			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	52	4	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	32.7%	61.5%	4.56	4.33			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	55	1	0.0%	1.8%	3.6%	21.8%	72.7%	4.65	4.32			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	30	25	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	10.0%	63.3%	4.37	4.36			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	52	2	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	26.9%	65.4%	4.58	4.56			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	52	3	0.0%	3.8%	9.6%	28.8%	57.7%	4.40	4.24			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	52	4	0.0%	1.9%	9.6%	30.8%	57.7%	4.44	4.18			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	55	1	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	23.6%	69.1%	4.62	4.52			

FEDERAL COURT Nancy D. Freudentha												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Freudenthal	Federal Court			
Knowledge of the law.	150	2	7.3%	10.7%	8.7%	33.3%	40.0%	3.88	4.31			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	150	3	12.7%	13.3%	6.0%	26.7%	41.3%	3.71	4.21			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	148	2	5.4%	11.5%	6.8%	24.3%	52.0%	4.06	4.42			
Courteousness and politeness.	148	4	3.4%	4.7%	6.1%	26.4%	59.5%	4.34	4.63			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	148	3	6.8%	12.8%	10.8%	28.4%	41.2%	3.84	4.33			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	149	3	1.3%	4.0%	10.1%	24.8%	59.7%	4.38	4.32			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	110	42	5.5%	3.6%	18.2%	19.1%	53.6%	4.12	4.36			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	143	9	7.0%	5.6%	12.6%	18.2%	56.6%	4.12	4.56			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	145	7	7.6%	14.5%	11.7%	29.7%	36.6%	3.73	4.24			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	149	3	10.7%	12.8%	8.7%	29.5%	38.3%	3.72	4.18			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	148	4	3.4%	5.4%	9.5%	27.0%	54.7%	4.24	4.52			

FEDERAL COURT Alan B. Johnson												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Johnson Johnson	Egores Court			
Knowledge of the law.	151	0	1.3%	2.0%	4.6%	30.5%	61.6%	4.49	4.31			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	150	1	4.0%	6.7%	5.3%	25.3%	58.7%	4.28	4.21			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	150	0	4.0%	4.7%	6.0%	18.0%	67.3%	4.40	4.42			
Courteousness and politeness.	148	1	2.7%	1.4%	0.0%	12.8%	83.1%	4.72	4.63			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	149	1	3.4%	1.3%	8.7%	26.8%	59.7%	4.38	4.33			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	151	0	16.6%	24.5%	7.9%	18.5%	32.5%	3.26	4.32			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	111	39	1.8%	0.0%	17.1%	18.9%	62.2%	4.40	4.36			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	147	4	0.7%	2.0%	3.4%	12.9%	81.0%	4.71	4.56			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	149	2	2.7%	3.4%	7.4%	24.8%	61.7%	4.40	4.24			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	149	1	4.0%	6.7%	9.4%	25.5%	54.4%	4.19	4.18			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	148	2	2.0%	2.7%	8.1%	25.7%	61.5%	4.42	4.52			

FEDERAL COURT Cathleen D. Parker Average Scores												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Parker	Sederal Court			
Knowledge of the law.	40	0	0.0%	7.5%	17.5%	35.0%	40.0%	4.08	4.31			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	39	1	0.0%	5.1%	12.8%	30.8%	51.3%	4.28	4.21			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	38	2	0.0%	2.6%	15.8%	23.7%	57.9%	4.37	4.42			
Courteousness and politeness.	40	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	20.0%	67.5%	4.55	4.63			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	39	1	2.6%	0.0%	15.4%	25.6%	56.4%	4.33	4.33			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	40	0	10.0%	5.0%	17.5%	10.0%	57.5%	4.00	4.32			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	29	11	0.0%	0.0%	20.7%	24.1%	55.2%	4.34	4.36			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	37	3	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%	18.9%	70.3%	4.59	4.56			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	37	3	2.7%	0.0%	21.6%	24.3%	51.4%	4.22	4.24			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	39	1	5.1%	2.6%	10.3%	41.0%	41.0%	4.10	4.18			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	39	1	2.6%	0.0%	10.3%	25.6%	61.5%	4.44	4.52			

FEDERAL COURT Kelly H. Rankin												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rankin	Federal Court			
Knowledge of the law.	179	7	2.2%	4.5%	6.1%	26.3%	60.9%	4.39	4.31			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	182	4	2.7%	4.4%	3.8%	19.2%	69.8%	4.49	4.21			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	182	4	1.1%	3.3%	1.6%	16.5%	77.5%	4.66	4.42			
Courteousness and politeness.	183	2	0.5%	0.0%	1.1%	10.9%	87.4%	4.85	4.63			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	183	3	2.2%	2.7%	3.8%	22.4%	68.9%	4.53	4.33			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	185	1	1.1%	0.5%	0.5%	12.4%	85.4%	4.81	4.32			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	121	62	0.8%	0.0%	11.6%	19.0%	68.6%	4.55	4.36			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	178	7	1.1%	2.2%	0.6%	11.8%	84.3%	4.76	4.56			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	179	7	3.4%	2.2%	8.4%	22.3%	63.7%	4.41	4.24			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	177	8	3.4%	5.1%	7.3%	23.7%	60.5%	4.33	4.18			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	182	4	1.1%	1.1%	1.6%	19.2%	76.9%	4.70	4.52			

FEDERAL COURT							Scott 1	W. Ska	vdahl
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Skavdahl Skavdahl	Federal Court
Knowledge of the law.	143	4	4.2%	3.5%	4.2%	19.6%	68.5%	4.45	4.31
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	144	3	5.6%	7.6%	8.3%	21.5%	56.9%	4.17	4.21
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	143	4	4.2%	1.4%	6.3%	18.9%	69.2%	4.48	4.42
Courteousness and politeness.	142	3	1.4%	1.4%	6.3%	23.2%	67.6%	4.54	4.63
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	140	6	3.6%	2.1%	7.9%	21.4%	65.0%	4.42	4.33
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	144	3	0.7%	0.7%	4.2%	17.4%	77.1%	4.69	4.32
Participation in law-related professional activities.	94	51	1.1%	0.0%	19.1%	19.1%	60.6%	4.38	4.36
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	139	7	2.9%	3.6%	3.6%	10.8%	79.1%	4.60	4.56
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	140	6	5.0%	2.9%	7.1%	25.7%	59.3%	4.31	4.24
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	141	5	5.7%	1.4%	7.1%	22.0%	63.8%	4.37	4.18
Preparedness for court proceedings.	142	5	1.4%	1.4%	4.9%	14.8%	77.5%	4.65	4.52

SUPREME COURT RESULTS

SUPREME COURT		,					E. J	lames	Burke
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Burke Average	Sorres Court
Knowledge of the law.	452	190	1.5%	4.2%	11.9%	29.6%	52.7%	4.28	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	452	187	2.2%	5.8%	11.7%	33.2%	47.1%	4.17	4.11
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	439	195	4.1%	6.2%	13.0%	27.1%	49.7%	4.12	4.08
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	361	269	0.3%	3.0%	17.7%	25.2%	53.7%	4.29	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	367	261	1.9%	2.7%	14.7%	23.2%	57.5%	4.32	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	405	226	1.7%	3.7%	11.6%	18.5%	64.4%	4.40	4.32
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	391	236	2.8%	6.4%	14.3%	28.9%	47.6%	4.12	4.16
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	376	252	0.8%	2.7%	15.4%	26.3%	54.8%	4.32	4.29
Participation in law-related professional activities.	365	266	0.5%	1.4%	15.6%	17.0%	65.5%	4.45	4.28
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	428	209	0.9%	2.1%	10.5%	16.4%	70.1%	4.53	4.46
Was your rating based on an appearance					Appea	rance befor	e the Court	6.1%	5.9%
before the Court or	506	6 Written Opinion 51.4% 54							54.0%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	42.5%	40.1%

SUPREME COURT							Mich	nael K.	Davis
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Justice Davis	Sores Subreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	414	190	1.4%	2.7%	8.7%	21.7%	65.5%	4.47	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	407	193	1.2%	3.4%	8.6%	24.8%	61.9%	4.43	4.11
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	387	205	1.3%	2.6%	10.9%	26.4%	58.9%	4.39	4.08
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	328	262	0.3%	2.1%	11.9%	20.7%	64.9%	4.48	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	336	258	0.3%	3.0%	11.9%	18.8%	66.1%	4.47	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	361	234	0.3%	0.8%	10.5%	18.0%	70.4%	4.57	4.32
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	359	235	0.8%	2.8%	10.3%	22.8%	63.2%	4.45	4.16
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	339	251	0.6%	0.6%	15.3%	20.4%	63.1%	4.45	4.29
Participation in law-related professional activities.	300	291	0.3%	1.3%	22.0%	21.0%	55.3%	4.30	4.28
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	384	212	0.3%	1.3%	8.3%	13.5%	76.6%	4.65	4.46
Was your rating based on an appearance					Appea	rance befor	e the Court	5.8%	5.9%
before the Court or	463	3 Written Opinion 55.1% 54.							54.0%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	39.1%	40.1%

