

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2018

WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1808
October, 2018

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2018

Ву

Brian Harnisch, M.B.A., Senior Research Scientist Bistra Anatchkova, Ph.D., Survey Research Manager

Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center

University of Wyoming 1000 E. University Ave, Dept. 3925 Laramie, WY 82071 (307) 766-2189 • wysac@uwyo.edu http://wysac.uwyo.edu

Under contract to

Wyoming State Bar P.O. Box 109 Cheyenne, WY 82003

Citation for this document: WYSAC (2018) *Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll,* 2018, by Harnisch, B and Anatchkova, B. (WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-1808). Laramie, WY: Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, University of Wyoming.

Short reference: WYSAC (2018), Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll.

© Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, 2018.

Table of Contents

1. Intro	oduction	5
2. Repo	ort Organization	5
3. Meth	hods	6
3.1.	Questionnaire Development	6
3.2.	Survey Administration	6
3.3.	Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	7
	up Results	
5. Indiv	vidual Results	11
SUPREMI	E COURT RESULTS	12
Lynnet	tte J. Boomgaarden	12
E. Jam	nes Burke	13
Michae	el K. Davis	14
Cather	rine M. Fox	15
	G. Kautz	
	T COURT RESULTS	
Joseph	n B. Bluemel	17
Thoma	as T.C. Campbell	18
Timotl	hy C. Day	19
Michae	el N. Deegan	20
Willian	m J. Edelman	21
John C	G. Fenn	22
	l L. Forgey	
Nena J	James	24
Patrick	k W. Korell	25
Tori R	R.A. Kricken	26
	rd L. Lavery	
F. Scot	tt Peasley	28
John R	R. Perry	29
	rine R. Rogers	
	as W. Rumpke	
	ı K. Sharpe	
	m L. Simpson	
	t E. Skar	
Dawne	essa A. Snyder	35
	nomas Sullins	
	n L. Tyler	
	rine E. Wilking	
	an E. Young	
CIRCUIT	COURT RESULTS	40
	ıl R. Arp	
	y M. Bartlett	
	ven Brown	
	cent Case Jr	
	ew F.G. Castano	
	rt A. Castor	
Brian I	D. Christensen	46

Shelley A. Cundiff	47
Robert B. Denhardt	48
Michael L. Greer	49
Thomas W. Harrington	50
Curt A. Haws	51
Craig L. Jones	52
Thomas L. Lee	53
Denise Nau	54
Michael N. Patchen	55
Paul S. Phillips	
John R. Prokos	57
James L. Radda	
Wesley A. Roberts	59
Susan K. Stipe	60
Bruce B. Waters	61
Antoinette Williams	
Frank J. Zebre	
Questionnaire	64
List of Tables	
Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	8
Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention	9
Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level	10

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2018

1. Introduction

In the spring of 2018, the Wyoming State Bar commissioned the Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming to administer the 2018 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll. Sharon Wilkinson Executive Director of the Wyoming State Bar, coordinated the project activities for the Bar.

This document presents the tabulations and analyses performed on the results of the poll.

The Wyoming State Bar undertook its first judicial evaluation poll in 1976 and has completed one each election year since. The goals and uses of the Wyoming Judicial Advisory poll conform to the American Bar Association (ABA) guidelines as well as to the practices of other Bar associations.

Judicial evaluation polls are used by Bar associations to provide feedback to judicial officials about their performance on the bench and to help the public make more informed judgments in judicial elections. Guidelines established by the ABA state that the primary goal of judicial evaluation is "... to improve the performance of individual judges and the judiciary as a whole."

The evaluations received for Wyoming Judges in the 2018 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll will be distributed as follows. First, a copy of this report is sent to all Wyoming Judges who were evaluated. In addition to the information contained in the general report, individual judicial officials receive any written comments submitted by members of the Wyoming State Bar responding to this poll regarding their work on the bench (anonymously). Comments about judges are released only to the specific judge that they were made about. These comments are not included in the report sent to the Wyoming State Bar. Second, all data results are released to the media prior to the election and published on the Wyoming State Bar website.

2. Report Organization

The remainder of this report is organized in the following manner:

- Section 3 is a concise account of the methodology and timeline used by WYSAC to conduct the poll.
- Section 4 relays the condensed results of support for retention for all relevant judicial officials in the "Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention" table. Also included is the "Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level" table, which presents the means for all items in the survey, organized by judicial level.
- Section 5 presents the detailed ratings of individual judicial officials, grouped by judicial level and then, within each level, arranged alphabetically according to the judicial official's last name.
- Section 6 provides a copy of the survey instrument.

3. Methods

3.1. Questionnaire Development

There were no changes to the survey instrument in 2018. The questionnaire items judicial officials were rated upon remained unchanged from 2016. The response choice scale for all performance ratings was the same five point fully balanced Likert scale introduced in a previous survey iteration. This scale includes the following options for each judge: strongly disapprove, somewhat disapprove, neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat approve, strongly approve. The use of this scale controls for bias of the ratings provided by the respondents to the survey.

3.2. Survey Administration

As was the case in the past six iterations (2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016), this year the Judicial Advisory Poll was conducted as a web-based survey.

WYSAC revised and updated the survey instrument to reflect the current Wyoming judiciary in Wyoming. WYSAC received an electronic list with names and email addresses of all Wyoming attorneys who are members of the Wyoming State Bar, and are believed to practice in Wyoming. There were 1,731 Wyoming attorneys on that list.

The poll was launched on August 9th with an email invitation for participation. Prior to this initial email invitation, Sharon Wilkinson of the Wyoming State Bar emailed all attorneys to inform them of the upcoming survey and explain the important of the project. To all attorneys who had not yet responded with completed surveys, reminder emails were sent roughly every week. A total of five reminder emails were sent to all attorneys who had not yet completed a survey.

The survey closed on September 24th, providing all attorneys more than 6 weeks to respond. After closing, the online database was exported into a format suitable for data analysis. A total of 795 attorneys *accessed* the online survey. A total of 625 valid completions were obtained before the cut-off date. A number of partial completions (140) were included in the data if the attorney responded to questions for at least some of the judges. From the original respondent list, 52 attorneys contacted WYSAC to explain that they did not feel qualified to evaluate any Wyoming judge, were not currently practicing, felt they were ineligible for the survey, explicitly refused to respond, or where the email address came back as undeliverable. Thus, the total of 765 completions (complete or partial) brings the response rate to 45.6%, roughly the same response rate as in 2016. The results were then tallied and are presented in the tables in *Section 4. Group Results* and *Section 5. Individual Results*.

3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

The rating system used in this year's poll was a fully-balanced five point Likert scale, where 1 stands for "Strongly Disapprove", 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove", 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 for "Somewhat Approve", 5 for "Strongly Approve." Thus, mean scores can range from 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest and most favorable rating.

Attorneys were asked to rate the Wyoming Supreme Court Justices on ten distinct categories, such as *knowledge of the law* and *courteousness and politeness*. All other judges were rated on 11 categories, eight of which were also used to rate the justices. The 2018 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll report is based upon completed surveys that were submitted electronically by September 24th, 2018. Individual reports for each judicial official are based on the completed responses on each characteristic if and only if the Bar member responding had affirmed (in an answer to a previous question) that they had appeared before the respective judicial official during the past 24 months.

In the case of Supreme Court Justices, evaluations were also allowed based on reading written opinions from those Justices. Bar members were asked to report the basis for their evaluations of the justices (i.e., reading of judicial decisions, appearances before the court, or both).

Bar members were asked whether they favor or oppose the retention of a particular judge. In all cases, they were asked this question only if a particular judge was indeed standing for retention.

The table and guidelines on the following page, "Interpreting the Numeric Ratings" illustrates the summary evaluations received by a hypothetical Justice Woods and outlines suggestions for interpreting the evaluations. Interpreting the numeric ratings can be approached in two ways:

One. Looking at the average scores of a judicial official and how they compare to the average scores of peer judicial officials on the Wyoming Supreme Court, State District Court, or Circuit Court.