SUPREME COURT							Cathe	erine N	Л. Гох
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Fox	Supreme Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	414	183	2.2%	4.8%	11.1%	25.1%	56.8%	4.29	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	403	193	3.5%	7.7%	11.9%	28.3%	48.6%	4.11	4.11
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	384	205	3.9%	5.7%	15.9%	24.5%	50.0%	4.11	4.08
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	322	266	1.2%	1.9%	15.5%	20.8%	60.6%	4.38	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	327	261	1.5%	1.8%	15.0%	23.2%	58.4%	4.35	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	356	235	2.0%	3.7%	13.2%	24.2%	57.0%	4.31	4.32
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	356	232	2.8%	4.5%	14.9%	24.4%	53.4%	4.21	4.16
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	322	264	0.9%	2.8%	17.7%	18.9%	59.6%	4.34	4.29
Participation in law-related professional activities.	305	285	1.3%	2.0%	20.0%	18.7%	58.0%	4.30	4.28
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	378	215	2.4%	1.6%	12.4%	13.8%	69.8%	4.47	4.46
									I
Was your rating based on an appearance					Appea	rance befor		4.7%	5.9%
before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	448					Writt	en Opinion	58.7%	54.0%
simply based on a written opinion:							Both	36.6%	40.1%
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this							Favor	89.9%	87.3%
judge for another term?	475						Oppose	10.1%	12.7%

SUPREME COURT							Wi	illiam	U. Hill
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average	Supreme Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	456	146	4.2%	8.8%	16.0%	33.8%	37.3%	3.91	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	453	147	6.4%	10.2%	15.2%	34.0%	34.2%	3.79	4.11
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	437	160	7.1%	9.8%	19.0%	27.9%	36.2%	3.76	4.08
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	369	219	3.5%	8.1%	20.3%	27.9%	40.1%	3.93	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	376	214	5.1%	9.0%	19.9%	25.8%	40.2%	3.87	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	400	190	4.3%	5.5%	15.5%	26.0%	48.8%	4.10	4.32
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	399	190	4.3%	9.3%	17.3%	30.8%	38.3%	3.90	4.16
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	367	221	2.7%	4.1%	20.2%	27.0%	46.0%	4.10	4.29
Participation in law-related professional activities.	349	241	1.7%	3.4%	21.5%	24.6%	48.7%	4.15	4.28
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	410	180	3.2%	3.7%	14.6%	21.2%	57.3%	4.26	4.46
Was your rating based on an appearance	464				Appea	rance befor		6.2%	5.9%
before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	481					Writt	en Opinion	47.8%	54.0%
Sanger of a miletin opinion.							Both	45.9%	40.1%
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this							Favor	81.9%	87.3%
judge for another term?	503						Oppose	18.1%	12.7%

SUPREME COURT							Ке	ith G.	Kautz
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Kautz	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	375	205	2.4%	5.9%	14.1%	28.5%	49.1%	4.16	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	360	216	2.8%	7.5%	15.8%	30.0%	43.9%	4.05	4.11
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	361	215	5.0%	5.3%	16.1%	27.1%	46.5%	4.05	4.08
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	283	285	1.8%	2.8%	21.6%	21.9%	51.9%	4.19	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	291	275	1.0%	3.4%	21.0%	26.1%	48.5%	4.18	4.23
Courteousness and politeness.	325	245	2.8%	3.4%	15.1%	24.3%	54.5%	4.24	4.32
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	317	255	2.2%	4.1%	18.6%	26.8%	48.3%	4.15	4.16
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	305	267	0.7%	1.3%	18.0%	28.2%	51.8%	4.29	4.29
Participation in law-related professional activities.	268	300	1.5%	1.1%	27.6%	19.8%	50.0%	4.16	4.28
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	346	231	1.7%	2.6%	13.9%	18.2%	63.6%	4.39	4.46
Was your rating based on an appearance	45-				Appea	rance before		6.4%	5.9%
before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	425					Writte	en Opinion	58.1%	54.0%
omp-, based on a written opinion							Both	35.5%	40.1%
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this							Favor	90.3%	87.3%
judge for another term?	475						Oppose	9.7%	12.7%

DISTRICT COURT RESULTS

DISTRICT COURT													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Bluemel	District Court				
	·		_						_				
Knowledge of the law.	109	6	5.5%	11.0%	9.2%	26.6%	47.7%	4.00	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	112	4	10.7%	8.9%	7.1%	32.1%	41.1%	3.84	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	112	4	8.0%	7.1%	9.8%	23.2%	51.8%	4.04	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	114	2	11.4%	7.0%	5.3%	21.1%	55.3%	4.02	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	112	4	7.1%	6.3%	9.8%	21.4%	55.4%	4.12	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	111	4	8.1%	5.4%	8.1%	27.0%	51.4%	4.08	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	66	50	3.0%	1.5%	24.2%	12.1%	59.1%	4.23	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	105	11	3.8%	5.7%	2.9%	21.0%	66.7%	4.41	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	105	11	5.7%	10.5%	11.4%	21.9%	50.5%	4.01	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	102	13	8.8%	12.7%	8.8%	24.5%	45.1%	3.84	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	108	8	1.9%	4.6%	7.4%	21.3%	64.8%	4.43	4.34				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	111						Favor Oppose	83.8% 16.2%	80.1% 19.9%				

DISTRICT COURT John C. Brook												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Brooks	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	132	1	2.3%	6.1%	5.3%	36.4%	50.0%	4.26	4.12			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	132	1	0.8%	10.6%	3.8%	31.1%	53.8%	4.27	3.99			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	132	1	0.8%	6.1%	6.1%	29.5%	57.6%	4.37	4.20			
Courteousness and politeness.	131	1	0.8%	0.0%	3.8%	23.7%	71.8%	4.66	4.30			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	133	0	2.3%	5.3%	6.0%	34.6%	51.9%	4.29	4.11			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	133	0	1.5%	11.3%	6.0%	25.6%	55.6%	4.23	4.07			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	79	54	1.3%	1.3%	22.8%	26.6%	48.1%	4.19	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	128	5	0.0%	1.6%	7.0%	18.0%	73.4%	4.63	4.45			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	129	3	3.1%	7.0%	6.2%	27.1%	56.6%	4.27	4.07			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	130	2	3.1%	9.2%	6.9%	32.3%	48.5%	4.14	4.00			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	128	2	0.8%	7.8%	7.8%	27.3%	56.3%	4.30	4.34			

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Thomas T.C. Campbe												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Cambpell	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	185	1	8.1%	11.9%	7.6%	35.7%	36.8%	3.81	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	185	0	6.5%	15.7%	10.3%	28.6%	38.9%	3.78	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	185	1	4.9%	11.9%	8.1%	26.5%	48.6%	4.02	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	186	0	3.8%	3.2%	5.9%	27.4%	59.7%	4.36	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	181	4	8.8%	10.5%	14.4%	26.5%	39.8%	3.78	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	185	1	23.2%	11.9%	11.4%	19.5%	34.1%	3.29	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	133	53	3.0%	0.0%	24.8%	24.8%	47.4%	4.14	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	180	6	2.8%	5.6%	10.6%	20.0%	61.1%	4.31	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	182	3	11.5%	12.1%	9.9%	27.5%	39.0%	3.70	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	184	2	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	27.2%	35.3%	3.60	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	180	4	7.2%	8.3%	8.9%	30.6%	45.0%	3.98	4.34				
							Г	70.00/	00.40/				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	179						Favor Oppose	76.0% 24.0%	80.1% 19.9%				

DISTRICT COURT													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Cranfill	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	104	2	13.5%	12.5%	13.5%	32.7%	27.9%	3.49	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	105	1	6.7%	15.2%	6.7%	29.5%	41.9%	3.85	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	106	0	10.4%	8.5%	6.6%	27.4%	47.2%	3.92	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	106	0	0.9%	3.8%	4.7%	22.6%	67.9%	4.53	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	106	0	9.4%	13.2%	9.4%	34.9%	33.0%	3.69	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	103	3	7.8%	6.8%	13.6%	27.2%	44.7%	3.94	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	76	29	2.6%	3.9%	25.0%	19.7%	48.7%	4.08	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	102	4	3.9%	4.9%	12.7%	21.6%	56.9%	4.23	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	103	2	13.6%	10.7%	10.7%	35.0%	30.1%	3.57	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	104	2	13.5%	7.7%	15.4%	27.9%	35.6%	3.64	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	101	2	6.9%	8.9%	10.9%	31.7%	41.6%	3.92	4.34				