Two. Looking at the percentage distributions of the responses (Strongly Disapprove, Somewhat Disapprove, Neither Approve nor Disapprove, Somewhat Approve, Strongly Approve) to each item on the survey.

Consider a situation where the average score for District Court Judges on *open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters* is 3.6, and where one judge scores 3.2 and another scores 4.1 on this item. This means that the first judge scored worse on that particular item compared to the average court score, whereas the second judge scored above the average for the court.

WYOMING STATE BAR JUDICIAL ADVISORY POLL, 2018

Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

SUPREME COURT		E	XAMP	stice V	tice Woods				
	of s	_	o o	+ 0	ove ve	Ψ		Averag	e Scores
	Total Number o Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Woods	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	304	80	5.4%	10.7%	30.4%	25.9%	27.7%	3.60	3.80
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	308	75	5.6%	12.0%	33.5%	17.2%	31.8%	3.58	3.23
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	311	82	7.9%	14.4%	24.0%	24.0%	29.7%	3.53	3.48
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	301	63	8.0%	16.8%	21.8%	28.6%	24.8%	3.45	4.10
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	300	81	4.6%	9.1%	29.2%	36.5%	20.5%	3.59	3.98
Courteousness and politeness.	304	88	3.7%	3.7%	31.5%	24.1%	37.0%	3.87	4.01
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	308	78	3.9%	4.8%	30.0%	20.9%	40.4%	3.89	3.77
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	303	71	4.7%	3.9%	31.5%	19.4%	40.5%	3.87	3.67
Participation in law-related professional activities.	305	69	4.7%	5.9%	33.9%	41.5%	14.0%	3.54	3.36
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	304	70	7.7%	6.8%	21.8%	28.2%	35.5%	3.77	3.54
Was your rating based on an					Appea	rance befor	e the Court	29.7%	28.8%
appearance before the Court or	301					Writt	ten Opinion	12.1%	8.9%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	58.2%	62.3%
Down Form of Ottom do consider of the							Favor	84.1%	80.9%
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	303						Oppose	15.9%	19.1%

GUIDELINES

"Justice Woods" was evaluated by 300 attorneys on *Attentiveness to arguments of counsel* and 304 on *Courteousness and politeness*. These numbers vary since each attorney may or may not have answered each rating question.

Justice Woods wants:

- An average score better (higher) than his peers for all items.
- A majority of "Strongly Approve" and "Somewhat Approve" ratings.
- Few, if any, "Strongly Disapprove" and "Somewhat Disapprove" ratings.

The scale employed uses:

- o 1 for "Strongly Disapprove"
- o 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove"
- 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove"
- 4 for "Somewhat Approve"
- 5 for "Strongly Approve"

Thus, average scores range from 1 to 5, where 5 equates to the highest rating. Higher average scores are better than lower scores.

The "best" rating Justice Woods received (3.89) on the ten judicial characteristics was for *How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed*. And he ranks higher than his peers (3.77) on this item.

His average score of 3.45 on *How well he is prepared for oral argument* ranks him notably below the average score of 4.10.

Judges standing for retention have an additional row indicating the percent of responding attorneys *favoring* or *opposing* their retention. Also included here is an average percentage calculated for all judges in the same court system who are up for retention.

Each Supreme Court Justice has an additional row showing what percentage of the attorneys rating that Justice based their ratings on "Appearance before the Court", "Written Opinion", or "Both." Again, included is an average percentage calculated for all justices.

4. Group Results

Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2016

Support for Judicial Officials standing for retention

	Favor	Oppose	Number Responding
District Court			
Catherine E. Wilking	80.7%	19.3%	83
Dawnessa A. Snyder	86.4%	13.6%	66
F. Scott Peasley	98.6%	1.4%	70
Michael N. Deegan	94.1%	5.9%	85
Timothy C. Day	90.1%	9.9%	91
Tori R.A. Kricken	87.0%	13.0%	92
William L. Simpson	87.0%	13.0%	69
Circuit Court			
Bruce B. Waters	72.4%	27.6%	29
Craig L. Jones	41.4%	58.6%	29
Denise Nau	62.7%	37.3%	75
Frank J. Zebre	96.0%	4.0%	25
John R. Prokos	69.0%	31.0%	42
Michael N. Patchen	83.3%	16.7%	30
Paul S. Phillips	94.7%	5.3%	19
Randal R. Arp	96.2%	3.8%	26
Robert A. Castor	94.4%	5.6%	54
Shelley A. Cundiff	61.5%	38.5%	26
Wesley A. Roberts	84.2%	15.8%	19

Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level

	Supreme Court	District Court	Circuit Court	Average of the Three Courts
Knowledge of the law.	4.22	4.10	3.94	4.09
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	4.14	n/a	n/a	n/a
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	4.06	3.97	3.81	3.95
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	4.32	n/a	n/a	n/a
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	4.29	4.22	4.10	4.20
Courteousness and politeness.	4.30	4.35	4.19	4.28
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	4.15	4.12	4.03	4.10
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	4.32	3.97	4.27	4.19
Participation in law-related professional activities.	4.23	4.08	4.05	4.12
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	4.43	4.44	4.22	4.36
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	n/a	4.05	3.93	3.99
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	n/a	3.96	3.86	3.91
Preparedness for court proceedings.	n/a	4.31	4.26	4.29

5. Individual Results

Following are the results for each justice and judge, ordered by court (Supreme, District, Circuit), then by last name.

SUPREME COURT RESULTS

Lynnette J. Boomgaarden

SUPREME COURT					Lynr	nette .	I. Boo	mgaa	rden
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Boomgaarden	Swres Swres Court
Knowledge of the law.	193	325	4.1%	2.6%	24.9%	21.2%	47.2%	4.05	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	161	356	2.5%	6.2%	31.1%	22.4%	37.9%	3.87	4.14
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	167	350	3.0%	4.8%	28.7%	19.2%	44.3%	3.97	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	152	364	2.6%	0.7%	32.2%	13.8%	50.7%	4.09	4.32
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	155	357	1.9%	2.6%	31.6%	12.9%	51.0%	4.08	4.29
Courteousness and politeness.	187	326	1.1%	3.2%	23.5%	14.4%	57.8%	4.25	4.30
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	158	350	3.2%	3.8%	34.2%	15.8%	43.0%	3.92	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	157	354	1.9%	1.9%	32.5%	15.9%	47.8%	4.06	4.32
Participation in law-related professional activities.	148	362	1.4%	4.7%	37.2%	14.2%	42.6%	3.92	4.23
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	188	324	2.7%	1.6%	23.4%	12.2%	60.1%	4.26	4.43
Was your rating based on an					Appearar	ice before	the Court	7.4%	5.2%
appearance before the Court or	298					Writter	n Opinion	73.5%	50.7%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	19.1%	44.1%

E. James Burke

SUPREME COURT							E. Ja	mes B	Burke
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Burke	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	382	119	3.7%	5.0%	12.3%	29.3%	49.7%	4.16	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	375	124	4.0%	5.9%	12.5%	30.9%	46.7%	4.10	4.14
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	371	126	5.7%	7.0%	12.9%	27.8%	46.6%	4.03	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	331	162	2.4%	2.1%	16.9%	25.4%	53.2%	4.25	4.32
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	335	160	4.2%	3.6%	14.3%	18.2%	59.7%	4.26	4.29
Courteousness and politeness.	356	137	3.7%	3.9%	11.2%	18.3%	62.9%	4.33	4.30
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	347	147	4.6%	5.5%	15.0%	27.7%	47.3%	4.07	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	337	159	2.1%	1.8%	16.6%	26.7%	52.8%	4.26	4.32
Participation in law-related professional activities.	316	175	2.5%	1.9%	14.6%	19.9%	61.1%	4.35	4.23
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	364	131	2.7%	1.9%	11.0%	17.3%	67.0%	4.44	4.43
Was your rating based on an					Appearan	ice before	the Court	4.2%	5.2%
appearance before the Court or	406					Writter	ı Opinion	43.8%	50.7%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	52.0%	44.1%

Michael K. Davis

SUPREME COURT						ı	Micha	el K. I	Davis
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Davis	Supreme Sourt Court
Knowledge of the law.	393	107	3.3%	2.0%	7.9%	21.9%	64.9%	4.43	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	381	118	3.9%	3.7%	9.4%	21.5%	61.4%	4.33	4.14
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	378	120	3.7%	4.0%	11.1%	23.8%	57.4%	4.27	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	340	158	1.5%	1.2%	11.8%	20.0%	65.6%	4.47	4.32
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	341	157	2.6%	1.8%	12.0%	17.9%	65.7%	4.42	4.29
Courteousness and politeness.	362	137	2.2%	3.3%	9.9%	16.9%	67.7%	4.44	4.30
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	350	144	2.9%	3.4%	10.6%	24.6%	58.6%	4.33	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	344	154	1.5%	0.6%	13.1%	21.2%	63.7%	4.45	4.32
Participation in law-related professional activities.	295	200	1.7%	2.0%	20.3%	21.7%	54.2%	4.25	4.23
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	368	127	1.9%	0.8%	9.5%	14.4%	73.4%	4.57	4.43
Was your rating based on an					Appearar	ice before	the Court	5.3%	5.2%
appearance before the Court or	412					Writter	ı Opinion	46.1%	50.7%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	48.5%	44.1%

Catherine M. Fox

SUPREME COURT						C	ather	ine M	. Fox
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Fox	Supreme Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	387	105	4.4%	3.9%	11.1%	26.4%	54.3%	4.22	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	378	113	4.2%	6.1%	10.8%	27.2%	51.6%	4.16	4.14
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	374	118	5.6%	6.1%	16.0%	24.1%	48.1%	4.03	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	318	168	2.5%	1.3%	13.5%	20.1%	62.6%	4.39	4.32
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	321	166	2.8%	2.5%	14.0%	19.9%	60.7%	4.33	4.29
Courteousness and politeness.	346	141	3.2%	4.6%	15.3%	19.4%	57.5%	4.23	4.30
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	342	145	2.0%	5.6%	14.3%	25.4%	52.6%	4.21	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	326	161	2.1%	0.9%	14.4%	19.3%	63.2%	4.40	4.32
Participation in law-related professional activities.	301	188	2.0%	1.3%	16.9%	18.9%	60.8%	4.35	4.23
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	361	127	1.9%	2.2%	13.6%	15.5%	66.8%	4.43	4.43
Was your rating based on an					Appearan	ice before	the Court	4.8%	5.2%
appearance before the Court or	399					Writter	n Opinion	47.9%	50.7%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	47.4%	44.1%

Keith G. Kautz

SUPREME COURT							Keit	h G. K	autz
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Kautz	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	376	114	3.2%	7.2%	11.2%	28.7%	49.7%	4.15	4.22
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	371	117	4.0%	6.2%	13.7%	28.6%	47.4%	4.09	4.14
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	363	126	6.6%	8.5%	14.3%	23.1%	47.4%	3.96	4.06
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	313	172	2.6%	2.6%	15.7%	24.6%	54.6%	4.26	4.32
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	314	173	2.5%	3.8%	15.3%	22.3%	56.1%	4.25	4.29
Courteousness and politeness.	334	150	3.0%	5.7%	14.1%	23.4%	53.9%	4.19	4.30
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	333	152	3.6%	4.5%	18.6%	24.6%	48.6%	4.10	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	309	176	1.9%	1.9%	18.1%	21.7%	56.3%	4.28	4.32
Participation in law-related professional activities.	279	205	3.2%	2.5%	23.7%	22.9%	47.7%	4.09	4.23
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	347	139	3.2%	2.3%	12.4%	17.3%	64.8%	4.38	4.43
Was your rating based on an					Appearan	ice before	the Court	4.8%	5.2%
appearance before the Court or	397					Writter	ı Opinion	48.4%	50.7%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	46.9%	44.1%

DISTRICT COURT RESULTS

Joseph B. Bluemel

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Joseph B. Bluemel											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Bluemel	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	122	1	11.5%	13.9%	9.8%	32.8%	32.0%	3.60	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	122	1	20.5%	18.0%	9.8%	27.0%	24.6%	3.17	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	118	5	14.4%	13.6%	8.5%	24.6%	39.0%	3.60	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	123	0	18.7%	14.6%	7.3%	22.8%	36.6%	3.44	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	118	5	13.6%	10.2%	16.9%	26.3%	33.1%	3.55	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	121	2	14.0%	9.9%	16.5%	27.3%	32.2%	3.54	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	69	52	7.2%	1.4%	33.3%	15.9%	42.0%	3.84	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	115	8	9.6%	7.0%	13.9%	18.3%	51.3%	3.95	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	116	6	13.8%	12.1%	14.7%	20.7%	38.8%	3.59	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	115	8	22.6%	11.3%	13.0%	19.1%	33.9%	3.30	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	118	4	5.9%	7.6%	16.1%	24.6%	45.8%	3.97	4.31			

Thomas T.C. Campbell

DISTRICT COURT					7	Thom	as T.C.	. Cam	pbell
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Cambbell	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	158	3	7.0%	13.3%	8.9%	34.8%	36.1%	3.80	4.10
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	158	3	12.7%	12.0%	12.0%	24.7%	38.6%	3.65	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	160	1	8.8%	11.3%	8.1%	26.3%	45.6%	3.89	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	160	1	4.4%	8.1%	3.8%	26.9%	56.9%	4.24	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	156	5	7.7%	12.8%	13.5%	26.3%	39.7%	3.78	4.12
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	158	3	25.9%	20.9%	10.1%	17.7%	25.3%	2.96	3.97
Participation in law-related professional activities.	94	63	6.4%	7.4%	24.5%	23.4%	38.3%	3.80	4.08
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	156	5	3.2%	5.8%	12.8%	17.9%	60.3%	4.26	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	155	5	9.7%	12.3%	14.8%	25.8%	37.4%	3.69	4.05
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	156	4	13.5%	17.9%	10.9%	26.9%	30.8%	3.44	3.96
Preparedness for court proceedings.	157	4	8.3%	12.7%	10.2%	24.8%	43.9%	3.83	4.31

Timothy C. Day

DISTRICT COURT Timoth												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Day	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	89	2	4.5%	2.2%	6.7%	36.0%	50.6%	4.26	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	88	3	5.7%	2.3%	6.8%	20.5%	64.8%	4.36	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	89	2	2.2%	5.6%	5.6%	19.1%	67.4%	4.44	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	91	0	1.1%	2.2%	2.2%	15.4%	79.1%	4.69	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	90	1	4.4%	7.8%	6.7%	21.1%	60.0%	4.24	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	90	1	11.1%	13.3%	7.8%	24.4%	43.3%	3.76	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	58	33	1.7%	0.0%	17.2%	27.6%	53.4%	4.31	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	87	4	1.1%	1.1%	4.6%	10.3%	82.8%	4.72	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	84	7	6.0%	3.6%	7.1%	23.8%	59.5%	4.27	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	85	6	4.7%	7.1%	4.7%	28.2%	55.3%	4.22	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	88	3	3.4%	3.4%	1.1%	22.7%	69.3%	4.51	4.31			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	91						Favor Oppose	90.1% 9.9%	89.0%			