DISTRICT COURT													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Day	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	100	3	1.0%	5.0%	2.0%	32.0%	60.0%	4.45	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	100	3	1.0%	4.0%	4.0%	28.0%	63.0%	4.48	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	100	3	2.0%	1.0%	1.0%	21.0%	75.0%	4.66	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	101	2	1.0%	1.0%	3.0%	10.9%	84.2%	4.76	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	96	6	1.0%	3.1%	3.1%	31.3%	61.5%	4.49	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	102	1	2.0%	10.8%	12.7%	31.4%	43.1%	4.03	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	71	31	0.0%	1.4%	14.1%	16.9%	67.6%	4.51	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	97	6	0.0%	1.0%	2.1%	12.4%	84.5%	4.80	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	97	6	2.1%	4.1%	2.1%	23.7%	68.0%	4.52	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	99	4	2.0%	3.0%	7.1%	29.3%	58.6%	4.39	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	99	4	1.0%	3.0%	0.0%	25.3%	70.7%	4.62	4.34				

DISTRICT COURT Michael N. Deega											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Deegan Deegan	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	104	1	3.8%	1.0%	7.7%	27.9%	59.6%	4.38	4.12		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	105	1	4.8%	1.0%	6.7%	29.5%	58.1%	4.35	3.99		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	104	1	1.9%	1.0%	2.9%	24.0%	70.2%	4.60	4.20		
Courteousness and politeness.	106	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	16.0%	79.2%	4.75	4.30		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	104	1	2.9%	1.0%	4.8%	26.0%	65.4%	4.50	4.11		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	104	2	0.0%	1.0%	7.7%	19.2%	72.1%	4.63	4.07		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	67	38	0.0%	1.5%	25.4%	13.4%	59.7%	4.31	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	101	5	4.0%	0.0%	4.0%	11.9%	80.2%	4.64	4.45		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	105	1	4.8%	1.9%	4.8%	24.8%	63.8%	4.41	4.07		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	103	3	4.9%	1.0%	6.8%	24.3%	63.1%	4.40	4.00		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	105	1	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	18.1%	74.3%	4.67	4.34		

DISTRICT COURT Jeffrey A. Donne												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Dounell	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	140	1	2.1%	2.9%	4.3%	21.4%	69.3%	4.53	4.12			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	140	1	13.6%	7.1%	10.7%	26.4%	42.1%	3.76	3.99			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	140	1	9.3%	5.7%	6.4%	22.9%	55.7%	4.10	4.20			
Courteousness and politeness.	139	1	15.1%	15.8%	7.9%	25.9%	35.3%	3.50	4.30			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	138	2	5.1%	4.3%	8.0%	21.7%	60.9%	4.29	4.11			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	139	2	2.2%	2.9%	3.6%	19.4%	71.9%	4.56	4.07			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	96	45	3.1%	2.1%	19.8%	19.8%	55.2%	4.22	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	134	6	6.7%	3.7%	4.5%	15.7%	69.4%	4.37	4.45			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	137	4	3.6%	4.4%	8.0%	16.8%	67.2%	4.39	4.07			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	140	1	6.4%	3.6%	5.7%	21.4%	62.9%	4.31	4.00			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	139	1	2.9%	1.4%	4.3%	16.5%	74.8%	4.59	4.34			

DISTRICT COURT													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Edelman Edelman	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	119	3	7.6%	13.4%	16.0%	39.5%	23.5%	3.58	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	119	4	9.2%	14.3%	12.6%	31.9%	31.9%	3.63	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	121	2	5.8%	5.8%	14.0%	33.9%	40.5%	3.98	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	120	2	0.8%	2.5%	10.0%	23.3%	63.3%	4.46	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	118	4	4.2%	11.9%	22.0%	28.8%	33.1%	3.75	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	120	3	10.0%	13.3%	16.7%	28.3%	31.7%	3.58	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	64	59	3.1%	3.1%	46.9%	17.2%	29.7%	3.67	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	117	6	4.3%	10.3%	12.8%	22.2%	50.4%	4.04	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	119	4	10.9%	8.4%	17.6%	29.4%	33.6%	3.66	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	118	5	11.0%	12.7%	22.0%	26.3%	28.0%	3.47	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	117	4	3.4%	5.1%	18.8%	29.9%	42.7%	4.03	4.34				

DISTRICT COURT													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Genu Average	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	122	2	1.6%	5.7%	3.3%	22.1%	67.2%	4.48	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	121	3	4.1%	5.0%	7.4%	18.2%	65.3%	4.36	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	120	4	3.3%	4.2%	3.3%	20.0%	69.2%	4.48	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	122	2	2.5%	2.5%	7.4%	12.3%	75.4%	4.56	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	119	5	1.7%	5.9%	8.4%	24.4%	59.7%	4.34	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	119	5	2.5%	5.0%	8.4%	21.0%	63.0%	4.37	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	77	47	1.3%	2.6%	19.5%	11.7%	64.9%	4.36	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	114	10	2.6%	0.9%	6.1%	6.1%	84.2%	4.68	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	120	4	2.5%	7.5%	6.7%	20.0%	63.3%	4.34	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	121	3	1.7%	9.1%	7.4%	29.8%	52.1%	4.21	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	120	3	1.7%	2.5%	5.0%	17.5%	73.3%	4.58	4.34				

DISTRICT COURT Daniel L. Forgey										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Forgey	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	103	3	3.9%	10.7%	7.8%	36.9%	40.8%	4.00	4.12	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	105	1	3.8%	5.7%	9.5%	38.1%	42.9%	4.10	3.99	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	103	3	1.9%	7.8%	7.8%	24.3%	58.3%	4.29	4.20	
Courteousness and politeness.	104	1	0.0%	1.9%	9.6%	25.0%	63.5%	4.50	4.30	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	102	4	6.9%	10.8%	12.7%	24.5%	45.1%	3.90	4.11	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	103	3	6.8%	10.7%	11.7%	26.2%	44.7%	3.91	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	44	61	2.3%	4.5%	34.1%	20.5%	38.6%	3.89	4.14	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	98	8	1.0%	1.0%	7.1%	23.5%	67.3%	4.55	4.45	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	101	5	5.9%	7.9%	10.9%	31.7%	43.6%	3.99	4.07	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	102	4	5.9%	8.8%	12.7%	26.5%	46.1%	3.98	4.00	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	104	2	2.9%	3.8%	9.6%	24.0%	59.6%	4.34	4.34	

DISTRICT COURT Nena James										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Judge James	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	95	5	10.5%	9.5%	14.7%	40.0%	25.3%	3.60	4.12	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	97	3	18.6%	14.4%	20.6%	27.8%	18.6%	3.13	3.99	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	97	3	13.4%	15.5%	10.3%	33.0%	27.8%	3.46	4.20	
Courteousness and politeness.	97	3	10.3%	5.2%	9.3%	35.1%	40.2%	3.90	4.30	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	95	4	12.6%	9.5%	17.9%	36.8%	23.2%	3.48	4.11	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	96	4	16.7%	19.8%	14.6%	24.0%	25.0%	3.21	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	57	43	5.3%	5.3%	31.6%	26.3%	31.6%	3.74	4.14	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	90	10	6.7%	6.7%	17.8%	28.9%	40.0%	3.89	4.45	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	92	7	10.9%	15.2%	18.5%	30.4%	25.0%	3.43	4.07	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	94	5	14.9%	12.8%	14.9%	33.0%	24.5%	3.39	4.00	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	94	4	10.6%	12.8%	16.0%	23.4%	37.2%	3.64	4.34	

DISTRICT COURT Patrick W. Korel										
	nber of dents	nion	gly rove	vhat rove	orove nor irove	vhat ove	ıgly ove		e Scores	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Korell	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	57	3	0.0%	1.8%	10.5%	43.9%	43.9%	4.30	4.12	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	60	0	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	23.3%	66.7%	4.57	3.99	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	60	0	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	15.0%	80.0%	4.75	4.20	
Courteousness and politeness.	60	0	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	10.0%	85.0%	4.80	4.30	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	59	1	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	32.2%	61.0%	4.54	4.11	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	56	4	3.6%	3.6%	8.9%	21.4%	62.5%	4.36	4.07	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	42	18	0.0%	0.0%	31.0%	9.5%	59.5%	4.29	4.14	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	57	3	1.8%	0.0%	7.0%	15.8%	75.4%	4.63	4.45	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	50	10	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	34.0%	52.0%	4.38	4.07	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	52	8	0.0%	1.9%	7.7%	26.9%	63.5%	4.52	4.00	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	56	1	0.0%	1.8%	3.6%	21.4%	73.2%	4.66	4.34	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this	59						Favor	94.9%	80.1%	
judge for another term?							Oppose	5.1%	19.9%	