Michael N. Deegan

DISTRICT COURT Michael N. Deega												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Deegan	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	85	2	0.0%	3.5%	3.5%	29.4%	63.5%	4.53	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	86	1	3.5%	5.8%	7.0%	20.9%	62.8%	4.34	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	84	2	0.0%	4.8%	4.8%	16.7%	73.8%	4.60	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	86	0	0.0%	3.5%	1.2%	8.1%	87.2%	4.79	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	83	3	0.0%	3.6%	6.0%	20.5%	69.9%	4.57	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	83	2	0.0%	1.2%	9.6%	15.7%	73.5%	4.61	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	52	33	0.0%	3.8%	25.0%	13.5%	57.7%	4.25	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	79	6	1.3%	2.5%	0.0%	7.6%	88.6%	4.80	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	84	2	2.4%	2.4%	4.8%	23.8%	66.7%	4.50	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	82	4	2.4%	3.7%	4.9%	19.5%	69.5%	4.50	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	84	2	0.0%	3.6%	2.4%	14.3%	79.8%	4.70	4.31			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	85						Favor	94.1%	89.0%			
of this judge for another term?							Oppose	5.9%	11.0%			

William J. Edelman

DISTRICT COURT William J. Edelmai											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Edelman Edelman	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	83	2	15.7%	18.1%	12.0%	30.1%	24.1%	3.29	4.10		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	83	2	7.2%	15.7%	15.7%	25.3%	36.1%	3.67	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	85	0	4.7%	18.8%	16.5%	27.1%	32.9%	3.65	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	86	0	0.0%	4.7%	9.3%	29.1%	57.0%	4.38	4.35		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	82	3	6.1%	20.7%	20.7%	23.2%	29.3%	3.49	4.12		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	84	2	29.8%	14.3%	10.7%	16.7%	28.6%	3.00	3.97		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	40	43	0.0%	10.0%	52.5%	12.5%	25.0%	3.53	4.08		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	80	5	2.5%	10.0%	17.5%	25.0%	45.0%	4.00	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	80	5	10.0%	16.3%	21.3%	22.5%	30.0%	3.46	4.05		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	80	6	20.0%	17.5%	17.5%	22.5%	22.5%	3.10	3.96		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	84	1	13.1%	7.1%	17.9%	27.4%	34.5%	3.63	4.31		

John G. Fenn

Average Aver	Fenn	DISTRICT COURT John G. Feni												
Knowledge of the law. 102 3 3.9% 2.9% 8.8% 17.6% 66.7% 4.40 Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters. 101 3 2.0% 9.9% 11.9% 10.9% 65.3% 4.28 Attentiveness to arguments of counsel. 100 4 2.0% 4.0% 8.0% 14.0% 72.0% 4.50 Courteousness and politeness. 101 3 1.0% 1.0% 9.9% 17.8% 70.3% 4.55 How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed. 100 4 4.0% 3.0% 8.0% 23.0% 62.0% 4.36 Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. 100 4 3.0% 2.0% 8.0% 18.0% 69.0% 4.48 Participation in law-related professional activities. 59 44 1.7% 1.7% 25.4% 8.5% 62.7% 4.29 His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. 97 7 2.1% 1.0% 10.3% 12.4% 74.2%	District Court		Strongly Approve	Somewhat Approve	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Strongly Disapprove	No Opinion	Total Number of Respondents					
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel. 100 4 2.0% 4.0% 8.0% 14.0% 72.0% 4.50	4.10	4.40	66.7%	17.6%	8.8%	2.9%	3.9%	3	102	Knowledge of the law.				
Courteousness and politeness. 101 3 1.0% 1.0% 9.9% 17.8% 70.3% 4.55 How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed. 100 4 4.0% 3.0% 8.0% 23.0% 62.0% 4.36 Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. 100 4 3.0% 2.0% 8.0% 18.0% 69.0% 4.48 Participation in law-related professional activities. 17% 1.7% 25.4% 8.5% 62.7% 4.29 His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. 100 4 5.0% 5.1% 9.1% 15.2% 67.7% 4.39 How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they 100 4 5.0% 3.0% 10.0% 14.0% 68.0% 4.37	3.97	4.28	65.3%	10.9%	11.9%	9.9%	2.0%	3	101	1 -				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. Participation in law-related professional activities. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they 100 4 4.0% 3.0% 2.0% 8.0% 18.0% 62.0% 4.48 1.7% 1.7% 25.4% 8.5% 62.7% 4.29 4.56 4.39	4.22	4.50	72.0%	14.0%	8.0%	4.0%	2.0%	4	100	Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.				
issues squarely posed. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. Participation in law-related professional activities. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they 100 4 3.0% 2.0% 8.0% 18.0% 69.0% 4.48 1.7% 1.7% 25.4% 8.5% 62.7% 4.29 2.1% 1.0% 10.3% 12.4% 74.2% 4.56 4.56 67.7% 4.39	4.35	4.55	70.3%	17.8%	9.9%	1.0%	1.0%	3	101	Courteousness and politeness.				
performing judicial duties. Participation in law-related professional activities. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they 100 4 5.0% 2.0% 8.0% 18.0% 69.0% 4.48 1.7% 1.7% 25.4% 8.5% 62.7% 4.29 4.29 2.1% 1.0% 10.3% 12.4% 74.2% 4.56 4.39 2.1% 5.1% 9.1% 15.2% 67.7% 4.39	4.12	4.36	62.0%	23.0%	8.0%	3.0%	4.0%	4	100	·				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures. 97	3.97	4.48	69.0%	18.0%	8.0%	2.0%	3.0%	4	100					
out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they 100 10.3% 12.4% 74.2% 4.36 100 4 5.0% 3.0% 10.0% 15.2% 67.7% 100 4 5.0% 3.0% 10.0% 14.0% 68.0% 4.37 100 4 5.0% 3.0% 10.0% 14.0% 68.0% 4.37	4.08	4.29	62.7%	8.5%	25.4%	1.7%	1.7%	44	59					
procedures. 99 3 3.0% 3.1% 9.1% 13.2% 07.7% 4.39 How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they 100 4 5.0% 3.0% 10.0% 14.0% 68.0% 4.37	4.44	4.56	74.2%	12.4%	10.3%	1.0%	2.1%	7	97					
reasoned and how clearly are they 100 4 5.0% 3.0% 10.0% 14.0% 68.0% 4.37	4.05	4.39	67.7%	15.2%	9.1%	5.1%	3.0%	5	99					
	3.96	4.37	68.0%	14.0%	10.0%	3.0%	5.0%	4	100	reasoned and how clearly are they				
Preparedness for court proceedings. 98 6 2.0% 2.0% 7.1% 19.4% 69.4% 4.52	4.31	4.52	69.4%	19.4%	7.1%	2.0%	2.0%	6	98	Preparedness for court proceedings.				

Daniel L. Forgey

DISTRICT COURT Daniel L. Forge												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Forgey	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	80	1	5.0%	3.8%	5.0%	47.5%	38.8%	4.11	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	81	0	3.7%	7.4%	11.1%	32.1%	45.7%	4.09	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	79	2	1.3%	10.1%	5.1%	22.8%	60.8%	4.32	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	80	1	0.0%	1.3%	7.5%	20.0%	71.3%	4.61	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	80	1	5.0%	8.8%	12.5%	31.3%	42.5%	3.98	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	80	1	11.3%	7.5%	10.0%	22.5%	48.8%	3.90	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	40	41	0.0%	10.0%	42.5%	12.5%	35.0%	3.73	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	75	6	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	13.3%	76.0%	4.65	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	79	2	6.3%	2.5%	15.2%	32.9%	43.0%	4.04	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	75	5	9.3%	10.7%	12.0%	25.3%	42.7%	3.81	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	79	2	1.3%	5.1%	11.4%	22.8%	59.5%	4.34	4.31			

Nena James

DISTRICT COURT Nena James												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average James and Judge James	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	93	0	11.8%	15.1%	9.7%	36.6%	26.9%	3.52	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	93	0	17.2%	18.3%	11.8%	26.9%	25.8%	3.26	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	92	1	16.3%	8.7%	9.8%	33.7%	31.5%	3.55	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	92	1	10.9%	8.7%	6.5%	33.7%	40.2%	3.84	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	93	0	11.8%	10.8%	15.1%	32.3%	30.1%	3.58	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	93	0	17.2%	14.0%	18.3%	20.4%	30.1%	3.32	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	52	40	7.7%	3.8%	44.2%	19.2%	25.0%	3.50	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	90	3	7.8%	7.8%	12.2%	25.6%	46.7%	3.96	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	91	2	13.2%	11.0%	14.3%	35.2%	26.4%	3.51	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	92	1	16.3%	10.9%	13.0%	31.5%	28.3%	3.45	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	92	1	10.9%	10.9%	15.2%	33.7%	29.3%	3.60	4.31			

Patrick W. Korell

DISTRICT COURT Patrick W. Korel											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Korell	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	84	2	1.2%	8.3%	8.3%	39.3%	42.9%	4.14	4.10		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	86	0	3.5%	2.3%	5.8%	24.4%	64.0%	4.43	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	85	1	1.2%	3.5%	2.4%	18.8%	74.1%	4.61	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	86	0	1.2%	0.0%	2.3%	8.1%	88.4%	4.83	4.35		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	83	3	3.6%	8.4%	7.2%	21.7%	59.0%	4.24	4.12		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	83	4	27.7%	9.6%	6.0%	18.1%	38.6%	3.30	3.97		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	43	41	0.0%	0.0%	30.2%	18.6%	51.2%	4.21	4.08		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	80	5	2.5%	6.3%	2.5%	16.3%	72.5%	4.50	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	82	4	3.7%	4.9%	8.5%	32.9%	50.0%	4.21	4.05		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	80	7	5.0%	2.5%	10.0%	31.3%	51.3%	4.21	3.96		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	84	1	3.6%	4.8%	7.1%	21.4%	63.1%	4.36	4.31		

Tori R.A. Kricken

DISTRICT COURT	A. Kri	cken							
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Kricken	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	96	2	4.2%	8.3%	3.1%	18.8%	65.6%	4.33	4.10
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	97	1	6.2%	11.3%	7.2%	15.5%	59.8%	4.11	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	97	1	4.1%	4.1%	5.2%	18.6%	68.0%	4.42	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	97	0	1.0%	2.1%	3.1%	15.5%	78.4%	4.68	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	98	0	2.0%	6.1%	8.2%	17.3%	66.3%	4.40	4.12
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	96	2	1.0%	0.0%	6.3%	16.7%	76.0%	4.67	3.97
Participation in law-related professional activities.	66	32	0.0%	1.5%	18.2%	12.1%	68.2%	4.47	4.08
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	93	5	4.3%	4.3%	8.6%	15.1%	67.7%	4.38	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	96	2	6.3%	4.2%	12.5%	17.7%	59.4%	4.20	4.05
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	96	2	6.3%	6.3%	9.4%	16.7%	61.5%	4.21	3.96
Preparedness for court proceedings.	95	3	1.1%	1.1%	10.5%	13.7%	73.7%	4.58	4.31
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	00						Favor	87.0%	89.0%
of this judge for another term?	92						Oppose	13.0%	11.0%

Richard L. Lavery

DISTRICT COURT Richard L. Lavery											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Lavery	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	88	2	2.3%	4.5%	6.8%	36.4%	50.0%	4.27	4.10		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	88	1	6.8%	12.5%	3.4%	28.4%	48.9%	4.00	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	89	0	5.6%	9.0%	1.1%	27.0%	57.3%	4.21	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	88	0	5.7%	8.0%	4.5%	23.9%	58.0%	4.20	4.35		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	88	1	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%	30.7%	52.3%	4.18	4.12		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	88	0	2.3%	2.3%	6.8%	36.4%	52.3%	4.34	3.97		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	50	38	0.0%	4.0%	26.0%	16.0%	54.0%	4.20	4.08		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	85	4	3.5%	3.5%	9.4%	27.1%	56.5%	4.29	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	87	2	6.9%	8.0%	10.3%	29.9%	44.8%	3.98	4.05		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	88	1	10.2%	5.7%	11.4%	28.4%	44.3%	3.91	3.96		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	88	1	1.1%	2.3%	4.5%	38.6%	53.4%	4.41	4.31		

F. Scott Peasley

DISTRICT COURT F. Scott Peasle												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Peasley	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	70	5	1.4%	8.6%	11.4%	30.0%	48.6%	4.16	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	72	3	1.4%	6.9%	13.9%	16.7%	61.1%	4.29	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	71	4	1.4%	4.2%	12.7%	14.1%	67.6%	4.42	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	72	2	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	5.6%	86.1%	4.78	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	70	5	2.9%	4.3%	12.9%	21.4%	58.6%	4.29	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	69	6	1.4%	1.4%	14.5%	18.8%	63.8%	4.42	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	41	33	0.0%	2.4%	43.9%	7.3%	46.3%	3.98	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	66	8	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	9.1%	78.8%	4.67	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	67	7	3.0%	4.5%	11.9%	23.9%	56.7%	4.27	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	70	5	2.9%	7.1%	11.4%	28.6%	50.0%	4.16	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	72	3	1.4%	1.4%	9.7%	23.6%	63.9%	4.47	4.31			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	70						Favor	98.6%	89.0%			
of this judge for another term?	. 0						Oppose	1.4%	11.0%			

John R. Perry

DISTRICT COURT John R. Perry												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Perry	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	73	0	4.1%	2.7%	9.6%	19.2%	64.4%	4.37	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	73	0	6.8%	5.5%	8.2%	19.2%	60.3%	4.21	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	72	1	2.8%	6.9%	6.9%	20.8%	62.5%	4.33	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	73	0	4.1%	6.8%	2.7%	9.6%	76.7%	4.48	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	73	0	1.4%	4.1%	13.7%	20.5%	60.3%	4.34	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	70	3	4.3%	7.1%	8.6%	21.4%	58.6%	4.23	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	44	29	0.0%	2.3%	22.7%	9.1%	65.9%	4.39	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	71	1	1.4%	5.6%	4.2%	11.3%	77.5%	4.58	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	71	1	2.8%	5.6%	9.9%	21.1%	60.6%	4.31	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	70	2	1.4%	4.3%	15.7%	20.0%	58.6%	4.30	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	71	2	0.0%	1.4%	11.3%	21.1%	66.2%	4.52	4.31			

Catherine R. Rogers

DISTRICT COURT Catherine R. Roger												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rogers	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	139	2	5.0%	14.4%	7.2%	36.7%	36.7%	3.86	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	141	1	9.2%	12.8%	10.6%	31.2%	36.2%	3.72	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	139	3	6.5%	14.4%	3.6%	23.0%	52.5%	4.01	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	141	1	12.1%	10.6%	7.1%	25.5%	44.7%	3.80	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	138	3	9.4%	5.8%	10.1%	29.0%	45.7%	3.96	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	140	2	10.7%	14.3%	9.3%	20.7%	45.0%	3.75	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	84	56	3.6%	2.4%	33.3%	19.0%	41.7%	3.93	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	137	5	2.2%	2.9%	11.7%	21.2%	62.0%	4.38	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	138	3	8.0%	11.6%	7.2%	32.6%	40.6%	3.86	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	138	3	9.4%	14.5%	5.8%	32.6%	37.7%	3.75	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	138	3	5.1%	5.1%	5.1%	26.8%	58.0%	4.28	4.31			