DISTRICT COURT													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Lavery	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	103	5	2.9%	9.7%	6.8%	32.0%	48.5%	4.14	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	104	4	6.7%	6.7%	10.6%	31.7%	44.2%	4.00	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	105	3	5.7%	8.6%	3.8%	27.6%	54.3%	4.16	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	106	2	4.7%	5.7%	5.7%	14.2%	69.8%	4.39	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	105	3	6.7%	5.7%	8.6%	28.6%	50.5%	4.10	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	105	3	3.8%	4.8%	8.6%	30.5%	52.4%	4.23	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	64	44	1.6%	3.1%	29.7%	17.2%	48.4%	4.08	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	96	10	4.2%	2.1%	5.2%	27.1%	61.5%	4.40	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	104	4	6.7%	10.6%	7.7%	32.7%	42.3%	3.93	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	101	7	8.9%	6.9%	12.9%	24.8%	46.5%	3.93	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	103	5	1.9%	3.9%	2.9%	27.2%	64.1%	4.48	4.34				

DISTRICT COURT John R. Per												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Perry	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	103	2	3.9%	3.9%	8.7%	24.3%	59.2%	4.31	4.12			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	103	3	3.9%	6.8%	6.8%	26.2%	56.3%	4.24	3.99			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	103	2	3.9%	5.8%	4.9%	21.4%	64.1%	4.36	4.20			
Courteousness and politeness.	104	1	1.0%	3.8%	5.8%	14.4%	75.0%	4.59	4.30			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	102	3	4.9%	2.9%	6.9%	21.6%	63.7%	4.36	4.11			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	101	3	5.9%	10.9%	6.9%	19.8%	56.4%	4.10	4.07			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	64	41	3.1%	3.1%	18.8%	18.8%	56.3%	4.22	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	98	7	5.1%	2.0%	5.1%	15.3%	72.4%	4.48	4.45			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	98	7	4.1%	3.1%	6.1%	22.4%	64.3%	4.40	4.07			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	102	4	3.9%	6.9%	8.8%	22.5%	57.8%	4.24	4.00			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	100	4	3.0%	3.0%	10.0%	16.0%	68.0%	4.43	4.34			

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Catherine R. Rog													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rogers	District Court					
Knowledge of the law.	154	4	5.2%	16.2%	7.8%	36.4%	34.4%	3.79	4.12					
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	154	3	7.8%	17.5%	11.0%	22.7%	40.9%	3.71	3.99					
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	154	3	7.8%	11.0%	9.1%	22.7%	49.4%	3.95	4.20					
Courteousness and politeness.	156	2	7.1%	14.1%	10.3%	23.7%	44.9%	3.85	4.30					
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	151	6	3.3%	12.6%	17.9%	24.5%	41.7%	3.89	4.11					
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	154	4	12.3%	12.3%	10.4%	27.3%	37.7%	3.66	4.07					
Participation in law-related professional activities.	95	63	2.1%	6.3%	31.6%	26.3%	33.7%	3.83	4.14					
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	149	9	2.0%	3.4%	9.4%	22.8%	62.4%	4.40	4.45					
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	152	6	6.6%	13.8%	13.8%	25.7%	40.1%	3.79	4.07					
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	149	6	12.1%	10.7%	13.4%	25.5%	38.3%	3.67	4.00					
Preparedness for court proceedings.	155	3	6.5%	7.7%	11.0%	20.6%	54.2%	4.08	4.34					
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	154						Favor Oppose	73.4% 26.6%	80.1% 19.9%					

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Thomas W. Rump												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rumpke	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	105	1	4.8%	6.7%	10.5%	28.6%	49.5%	4.11	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	104	2	9.6%	8.7%	13.5%	24.0%	44.2%	3.85	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	104	1	7.7%	8.7%	11.5%	23.1%	49.0%	3.97	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	105	1	14.3%	13.3%	11.4%	21.0%	40.0%	3.59	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	104	2	5.8%	5.8%	19.2%	26.0%	43.3%	3.95	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	103	3	1.0%	3.9%	15.5%	19.4%	60.2%	4.34	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	67	38	1.5%	0.0%	34.3%	17.9%	46.3%	4.07	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	103	3	5.8%	1.0%	10.7%	15.5%	67.0%	4.37	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	103	3	4.9%	4.9%	17.5%	24.3%	48.5%	4.07	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	102	3	7.8%	8.8%	17.6%	22.5%	43.1%	3.84	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	102	3	1.0%	6.9%	10.8%	17.6%	63.7%	4.36	4.34				

DISTRICT COURT							Steve	en K. Si	harpe
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Sharpe Sharpe	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	158	0	1.9%	5.1%	3.8%	25.3%	63.9%	4.44	4.12
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	158	0	3.8%	3.2%	6.3%	18.4%	68.4%	4.44	3.99
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	158	0	1.3%	4.4%	2.5%	16.5%	75.3%	4.60	4.20
Courteousness and politeness.	158	0	1.3%	1.9%	2.5%	14.6%	79.7%	4.70	4.30
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	156	2	1.9%	4.5%	5.1%	25.0%	63.5%	4.44	4.11
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	156	2	3.2%	4.5%	5.8%	21.8%	64.7%	4.40	4.07
Participation in law-related professional activities.	120	38	0.0%	1.7%	20.8%	19.2%	58.3%	4.34	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	155	2	0.0%	3.2%	4.5%	8.4%	83.9%	4.73	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	156	1	3.2%	3.2%	5.1%	27.6%	60.9%	4.40	4.07
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	156	1	4.5%	3.2%	7.1%	23.7%	61.5%	4.35	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	158	0	2.5%	3.2%	4.4%	17.7%	72.2%	4.54	4.34

DISTRICT COURT							Ro	bert E	. Skar
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Skar Judge Skar	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	74	2	1.4%	12.2%	9.5%	40.5%	36.5%	3.99	4.12
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	75	1	6.7%	13.3%	9.3%	34.7%	36.0%	3.80	3.99
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	76	0	2.6%	10.5%	9.2%	35.5%	42.1%	4.04	4.20
Courteousness and politeness.	76	0	6.6%	11.8%	6.6%	23.7%	51.3%	4.01	4.30
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	75	1	2.7%	12.0%	16.0%	34.7%	34.7%	3.87	4.11
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	75	1	9.3%	17.3%	10.7%	34.7%	28.0%	3.55	4.07
Participation in law-related professional activities.	42	34	7.1%	4.8%	42.9%	11.9%	33.3%	3.60	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	68	8	1.5%	4.4%	7.4%	22.1%	64.7%	4.44	4.45
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	72	4	6.9%	6.9%	9.7%	43.1%	33.3%	3.89	4.07
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	73	2	5.5%	16.4%	8.2%	34.2%	35.6%	3.78	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	72	1	4.2%	4.2%	9.7%	33.3%	48.6%	4.18	4.34
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	73						Favor Oppose	78.1% 21.9%	80.1% 19.9%

DISTRICT COURT W. Thomas Sullin												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sullins Sullins	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	98	2	1.0%	4.1%	4.1%	24.5%	66.3%	4.51	4.12			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	100	1	6.0%	10.0%	7.0%	22.0%	55.0%	4.10	3.99			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	102	0	2.9%	7.8%	6.9%	18.6%	63.7%	4.32	4.20			
Courteousness and politeness.	99	1	2.0%	1.0%	6.1%	13.1%	77.8%	4.64	4.30			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	99	3	3.0%	4.0%	9.1%	21.2%	62.6%	4.36	4.11			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	99	0	1.0%	2.0%	8.1%	21.2%	67.7%	4.53	4.07			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	52	48	1.9%	1.9%	15.4%	19.2%	61.5%	4.37	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	97	4	0.0%	2.1%	8.2%	12.4%	77.3%	4.65	4.45			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	99	1	3.0%	1.0%	6.1%	32.3%	57.6%	4.40	4.07			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	101	0	2.0%	3.0%	9.9%	25.7%	59.4%	4.38	4.00			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	100	0	0.0%	4.0%	5.0%	18.0%	73.0%	4.60	4.34			

DISTRICT COURT Marvin L.													
	nber of dents	inion	ıgly ırove	what	prove nor	what ove	ugly ove		e Scores				
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Tyler	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	112	5	4.5%	9.8%	8.0%	26.8%	50.9%	4.10	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	111	4	9.9%	12.6%	9.9%	27.0%	40.5%	3.76	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	111	5	7.2%	9.0%	9.9%	22.5%	51.4%	4.02	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	112	4	12.5%	12.5%	7.1%	22.3%	45.5%	3.76	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	110	7	4.5%	15.5%	10.0%	22.7%	47.3%	3.93	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	109	7	6.4%	9.2%	11.0%	20.2%	53.2%	4.05	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	55	59	5.5%	7.3%	32.7%	14.5%	40.0%	3.76	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	107	9	4.7%	5.6%	9.3%	16.8%	63.6%	4.29	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	110	6	5.5%	9.1%	14.5%	24.5%	46.4%	3.97	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	111	5	9.0%	10.8%	7.2%	30.6%	42.3%	3.86	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	106	10	4.7%	3.8%	12.3%	18.9%	60.4%	4.26	4.34				
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	109						Favor Oppose	78.9% 21.1%	80.1% 19.9%				