Thomas W. Rumpke

Average of the law. 97 0 3.1% 5.2% 7.2% 23.7% 60.8% 4.34	DISTRICT COURT Thomas W. Rumpke											
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters. 96 0 8.3% 8.3% 15.6% 17.7% 50.0% 3.93 Attentiveness to arguments of counsel. 96 1 6.3% 8.3% 8.3% 21.9% 55.2% 4.11 Courteousness and politeness. 96 1 9.4% 11.5% 9.4% 24.0% 45.8% 3.85 How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed. 97 0 7.2% 3.1% 9.3% 30.9% 49.5% 4.12 Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. 96 1 2.1% 3.1% 8.3% 25.0% 61.5% 4.41 Participation in law-related professional activities. 53 44 0.0% 0.0% 35.8% 9.4% 54.7% 4.19 His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. 92 5 3.3% 5.4% 7.6% 9.8% 73.9% 4.46 Application of rules of evidence and procedures. 93 4 3.2% 9.7% 10.8% 18.3%		Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve		District Court		
judicial matters. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel. 96 1 6.3% 8.3% 8.3% 21.9% 55.2% 4.11 Courteousness and politeness. 96 1 9.4% 11.5% 9.4% 24.0% 45.8% 3.85 How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. Participation in law-related professional activities. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are	eledge of the law.	97	0	3.1%	5.2%	7.2%	23.7%	60.8%	4.34	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness. 96 1 9.4% 11.5% 9.4% 24.0% 45.8% 3.85 How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. Participation in law-related professional activities. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are		96	0	8.3%	8.3%	15.6%	17.7%	50.0%	3.93	3.97		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. Participation in law-related professional activities. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are	tiveness to arguments of counsel.	96	1	6.3%	8.3%	8.3%	21.9%	55.2%	4.11	4.22		
issues squarely posed. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties. Participation in law-related professional activities. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are 97 0 7.2% 3.1% 3.1% 8.3% 25.0% 61.5% 4.41 0.0% 0.0% 35.8% 9.4% 54.7% 4.19 4.46 4.46 4.18	reousness and politeness.	96	1	9.4%	11.5%	9.4%	24.0%	45.8%	3.85	4.35		
performing judicial duties. Participation in law-related professional activities. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are		97	0	7.2%	3.1%	9.3%	30.9%	49.5%	4.12	4.12		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures. 92 5 3.3% 5.4% 7.6% 9.8% 73.9% 4.18 4.19		96	1	2.1%	3.1%	8.3%	25.0%	61.5%	4.41	3.97		
out the duties of the judicial office. Application of rules of evidence and procedures. How well his/her decisions are	•	53	44	0.0%	0.0%	35.8%	9.4%	54.7%	4.19	4.08		
procedures. How well his/her decisions are		92	5	3.3%	5.4%	7.6%	9.8%	73.9%	4.46	4.44		
		93	4	3.2%	9.7%	10.8%	18.3%	58.1%	4.18	4.05		
expressed.	ned and how clearly are they	95	2	5.3%	4.2%	12.6%	24.2%	53.7%	4.17	3.96		
Preparedness for court proceedings. 96 1 2.1% 1.0% 6.3% 21.9% 68.8% 4.54	redness for court proceedings.	96	1	2.1%	1.0%	6.3%	21.9%	68.8%	4.54	4.31		

Steven K. Sharpe

DISTRICT COURT Steven K. Sharpe											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Sharpe	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	152	0	0.7%	3.9%	3.9%	31.6%	59.9%	4.46	4.10		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	152	0	1.3%	2.6%	4.6%	28.9%	62.5%	4.49	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	152	0	0.7%	1.3%	2.6%	19.1%	76.3%	4.69	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	152	0	1.3%	0.7%	2.0%	11.8%	84.2%	4.77	4.35		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	151	0	0.0%	0.7%	4.6%	25.8%	68.9%	4.63	4.12		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	151	0	0.0%	3.3%	3.3%	28.5%	64.9%	4.55	3.97		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	107	41	1.9%	2.8%	16.8%	24.3%	54.2%	4.26	4.08		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	148	3	0.0%	0.7%	2.0%	12.8%	84.5%	4.81	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	151	0	1.3%	5.3%	4.6%	24.5%	64.2%	4.45	4.05		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	150	1	0.7%	2.7%	9.3%	24.0%	63.3%	4.47	3.96		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	151	0	0.7%	1.3%	6.0%	17.2%	74.8%	4.64	4.31		

William L. Simpson

DISTRICT COURT William L. Simpson										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Simbson	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	65	4	1.5%	7.7%	16.9%	30.8%	43.1%	4.06	4.10	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	67	3	4.5%	9.0%	11.9%	28.4%	46.3%	4.03	3.97	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	67	3	3.0%	6.0%	6.0%	22.4%	62.7%	4.36	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	68	2	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	13.2%	83.8%	4.81	4.35	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	64	6	3.1%	4.7%	15.6%	26.6%	50.0%	4.16	4.12	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	67	3	10.4%	13.4%	13.4%	22.4%	40.3%	3.69	3.97	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	35	33	2.9%	0.0%	22.9%	5.7%	68.6%	4.37	4.08	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	66	4	3.0%	7.6%	6.1%	22.7%	60.6%	4.30	4.44	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	67	3	1.5%	9.0%	19.4%	28.4%	41.8%	4.00	4.05	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	62	7	4.8%	8.1%	14.5%	29.0%	43.5%	3.98	3.96	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	66	3	3.0%	4.5%	15.2%	27.3%	50.0%	4.17	4.31	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	69						Favor	87.0%	89.0%	
of this judge for another term?	09						Oppose	13.0%	11.0%	

Robert E. Skar

DISTRICT COURT Robert E. Ska										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Skar	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	69	0	1.4%	7.2%	7.2%	37.7%	46.4%	4.20	4.10	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	69	0	5.8%	8.7%	4.3%	37.7%	43.5%	4.04	3.97	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	69	0	2.9%	8.7%	2.9%	31.9%	53.6%	4.25	4.22	
Courteousness and politeness.	69	0	5.8%	2.9%	7.2%	31.9%	52.2%	4.22	4.35	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	69	0	4.3%	10.1%	2.9%	37.7%	44.9%	4.09	4.12	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	67	0	6.0%	17.9%	7.5%	26.9%	41.8%	3.81	3.97	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	34	32	8.8%	5.9%	35.3%	14.7%	35.3%	3.62	4.08	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	67	2	1.5%	1.5%	9.0%	19.4%	68.7%	4.52	4.44	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	68	1	1.5%	10.3%	10.3%	36.8%	41.2%	4.06	4.05	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	68	1	1.5%	8.8%	13.2%	32.4%	44.1%	4.09	3.96	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	67	2	4.5%	3.0%	7.5%	29.9%	55.2%	4.28	4.31	

Dawnessa A. Snyder

DISTRICT COURT Dawnessa A. Snyo											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Snyder	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	69	3	5.8%	13.0%	7.2%	31.9%	42.0%	3.91	4.10		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	69	3	7.2%	11.6%	11.6%	17.4%	52.2%	3.96	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	67	5	4.5%	6.0%	11.9%	22.4%	55.2%	4.18	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	70	2	4.3%	5.7%	5.7%	20.0%	64.3%	4.34	4.35		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	69	3	4.3%	7.2%	13.0%	18.8%	56.5%	4.16	4.12		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	67	5	4.5%	3.0%	9.0%	17.9%	65.7%	4.37	3.97		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	42	29	2.4%	0.0%	21.4%	9.5%	66.7%	4.38	4.08		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	68	4	4.4%	4.4%	8.8%	22.1%	60.3%	4.29	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	67	5	6.0%	7.5%	13.4%	17.9%	55.2%	4.09	4.05		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	65	6	7.7%	9.2%	9.2%	16.9%	56.9%	4.06	3.96		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	68	4	2.9%	4.4%	8.8%	22.1%	61.8%	4.35	4.31		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	66						Favor Oppose	86.4% 13.6%	89.0%		

W. Thomas Sullins

DISTRICT COURT W. Thomas Sullins											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sullins abnu	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	87	0	0.0%	1.1%	5.7%	29.9%	63.2%	4.55	4.10		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	87	0	3.4%	5.7%	6.9%	28.7%	55.2%	4.26	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	87	0	0.0%	6.9%	2.3%	26.4%	64.4%	4.48	4.22		
Courteousness and politeness.	87	0	1.1%	2.3%	4.6%	18.4%	73.6%	4.61	4.35		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	85	2	0.0%	3.5%	5.9%	34.1%	56.5%	4.44	4.12		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	83	2	1.2%	3.6%	8.4%	28.9%	57.8%	4.39	3.97		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	50	36	0.0%	4.0%	22.0%	14.0%	60.0%	4.30	4.08		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	85	1	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	20.0%	75.3%	4.68	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	86	1	1.2%	3.5%	7.0%	27.9%	60.5%	4.43	4.05		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	84	2	1.2%	4.8%	6.0%	31.0%	57.1%	4.38	3.96		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	86	1	0.0%	2.3%	3.5%	22.1%	72.1%	4.64	4.31		
r repareuness for court proceedings.	00		0.070	2.3 /0	J.J /0	ZZ. 1 /0	72.170	7.04	7.01		

Marvin L. Tyler

DISTRICT COURT							Mar	vin L.	Tyler
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Tyler	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	102	0	4.9%	5.9%	6.9%	25.5%	56.9%	4.24	4.10
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	101	1	6.9%	15.8%	9.9%	25.7%	41.6%	3.79	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	102	0	3.9%	7.8%	6.9%	22.5%	58.8%	4.25	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	102	0	5.9%	7.8%	12.7%	19.6%	53.9%	4.08	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	102	0	3.9%	5.9%	11.8%	33.3%	45.1%	4.10	4.12
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	102	0	6.9%	6.9%	8.8%	28.4%	49.0%	4.06	3.97
Participation in law-related professional activities.	50	52	2.0%	6.0%	30.0%	12.0%	50.0%	4.02	4.08
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	95	6	1.1%	3.2%	7.4%	16.8%	71.6%	4.55	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	102	0	4.9%	9.8%	7.8%	28.4%	49.0%	4.07	4.05
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	101	1	6.9%	7.9%	13.9%	34.7%	36.6%	3.86	3.96
Preparedness for court proceedings.	100	2	3.0%	4.0%	6.0%	24.0%	63.0%	4.40	4.31

Catherine E. Wilking

DISTRICT COURT						Cath	erine	E. Wi	lking
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Milking Milking	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	92	0	4.3%	8.7%	5.4%	34.8%	46.7%	4.11	4.10
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	90	1	11.1%	13.3%	8.9%	25.6%	41.1%	3.72	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	90	2	6.7%	6.7%	7.8%	18.9%	60.0%	4.19	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	91	2	9.9%	6.6%	4.4%	20.9%	58.2%	4.11	4.35
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	92	1	4.3%	6.5%	8.7%	27.2%	53.3%	4.18	4.12
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	90	2	3.3%	2.2%	3.3%	32.2%	58.9%	4.41	3.97
Participation in law-related professional activities.	45	47	2.2%	4.4%	20.0%	17.8%	55.6%	4.20	4.08
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	85	8	7.1%	1.2%	7.1%	14.1%	70.6%	4.40	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	90	2	11.1%	4.4%	10.0%	26.7%	47.8%	3.96	4.05
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	88	3	9.1%	8.0%	6.8%	33.0%	43.2%	3.93	3.96
Preparedness for court proceedings.	89	2	2.2%	1.1%	5.6%	25.8%	65.2%	4.51	4.31
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	83						Favor Oppose	80.7% 19.3%	89.0%

Norman E. Young

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Norman E. Youn											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Aonng Bpnr	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	92	1	5.4%	10.9%	5.4%	35.9%	42.4%	3.99	4.10			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	90	2	5.6%	11.1%	6.7%	23.3%	53.3%	4.08	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	91	2	4.4%	2.2%	11.0%	26.4%	56.0%	4.27	4.22			
Courteousness and politeness.	93	0	1.1%	0.0%	3.2%	21.5%	74.2%	4.68	4.35			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	90	3	4.4%	6.7%	12.2%	31.1%	45.6%	4.07	4.12			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	91	2	8.8%	6.6%	12.1%	25.3%	47.3%	3.96	3.97			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	52	41	3.8%	3.8%	25.0%	21.2%	46.2%	4.02	4.08			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	87	6	1.1%	1.1%	10.3%	19.5%	67.8%	4.52	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	88	4	8.0%	11.4%	11.4%	25.0%	44.3%	3.86	4.05			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	87	4	12.6%	8.0%	11.5%	24.1%	43.7%	3.78	3.96			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	89	4	4.5%	2.2%	12.4%	25.8%	55.1%	4.25	4.31			

CIRCUIT COURT RESULTS

Randal R. Arp

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Randal R. Arp												
	r of	_	. 0	at re	ove ve	± 0	> 0	Average					
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Arp	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	26	0	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	46.2%	46.2%	4.35	3.94				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	26	0	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%	38.5%	50.0%	4.27	3.81				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	26	0	0.0%	3.8%	7.7%	30.8%	57.7%	4.42	4.10				
Courteousness and politeness.	26	0	0.0%	11.5%	0.0%	23.1%	65.4%	4.42	4.19				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	26	0	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	46.2%	46.2%	4.31	4.03				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	26	0	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	19.2%	73.1%	4.62	4.27				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	13	12	0.0%	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	38.5%	3.92	4.05				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	23	3	0.0%	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	73.9%	4.61	4.22				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	26	0	0.0%	3.8%	7.7%	42.3%	46.2%	4.31	3.93				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	26	0	0.0%	7.7%	7.7%	34.6%	50.0%	4.27	3.86				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	26.9%	65.4%	4.58	4.26				
Do you <i>Favor</i> or <i>Oppose</i> the retention of this judge for another term?	26						Favor Oppose	96.2% 3.8%	75.9% 24.1%				

Wendy M. Bartlett

CIRCUIT COURT						W	endy I	M. Ba	rtlett
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Bartlett	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	30	0	3.3%	10.0%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%	4.17	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	30	0	10.0%	3.3%	3.3%	23.3%	60.0%	4.20	3.81
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	30	0	6.7%	0.0%	6.7%	16.7%	70.0%	4.43	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	30	0	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	26.7%	56.7%	4.30	4.19
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	30	0	0.0%	10.0%	3.3%	23.3%	63.3%	4.40	4.03
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	30	0	0.0%	6.7%	10.0%	20.0%	63.3%	4.40	4.27
Participation in law-related professional activities.	16	14	0.0%	0.0%	31.3%	6.3%	62.5%	4.31	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	29	1	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%	20.7%	65.5%	4.52	4.22
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	0	0.0%	13.3%	6.7%	26.7%	53.3%	4.20	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	30	0	0.0%	13.3%	3.3%	26.7%	56.7%	4.27	3.86
Preparedness for court proceedings.	30	0	0.0%	6.7%	3.3%	30.0%	60.0%	4.43	4.26

H. Steven Brown

		1	CIRCUIT COURT H. Steven Brown											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Brown	Circuit Court					
	·		_	_				,						
Knowledge of the law.	38	0	15.8%	21.1%	2.6%	26.3%	34.2%	3.42	3.94					
Open-mindedness and impartiality in adicial matters.	38	0	7.9%	18.4%	10.5%	23.7%	39.5%	3.68	3.81					
attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	38	0	10.5%	2.6%	7.9%	31.6%	47.4%	4.03	4.10					
Courteousness and politeness.	38	0	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	36.8%	55.3%	4.39	4.19					
How he/she addresses and answers sues squarely posed.	38	0	10.5%	15.8%	10.5%	23.7%	39.5%	3.66	4.03					
ndustriousness/promptness in erforming judicial duties.	38	0	2.6%	0.0%	5.3%	50.0%	42.1%	4.29	4.27					
Participation in law-related professional ctivities.	21	17	4.8%	0.0%	19.0%	23.8%	52.4%	4.19	4.05					
Iis/her integrity and ethics to carry ut the duties of the judicial office.	34	3	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%	4.06	4.22					
application of rules of evidence and rocedures.	38	0	15.8%	23.7%	2.6%	23.7%	34.2%	3.37	3.93					
Iow well his/her decisions are easoned and how clearly are they expressed.	38	0	15.8%	21.1%	2.6%	26.3%	34.2%	3.42	3.86					
reparedness for court proceedings.	37	1	5.4%	2.7%	13.5%	43.2%	35.1%	4.00	4.26					