DISTRICT COURT													
	r of	_	a)	- u	e nor	+		Average					
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Waldrip	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	141	1	0.7%	6.4%	7.1%	27.0%	58.9%	4.37	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	142	0	3.5%	7.0%	7.7%	27.5%	54.2%	4.22	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	142	0	3.5%	4.2%	4.2%	24.6%	63.4%	4.40	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	141	0	3.5%	0.7%	9.9%	21.3%	64.5%	4.43	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	139	2	2.2%	3.6%	6.5%	27.3%	60.4%	4.40	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	141	1	2.8%	1.4%	9.2%	22.7%	63.8%	4.43	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	93	49	0.0%	1.1%	20.4%	12.9%	65.6%	4.43	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	134	8	2.2%	2.2%	6.0%	14.2%	75.4%	4.58	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	140	1	2.9%	5.0%	10.0%	28.6%	53.6%	4.25	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	140	2	4.3%	2.9%	7.1%	26.4%	59.3%	4.34	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	137	4	0.7%	0.7%	4.4%	24.1%	70.1%	4.62	4.34				

DISTRICT COURT Catherine E. Wilkin												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average	District Court			
	Total N Resp	No N	Str Disa	Som	Neither /	Som	Str	Judge Wilking	District			
Knowledge of the law.	110	3	6.4%	9.1%	8.2%	31.8%	44.5%	3.99	4.12			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	113	0	11.5%	13.3%	8.0%	27.4%	39.8%	3.71	3.99			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	111	2	7.2%	9.9%	10.8%	16.2%	55.9%	4.04	4.20			
Courteousness and politeness.	111	0	9.9%	12.6%	8.1%	21.6%	47.7%	3.85	4.30			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	111	2	8.1%	9.0%	8.1%	23.4%	51.4%	4.01	4.11			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	111	2	4.5%	3.6%	9.0%	20.7%	62.2%	4.32	4.07			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	62	51	4.8%	3.2%	21.0%	9.7%	61.3%	4.19	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	105	8	3.8%	3.8%	12.4%	16.2%	63.8%	4.32	4.45			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	109	2	9.2%	8.3%	9.2%	29.4%	44.0%	3.91	4.07			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	111	2	6.3%	10.8%	11.7%	27.0%	44.1%	3.92	4.00			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	110	3	3.6%	3.6%	7.3%	20.0%	65.5%	4.40	4.34			

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Norman E.												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average BunoA egpnr BunoA egpnr	District Court				
Knowledge of the law.	104	5	4.8%	6.7%	5.8%	30.8%	51.9%	4.18	4.12				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	103	5	6.8%	6.8%	5.8%	30.1%	50.5%	4.11	3.99				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	104	5	4.8%	6.7%	6.7%	22.1%	59.6%	4.25	4.20				
Courteousness and politeness.	103	5	1.9%	3.9%	4.9%	16.5%	72.8%	4.54	4.30				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	105	5	4.8%	10.5%	4.8%	22.9%	57.1%	4.17	4.11				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	104	4	6.7%	4.8%	10.6%	28.8%	49.0%	4.09	4.07				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	61	47	3.3%	4.9%	24.6%	18.0%	49.2%	4.05	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	104	5	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	18.3%	73.1%	4.56	4.45				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	103	5	5.8%	7.8%	8.7%	27.2%	50.5%	4.09	4.07				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	103	6	8.7%	7.8%	11.7%	22.3%	49.5%	3.96	4.00				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	104	5	3.8%	3.8%	7.7%	26.9%	57.7%	4.31	4.34				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	105						Favor Oppose	87.6% 12.4%	80.1% 19.9%				

CIRCUIT COURT RESULTS

CIRCUIT COURT													
	er of nts	u	, e	at ve	ve nor	e at	> 0	Average					
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Arp	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	35	0	2.9%	2.9%	0.0%	45.7%	48.6%	4.34	4.02				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	35	0	2.9%	5.7%	8.6%	40.0%	42.9%	4.14	3.86				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	35	0	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%	22.9%	60.0%	4.26	4.06				
Courteousness and politeness.	35	0	8.6%	8.6%	2.9%	22.9%	57.1%	4.11	4.20				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	35	0	5.7%	5.7%	2.9%	37.1%	48.6%	4.17	4.06				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	34	1	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	26.5%	67.6%	4.62	4.24				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	20	15	0.0%	5.0%	25.0%	20.0%	50.0%	4.15	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	35	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	20.0%	71.4%	4.63	4.29				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	35	0	5.7%	5.7%	8.6%	34.3%	45.7%	4.09	3.92				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	35	0	5.7%	11.4%	5.7%	28.6%	48.6%	4.03	3.89				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	35	0	0.0%	2.9%	5.7%	22.9%	68.6%	4.57	4.26				

CIRCUIT COURT						Wen	dy Ma	rtin Bo	ırtlett
	er of nts	uc	ve v	at ve	we nor	e at	> 0	Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Bartlett	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	45	1	0.0%	4.4%	6.7%	53.3%	35.6%	4.20	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	45	1	2.2%	15.6%	6.7%	28.9%	46.7%	4.02	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	46	0	2.2%	6.5%	6.5%	34.8%	50.0%	4.24	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	46	0	0.0%	6.5%	8.7%	26.1%	58.7%	4.37	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	44	2	2.3%	4.5%	9.1%	34.1%	50.0%	4.25	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	43	2	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	30.2%	58.1%	4.47	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	33	13	0.0%	3.0%	33.3%	18.2%	45.5%	4.06	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	46	0	4.3%	2.2%	6.5%	21.7%	65.2%	4.41	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	43	3	0.0%	7.0%	9.3%	32.6%	51.2%	4.28	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	44	2	0.0%	11.4%	11.4%	31.8%	45.5%	4.11	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	45	1	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	31.1%	55.6%	4.42	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	45						Favor Oppose	80.0% 20.0%	84.0% 16.0%

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT H. Steven Brown													
	r of		o,	(i)	e nor			Average	e Scores					
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Brown	Circuit Court					
Knowledge of the law.	44	0	22.7%	4.5%	9.1%	18.2%	45.5%	3.59	4.02					
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	45	0	15.6%	15.6%	2.2%	22.2%	44.4%	3.64	3.86					
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	45	0	15.6%	4.4%	4.4%	24.4%	51.1%	3.91	4.06					
Courteousness and politeness.	45	0	2.2%	8.9%	4.4%	8.9%	75.6%	4.47	4.20					
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	44	0	11.4%	9.1%	6.8%	20.5%	52.3%	3.93	4.06					
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	43	1	9.3%	7.0%	7.0%	23.3%	53.5%	4.05	4.24					
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	23	4.5%	0.0%	22.7%	18.2%	54.5%	4.18	4.14					
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	43	1	14.0%	4.7%	11.6%	14.0%	55.8%	3.93	4.29					
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	44	1	20.5%	4.5%	9.1%	25.0%	40.9%	3.61	3.92					
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	44	1	22.7%	4.5%	13.6%	15.9%	43.2%	3.52	3.89					
Preparedness for court proceedings.	45	0	17.8%	4.4%	17.8%	15.6%	44.4%	3.64	4.26					
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	43						Favor Oppose	74.4% 25.6%	84.0% 16.0%					

CIRCUIT COURT							I. Vin	cent Co	ase Jr.
	nber of dents	inion	ıgly ırove	what	prove nor	what ove	ugly ove	Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	noinido oN	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Case	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	29	0	0.0%	6.9%	6.9%	34.5%	51.7%	4.31	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	29	0	3.4%	10.3%	6.9%	20.7%	58.6%	4.21	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	29	0	3.4%	17.2%	0.0%	24.1%	55.2%	4.10	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	29	0	3.4%	6.9%	0.0%	13.8%	75.9%	4.52	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	29	0	0.0%	24.1%	3.4%	24.1%	48.3%	3.97	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	27	1	3.7%	18.5%	7.4%	14.8%	55.6%	4.00	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	14	15	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	21.4%	50.0%	4.21	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	27	2	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	25.9%	66.7%	4.59	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	29	0	0.0%	13.8%	10.3%	27.6%	48.3%	4.10	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	29	0	0.0%	13.8%	17.2%	13.8%	55.2%	4.10	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	28	0	3.6%	14.3%	7.1%	14.3%	60.7%	4.14	4.26
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	25						Favor Oppose	96.0% 4.0%	84.0% 16.0%

CIRCUIT COURT					,	Mat	thew I	F.G. Ca	stano
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Castano	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	<u>۲</u> 41	0	7.3%	0.0%	4.9%	31.7%	56.1%	4.29	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	41	0	4.9%	4.9%	9.8%	24.4%	56.1%	4.22	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	41	0	4.9%	2.4%	7.3%	19.5%	65.9%	4.39	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	41	0	7.3%	2.4%	2.4%	14.6%	73.2%	4.44	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	41	0	7.3%	0.0%	2.4%	22.0%	68.3%	4.44	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	40	1	5.0%	2.5%	2.5%	20.0%	70.0%	4.48	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	28	13	3.6%	0.0%	25.0%	14.3%	57.1%	4.21	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	40	1	5.0%	0.0%	5.0%	22.5%	67.5%	4.48	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	38	3	7.9%	0.0%	5.3%	26.3%	60.5%	4.32	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	39	2	7.7%	2.6%	5.1%	20.5%	64.1%	4.31	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	40	0	7.5%	0.0%	2.5%	17.5%	72.5%	4.48	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	40						Favor Oppose	95.0% 5.0%	84.0% 16.0%

CIRCUIT COURT Robert A. Castor												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Castor Average	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	65	0	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	21.5%	69.2%	4.51	4.02			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	65	0	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%	26.2%	60.0%	4.32	3.86			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	65	0	0.0%	9.2%	1.5%	20.0%	69.2%	4.49	4.06			
Courteousness and politeness.	64	0	1.6%	1.6%	4.7%	15.6%	76.6%	4.64	4.20			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	65	0	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	24.6%	66.2%	4.48	4.06			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	64	1	0.0%	1.6%	6.3%	18.8%	73.4%	4.64	4.24			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	41	24	4.9%	0.0%	17.1%	7.3%	70.7%	4.39	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	64	1	3.1%	1.6%	7.8%	10.9%	76.6%	4.56	4.29			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	65	0	3.1%	6.2%	4.6%	23.1%	63.1%	4.37	3.92			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	62	2	4.8%	4.8%	1.6%	25.8%	62.9%	4.37	3.89			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	63	1	0.0%	4.8%	3.2%	17.5%	74.6%	4.62	4.26			

CIRCUIT COURT						В	rian D.	Christe	ensen
	r of ts		υ U	ъ в	e nor	The state of the s		Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Christensen	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	45	0	4.4%	4.4%	11.1%	26.7%	53.3%	4.20	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	46	0	2.2%	6.5%	6.5%	30.4%	54.3%	4.28	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	46	0	2.2%	4.3%	6.5%	23.9%	63.0%	4.41	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	46	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	10.9%	84.8%	4.80	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	44	1	4.5%	4.5%	13.6%	18.2%	59.1%	4.23	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	45	0	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	26.7%	60.0%	4.47	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	25	20	0.0%	0.0%	32.0%	12.0%	56.0%	4.24	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	45	0	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	20.0%	68.9%	4.58	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	45	0	2.2%	8.9%	15.6%	20.0%	53.3%	4.13	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	45	0	4.4%	4.4%	17.8%	17.8%	55.6%	4.16	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	45	0	2.2%	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	64.4%	4.47	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	44						Favor Oppose	95.5% 4.5%	84.0% 16.0%

CIRCUIT COURT						S	helley	Ann Cu	ındiff
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Cnudiff	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	47	0	12.8%	6.4%	10.6%	34.0%	36.2%	3.74	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	47	0	10.6%	8.5%	10.6%	17.0%	53.2%	3.94	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	45	1	11.1%	0.0%	8.9%	24.4%	55.6%	4.13	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	46	0	4.3%	2.2%	8.7%	23.9%	60.9%	4.35	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	46	1	2.2%	10.9%	8.7%	30.4%	47.8%	4.11	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	45	2	2.2%	8.9%	6.7%	28.9%	53.3%	4.22	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	26	21	3.8%	0.0%	23.1%	19.2%	53.8%	4.19	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	41	6	2.4%	9.8%	4.9%	17.1%	65.9%	4.34	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	46	1	15.2%	8.7%	6.5%	32.6%	37.0%	3.67	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	46	1	13.0%	8.7%	10.9%	26.1%	41.3%	3.74	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	47	0	4.3%	6.4%	8.5%	29.8%	51.1%	4.17	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT						F	Robert	B. Den	hardt
	er of nts	uc	» »	at ve	ove nor	at e	> a	Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	noinido oN	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Denhardt	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	33	0	3.0%	15.2%	12.1%	33.3%	36.4%	3.85	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	31	1	6.5%	9.7%	12.9%	29.0%	41.9%	3.90	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	0	3.0%	6.1%	18.2%	24.2%	48.5%	4.09	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	33	0	3.0%	3.0%	6.1%	27.3%	60.6%	4.39	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	32	1	6.3%	6.3%	15.6%	37.5%	34.4%	3.88	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	1	3.1%	3.1%	9.4%	37.5%	46.9%	4.22	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	17	15	5.9%	0.0%	41.2%	11.8%	41.2%	3.82	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	1	3.1%	3.1%	15.6%	21.9%	56.3%	4.25	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	3	3.3%	23.3%	13.3%	23.3%	36.7%	3.67	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	32	1	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	18.8%	37.5%	3.69	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	30	0	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	26.7%	56.7%	4.30	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	32						Favor Oppose	87.5% 12.5%	84.0% 16.0%

CIRCUIT COURT								Jane Eakin	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Eakin	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	41	1	14.6%	22.0%	12.2%	22.0%	29.3%	3.29	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	40	1	27.5%	27.5%	12.5%	7.5%	25.0%	2.75	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	41	1	19.5%	24.4%	7.3%	22.0%	26.8%	3.12	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	42	0	14.3%	16.7%	7.1%	31.0%	31.0%	3.48	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	41	1	12.2%	22.0%	17.1%	19.5%	29.3%	3.32	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	38	3	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	26.3%	42.1%	3.89	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	22	11.1%	11.1%	27.8%	11.1%	38.9%	3.56	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	37	4	13.5%	16.2%	10.8%	10.8%	48.6%	3.65	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	41	1	22.0%	17.1%	12.2%	22.0%	26.8%	3.15	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	39	3	23.1%	25.6%	10.3%	12.8%	28.2%	2.97	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	40	2	7.5%	5.0%	12.5%	35.0%	40.0%	3.95	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT							Mich	nael L.	Greer
	r of ts	c	o)	+ e	e nor	±		Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Greer	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	32	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	31.3%	65.6%	4.63	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	0	0.0%	9.4%	3.1%	18.8%	68.8%	4.47	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	18.8%	68.8%	4.56	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	32	0	0.0%	3.1%	3.1%	21.9%	71.9%	4.63	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	32	0	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	28.1%	59.4%	4.47	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	28.1%	65.6%	4.59	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	14	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	4.50	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	30	2	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	16.7%	76.7%	4.70	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	2	0.0%	3.3%	10.0%	26.7%	60.0%	4.43	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	31	1	0.0%	3.2%	9.7%	19.4%	67.7%	4.52	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	22.6%	71.0%	4.65	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	32						Favor Oppose	90.6%	84.0% 16.0%

CIRCUIT COURT Thomas W. Harrington											
	of				nor			Average	e Scores		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Harrington	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	34	0	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	32.4%	55.9%	4.32	4.02		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	34	0	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	32.4%	61.8%	4.50	3.86		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	34	0	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	35.3%	61.8%	4.59	4.06		
Courteousness and politeness.	34	0	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	17.6%	79.4%	4.74	4.20		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	34	0	2.9%	2.9%	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%	4.38	4.06		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	34	0	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%	23.5%	70.6%	4.62	4.24		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	24	10	4.2%	0.0%	16.7%	20.8%	58.3%	4.29	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.7%	85.3%	4.85	4.29		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	34	0	8.8%	0.0%	5.9%	29.4%	55.9%	4.24	3.92		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	0	5.9%	5.9%	8.8%	26.5%	52.9%	4.15	3.89		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	33	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	33.3%	63.6%	4.61	4.26		

CIRCUIT COURT Curt A. Haw													
	mber of Idents	inion	ıgly ırove	what	prove nor	what ove	ngly ove		e Scores				
	Total Number of Respondents	noinido oN	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Haws	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	52	0	0.0%	1.9%	3.8%	21.2%	73.1%	4.65	4.02				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	52	0	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	17.3%	75.0%	4.63	3.86				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	52	0	0.0%	1.9%	3.8%	19.2%	75.0%	4.67	4.06				
Courteousness and politeness.	52	0	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	5.8%	92.3%	4.90	4.20				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	50	2	0.0%	2.0%	6.0%	18.0%	74.0%	4.64	4.06				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	47	4	0.0%	2.1%	6.4%	17.0%	74.5%	4.64	4.24				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	32	18	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%	18.8%	71.9%	4.63	4.14				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	52	0	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	13.5%	78.8%	4.67	4.29				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	50	1	0.0%	2.0%	6.0%	16.0%	76.0%	4.66	3.92				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	49	2	0.0%	4.1%	6.1%	16.3%	73.5%	4.59	3.89				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	51	0	0.0%	2.0%	3.9%	19.6%	74.5%	4.67	4.26				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this	51						Favor	98.0%	84.0%				
judge for another term?	51						Oppose	2.0%	16.0				

CIRCUIT COURT		Antoinette Williams Healy									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Healy	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	70	2	17.1%	14.3%	10.0%	30.0%	28.6%	3.39	4.02		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	72	0	15.3%	9.7%	15.3%	29.2%	30.6%	3.50	3.86		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	72	0	9.7%	11.1%	12.5%	33.3%	33.3%	3.69	4.06		
Courteousness and politeness.	71	0	8.5%	2.8%	9.9%	33.8%	45.1%	4.04	4.20		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	70	1	10.0%	11.4%	12.9%	35.7%	30.0%	3.64	4.06		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	69	3	14.5%	5.8%	14.5%	30.4%	34.8%	3.65	4.24		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	42	30	2.4%	2.4%	42.9%	21.4%	31.0%	3.76	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	69	3	5.8%	4.3%	14.5%	30.4%	44.9%	4.04	4.29		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	69	3	17.4%	11.6%	17.4%	26.1%	27.5%	3.35	3.92		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	70	2	18.6%	7.1%	22.9%	25.7%	25.7%	3.33	3.89		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	72	0	9.7%	5.6%	13.9%	31.9%	38.9%	3.85	4.26		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	69						Favor Oppose	68.1% 31.9%	84.0% 16.0%		

CIRCUIT COURT							C	raig L.	Jones
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sauof again	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	33	1	3.0%	9.1%	9.1%	33.3%	45.5%	4.09	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	33	1	3.0%	12.1%	15.2%	39.4%	30.3%	3.82	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	1	3.0%	6.1%	12.1%	36.4%	42.4%	4.09	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	33	1	9.1%	18.2%	15.2%	18.2%	39.4%	3.61	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	2	9.7%	0.0%	16.1%	38.7%	35.5%	3.90	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	2	3.1%	3.1%	25.0%	28.1%	40.6%	4.00	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	16	0.0%	0.0%	38.9%	27.8%	33.3%	3.94	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	3	0.0%	9.7%	19.4%	19.4%	51.6%	4.13	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	31	2	3.2%	6.5%	9.7%	35.5%	45.2%	4.13	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	31	2	3.2%	0.0%	22.6%	41.9%	32.3%	4.00	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	1	3.1%	0.0%	12.5%	34.4%	50.0%	4.28	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT							Th	nomas	L. Lee
	r of ts	c	a	e t	e nor	٠ ٠		Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	agpnr	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	70	0	2.9%	8.6%	5.7%	28.6%	54.3%	4.23	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	69	1	4.3%	11.6%	8.7%	17.4%	58.0%	4.13	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	68	2	1.5%	8.8%	7.4%	23.5%	58.8%	4.29	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	69	1	4.3%	10.1%	7.2%	14.5%	63.8%	4.23	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	67	3	0.0%	6.0%	7.5%	29.9%	56.7%	4.37	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	68	2	0.0%	2.9%	7.4%	30.9%	58.8%	4.46	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	47	23	0.0%	2.1%	21.3%	17.0%	59.6%	4.34	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	66	4	0.0%	6.1%	9.1%	13.6%	71.2%	4.50	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	67	3	4.5%	7.5%	6.0%	29.9%	52.2%	4.18	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	64	5	6.3%	9.4%	3.1%	28.1%	53.1%	4.13	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	69	1	2.9%	1.4%	8.7%	24.6%	62.3%	4.42	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	71						Favor Oppose	91.5% 8.5%	84.0% 16.0%

CIRCUIT COURT								Denis	e Nau
	o d				nor			Averag	e Scores
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Nau	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	77	2	7.8%	19.5%	5.2%	24.7%	42.9%	3.75	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	79	1	21.5%	22.8%	6.3%	20.3%	29.1%	3.13	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	78	1	17.9%	16.7%	5.1%	26.9%	33.3%	3.41	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	78	2	28.2%	19.2%	10.3%	12.8%	29.5%	2.96	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	78	2	15.4%	11.5%	9.0%	28.2%	35.9%	3.58	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	77	3	7.8%	3.9%	11.7%	24.7%	51.9%	4.09	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	44	35	6.8%	0.0%	29.5%	9.1%	54.5%	4.05	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	74	6	13.5%	13.5%	12.2%	17.6%	43.2%	3.64	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	77	3	18.2%	7.8%	19.5%	19.5%	35.1%	3.45	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	77	3	22.1%	6.5%	16.9%	20.8%	33.8%	3.38	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	78	1	7.7%	3.8%	16.7%	25.6%	46.2%	3.99	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT						٨	1ichae	l N. Pa	tchen
	er of nts	u	ve ve	at ve	we nor re	at e	> a	Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Patchen	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	35	2	0.0%	20.0%	5.7%	34.3%	40.0%	3.94	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	37	0	8.1%	10.8%	10.8%	29.7%	40.5%	3.84	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	37	0	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	27.0%	56.8%	4.24	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	37	0	0.0%	8.1%	8.1%	13.5%	70.3%	4.46	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	36	1	2.8%	8.3%	13.9%	33.3%	41.7%	4.03	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	36	0	0.0%	5.6%	16.7%	27.8%	50.0%	4.22	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	15	0.0%	9.1%	22.7%	27.3%	40.9%	4.00	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	36	1	2.8%	8.3%	11.1%	25.0%	52.8%	4.17	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	37	0	5.4%	18.9%	10.8%	24.3%	40.5%	3.76	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	36	1	5.6%	13.9%	13.9%	30.6%	36.1%	3.78	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	37	0	2.7%	5.4%	13.5%	32.4%	45.9%	4.14	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT							Jol	hn R. P	rokos
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Prokos	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	41	3	14.6%	19.5%	7.3%	29.3%	29.3%	3.39	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	42	2	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	26.2%	31.0%	3.45	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	43	1	9.3%	18.6%	14.0%	23.3%	34.9%	3.56	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	44	0	6.8%	0.0%	6.8%	31.8%	54.5%	4.27	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	41	3	12.2%	12.2%	12.2%	26.8%	36.6%	3.63	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	41	3	4.9%	4.9%	17.1%	31.7%	41.5%	4.00	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	26	18	7.7%	0.0%	26.9%	23.1%	42.3%	3.92	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	40	4	7.5%	12.5%	5.0%	27.5%	47.5%	3.95	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	41	3	12.2%	17.1%	9.8%	31.7%	29.3%	3.49	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	42	2	14.3%	9.5%	14.3%	31.0%	31.0%	3.55	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	42	2	7.1%	4.8%	14.3%	31.0%	42.9%	3.98	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT							Jan	nes L. R	Radda
	otal Number of Respondents	No Opinion	ngly prove	what orove	her Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	do on	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Disapprove	Some Appı	Stro Appı	Judge Radda	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	57	0	5.3%	21.1%	5.3%	29.8%	38.6%	3.75	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	57	0	24.6%	15.8%	7.0%	17.5%	35.1%	3.23	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	57	0	14.0%	12.3%	5.3%	15.8%	52.6%	3.81	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	57	0	14.0%	0.0%	10.5%	17.5%	57.9%	4.05	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	57	0	12.3%	8.8%	10.5%	22.8%	45.6%	3.81	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	56	1	8.9%	5.4%	10.7%	23.2%	51.8%	4.04	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	36	20	8.3%	0.0%	22.2%	19.4%	50.0%	4.03	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	57	0	8.8%	10.5%	8.8%	10.5%	61.4%	4.05	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	56	1	17.9%	12.5%	12.5%	19.6%	37.5%	3.46	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	56	1	25.0%	10.7%	7.1%	14.3%	42.9%	3.39	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	55	1	7.3%	1.8%	9.1%	25.5%	56.4%	4.22	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this	54						Favor	63.0%	84.0%
judge for another term?							Oppose	37.0%	16.0%

CIRCUIT COURT					Wesle	y A. Ro	berts		
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average	
	Total Nu Respoi	No OF	Strongly Disapprov	Some	Neither Ap Disap	Some	Stro App	Judge Roberts	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	36	1	0.0%	11.1%	13.9%	38.9%	36.1%	4.00	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	36	1	11.1%	27.8%	16.7%	16.7%	27.8%	3.22	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	37	0	5.4%	18.9%	16.2%	32.4%	27.0%	3.57	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	37	0	5.4%	8.1%	32.4%	27.0%	27.0%	3.62	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	36	1	2.8%	11.1%	22.2%	30.6%	33.3%	3.81	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	35	2	14.3%	11.4%	20.0%	22.9%	31.4%	3.46	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	15	21	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%	4.00	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	35	2	0.0%	2.9%	20.0%	31.4%	45.7%	4.20	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	35	2	2.9%	5.7%	25.7%	40.0%	25.7%	3.80	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	3	2.9%	11.8%	26.5%	35.3%	23.5%	3.65	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	36	1	0.0%	2.8%	22.2%	41.7%	33.3%	4.06	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT							Te	rrill R.	Tharp
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Tharp	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	47	1	2.1%	6.4%	14.9%	31.9%	44.7%	4.11	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	46	1	6.5%	10.9%	13.0%	21.7%	47.8%	3.93	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	47	1	4.3%	12.8%	19.1%	19.1%	44.7%	3.87	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	47	1	12.8%	8.5%	2.1%	27.7%	48.9%	3.91	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	45	3	4.4%	8.9%	6.7%	28.9%	51.1%	4.13	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	45	3	2.2%	8.9%	13.3%	26.7%	48.9%	4.11	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	30	18	0.0%	6.7%	23.3%	20.0%	50.0%	4.13	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	44	4	4.5%	0.0%	11.4%	20.5%	63.6%	4.39	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	44	4	4.5%	6.8%	20.5%	25.0%	43.2%	3.95	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	44	4	4.5%	11.4%	9.1%	29.5%	45.5%	4.00	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	45	3	0.0%	6.7%	11.1%	35.6%	46.7%	4.22	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT							Bru	ce B. W	aters/
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Waters	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	33	1	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	27.3%	3.73	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	33	1	12.1%	12.1%	9.1%	33.3%	33.3%	3.64	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	1	9.1%	9.1%	12.1%	21.2%	48.5%	3.91	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	32	1	9.4%	6.3%	6.3%	34.4%	43.8%	3.97	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	32	1	12.5%	3.1%	12.5%	31.3%	40.6%	3.84	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	2	6.3%	0.0%	15.6%	28.1%	50.0%	4.16	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	11	8.7%	8.7%	21.7%	21.7%	39.1%	3.74	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	2	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%	21.9%	53.1%	4.03	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	32	2	12.5%	3.1%	9.4%	31.3%	43.8%	3.91	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	31	3	12.9%	6.5%	9.7%	29.0%	41.9%	3.81	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	2	9.4%	3.1%	9.4%	28.1%	50.0%	4.06	4.26

CIRCUIT COURT							Fr	ank J.	Zebre
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Zepre	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	42	0	0.0%	4.8%	2.4%	16.7%	76.2%	4.64	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	42	0	2.4%	4.8%	9.5%	19.0%	64.3%	4.38	3.86
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	42	0	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	21.4%	71.4%	4.62	4.06
Courteousness and politeness.	42	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	16.7%	78.6%	4.74	4.20
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	41	1	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	22.0%	73.2%	4.68	4.06
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	41	1	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	17.1%	80.5%	4.78	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.0%	81.0%	4.81	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	40	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	87.5%	4.88	4.29
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	42	0	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	33.3%	64.3%	4.62	3.92
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	41	0	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	26.8%	70.7%	4.68	3.89
Preparedness for court proceedings.	42	0	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	19.0%	78.6%	4.76	4.26

6. Questionnaire

A copy of the questionnaire is attached. All screens are shown with the potential retention question included.

Welcome to the 2016 Judicial Advisory Poll

The Judicial Advisory Poll is administered every two years by the Wyoming State Bar as a service to Wyoming voters. A goal of the poll is to provide judges with constructive feedback from the legal community. You will be asked to evaluate each judge and justice **before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months**. You may also evaluate Supreme Court Justices on the basis of having read their written opinions. For each judge who will stand for retention in November, you will be asked to indicate whether you favor or oppose their retention. Finally, if you rate a judge particularly high or low, please include your comments explaining that rating. All written

If you choose not to evaluate a particular justice or judge, you may leave their page blank and skip over to the next page by clicking the "Next" button.

Please click "Next" below to begin.

comments will remain completely confidential.

Next

The next few questions will ask about your level of approval of the following **Wyoming Supreme Court**Justices:

- E. James Burke
- Michael K. Davis
- Catherine M. Fox
- William U. Hill
- Keith G. Kautz

Prev. Next

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	•	•	•	0	0	•
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	0	0	•	0	•
4. How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	•	0	0	0	0	0
5. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Courteousness and politeness.	•	0	0	0	0	0
7. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	0	•	0	0	0
8. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	•
9. Participation in law-related professional activities.	•	0	0	•	0	•
10. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	•	•	0
Was your rating for	based o	n an appeara	nce before th	e Court, or sin	nply based on	a written
Written Opinion	Appe	earance before	the court		Both	
		0			•	
0						
Do you favor or oppose the retention	of this judicia	al official for a	nother term?			
Do you favor or oppose the retention Favor	of this judicia	al official for a	nother term?	Oppose		

Here is a list of the FEDERAL COURT JUDGES . Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the <u>past 24 months</u> : Mark L. Carman	
☐ Nancy D. Freudenthal	
☐ Alan B. Johnson	
Cathleen D. Parker	
Kelly H. Rankin	
Scott W. Skavdahl	
	Prev. Next

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Open-mindedness and impartiality in udicial matters.	0	0	•	•	•	•
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Courteousness and politeness.	0	0	0	0	0	•
5. How he/she addresses and answers ssues squarely posed.	0	0	0	•	•	•
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	0	0	0	•	0	0
 How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed. 	0	0	0	0	•	0
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0
expressed. 11. Preparedness for court proceedings. Do you have any additional comments Comments are solicited strictly for the any comments you believe would be o	s about Judge benefit of the	e IIII e	? elp them impr	ove their perfo	ormance. Plea	ase pro

Here is a list of the DISTRICT CO Please mark all before whom you ha		
☐ Joseph B. Bluemel	John G. Fenn	Steven K. Sharpe
John C. Brooks	Daniel L. Forgey	Robert E. Skar
■ Thomas T.C. Campbell	Nena James	W. Thomas Sullins
Steven R. Cranfill	Patrick W. Korell	Marvin L. Tyler
☐ Timothy C. Day	Richard L. Lavery	■ Wade E. Waldrip
■ Michael N. Deegan	John R. Perry	Catherine E. Wilking
☐ Jeffrey A. Donnell	Catherine R. Rogers	Norman E. Young
■ William J. Edelman	■ Thomas W. Rumpke	
		Prev. Next

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	•	0	•	•	0
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Courteousness and politeness.	0	0	0	•	0	0
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0
 Participation in law-related professional activities. 	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.	•	0	0	0	0	0
Do you favor or oppose the retention of th	nis judicial of	fficial for ano	ther term?			
Favor				Oppose		
•				0		

Here is a list of the CIRCUIT COUF	PT IUDGES	
Please mark all before whom you hav		
Randal R. Arp	Jane Eakin	John R. Prokos
Wendy Martin Bartlett	Michael L. Greer	James L. Radda
H. Steven Brown	■ Thomas W. Harrington	Wesley A. Roberts
I. Vincent Case Jr.	Curt A. Haws	Terrill R. Tharp
Robert A. Castor	Craig L. Jones	■ Bruce B. Waters
Brian D. Christensen	■ Thomas L. Lee	Frank J. Zebre
Shelley Ann Cundiff	□ Denise Nau	Matthew F.G. Castano
Robert B. Denhardt	■ Michael N. Patchen	Antoinette Williams Healy
		Prev. Next

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	•	0	0	•	0	0
4. Courteousness and politeness.	•	0	0	0	0	0
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	•	0	0	0	0
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	•	0	0	0	0	0
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.	0	0	0	0	•	0
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	•	0	0	0	0	0
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	•	0	0	0	0	0
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.	•	0	0	0	0	0
Do you favor or oppose the retention of th	nis judicial of	fficial for ano	ther term?			
Favor				Oppose		
•				0		
Do you have any additional comments ab Comments are solicited strictly for the be any comments you believe would be of as	nefit of the ju					

That is the end of our survey. Thank you for your cooperation!

The Judicial Advisory Poll is administered every two years by the Wyoming State Bar as a service to Wyoming voters. A goal of the poll is to provide judges with constructive feedback from the legal community.

<u>Click here</u> to continue to the Wyoming State Bar homepage.