I. Vincent Case Jr.

CIRCUIT COURT						I.	Vince	ent Ca	se Jr.
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Case	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	30	0	3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	36.7%	40.0%	4.03	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	30	0	3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	20.0%	56.7%	4.20	3.81
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	30	0	3.3%	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	63.3%	4.27	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	30	0	0.0%	3.3%	10.0%	6.7%	80.0%	4.63	4.19
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	29	1	3.4%	3.4%	10.3%	37.9%	44.8%	4.17	4.03
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	28	2	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	25.0%	60.7%	4.36	4.27
Participation in law-related professional activities.	15	14	0.0%	6.7%	40.0%	6.7%	46.7%	3.93	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	29	1	0.0%	3.4%	6.9%	20.7%	69.0%	4.55	4.22
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	28	2	0.0%	7.1%	10.7%	39.3%	42.9%	4.18	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	27	3	0.0%	7.4%	7.4%	33.3%	51.9%	4.30	3.86
Preparedness for court proceedings.	30	0	6.7%	3.3%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%	4.03	4.26

Matthew F.G. Castano

CIRCUIT COURT					/	Matth	ew F.	G. Cas	tano
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Castano	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	36	0	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	16.7%	77.8%	4.67	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	35	0	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	14.3%	77.1%	4.60	3.81
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	36	0	2.8%	2.8%	0.0%	16.7%	77.8%	4.64	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	35	0	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	17.1%	80.0%	4.74	4.19
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	35	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.1%	82.9%	4.83	4.03
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	35	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	85.7%	4.86	4.27
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	13	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	14.3%	76.2%	4.67	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	1	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%	8.8%	85.3%	4.76	4.22
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	34	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	82.4%	4.82	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	2	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	20.6%	76.5%	4.71	3.86
Preparedness for court proceedings.	34	1	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.7%	82.4%	4.74	4.26

Robert A. Castor

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Robert											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Castor	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	56	0	1.8%	3.6%	7.1%	17.9%	69.6%	4.50	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	55	1	1.8%	3.6%	10.9%	20.0%	63.6%	4.40	3.81			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	56	0	1.8%	7.1%	3.6%	14.3%	73.2%	4.50	4.10			
Courteousness and politeness.	56	0	0.0%	7.1%	5.4%	10.7%	76.8%	4.57	4.19			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	56	0	1.8%	3.6%	3.6%	25.0%	66.1%	4.50	4.03			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	56	0	0.0%	0.0%	8.9%	21.4%	69.6%	4.61	4.27			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	32	24	0.0%	0.0%	28.1%	12.5%	59.4%	4.31	4.05			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	54	2	0.0%	5.6%	3.7%	11.1%	79.6%	4.65	4.22			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	55	1	1.8%	9.1%	3.6%	25.5%	60.0%	4.33	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	53	2	0.0%	15.1%	1.9%	20.8%	62.3%	4.30	3.86			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	56	0	0.0%	3.6%	5.4%	17.9%	73.2%	4.61	4.26			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	54						Favor	94.4%	75.9%			
of this judge for another term?							Oppose	5.6%	24.1%			

Brian D. Christensen

CIRCUIT COURT						Bria	n D. C	hriste	nsen
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Christensen	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	32	0	9.4%	15.6%	0.0%	28.1%	46.9%	3.88	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	0	9.4%	6.3%	6.3%	21.9%	56.3%	4.09	3.81
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	0	6.3%	12.5%	0.0%	21.9%	59.4%	4.16	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	32	0	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	21.9%	75.0%	4.69	4.19
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	1	3.2%	16.1%	3.2%	22.6%	54.8%	4.10	4.03
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	31	1	0.0%	12.9%	12.9%	19.4%	54.8%	4.16	4.27
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	14	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	11.1%	83.3%	4.72	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	30	2	3.3%	3.3%	10.0%	10.0%	73.3%	4.47	4.22
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	32	0	12.5%	12.5%	9.4%	12.5%	53.1%	3.81	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	31	1	6.5%	16.1%	9.7%	12.9%	54.8%	3.94	3.86
Preparedness for court proceedings.	31	1	0.0%	3.2%	6.5%	25.8%	64.5%	4.52	4.26

Shelley A. Cundiff

CIRCUIT COURT Shelley A											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Cundiff	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	25	1	24.0%	20.0%	4.0%	24.0%	28.0%	3.12	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	26	1	19.2%	15.4%	7.7%	23.1%	34.6%	3.38	3.81		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	26	1	19.2%	3.8%	3.8%	30.8%	42.3%	3.73	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness.	27	0	7.4%	18.5%	0.0%	25.9%	48.1%	3.89	4.19		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	26	1	19.2%	11.5%	7.7%	23.1%	38.5%	3.50	4.03		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	26	1	7.7%	7.7%	11.5%	30.8%	42.3%	3.92	4.27		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	9	5.6%	0.0%	33.3%	22.2%	38.9%	3.89	4.05		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	26	1	7.7%	7.7%	19.2%	23.1%	42.3%	3.85	4.22		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	26	1	23.1%	15.4%	3.8%	34.6%	23.1%	3.19	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	26	1	26.9%	15.4%	3.8%	30.8%	23.1%	3.08	3.86		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	26	1	11.5%	11.5%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	3.65	4.26		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	26						Favor Oppose	61.5% 38.5%	75.9% 24.1%		

Robert B. Denhardt

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Robert B. Denhard										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Denhardt	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	27	0	11.1%	11.1%	25.9%	29.6%	22.2%	3.41	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	27	0	11.1%	14.8%	14.8%	25.9%	33.3%	3.56	3.81		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	27	0	7.4%	7.4%	14.8%	37.0%	33.3%	3.81	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness.	27	0	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	33.3%	51.9%	4.37	4.19		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	27	0	7.4%	14.8%	18.5%	14.8%	44.4%	3.74	4.03		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	27	0	3.7%	3.7%	14.8%	33.3%	44.4%	4.11	4.27		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	12	15	8.3%	0.0%	41.7%	33.3%	16.7%	3.50	4.05		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	25	2	8.0%	4.0%	20.0%	28.0%	40.0%	3.88	4.22		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	27	0	11.1%	14.8%	22.2%	22.2%	29.6%	3.44	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	26	1	11.5%	19.2%	15.4%	26.9%	26.9%	3.38	3.86		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	25	2	4.0%	4.0%	20.0%	32.0%	40.0%	4.00	4.26		

Michael L. Greer

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Michael L. Green											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Greer	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	20	1	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	5.0%	85.0%	4.75	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	21	0	0.0%	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	71.4%	4.52	3.81			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	21	0	0.0%	4.8%	9.5%	9.5%	76.2%	4.57	4.10			
Courteousness and politeness.	21	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	95.2%	4.90	4.19			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	21	0	0.0%	9.5%	4.8%	9.5%	76.2%	4.52	4.03			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	20	1	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	95.0%	4.90	4.27			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	12	9	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%	4.50	4.05			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	20	1	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	5.0%	90.0%	4.85	4.22			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	20	1	0.0%	5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	65.0%	4.45	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	19	2	0.0%	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	68.4%	4.53	3.86			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	19	2	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	5.3%	89.5%	4.84	4.26			

Thomas W. Harrington

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Thomas W. Harrington										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Harrington	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	25.8%	67.7%	4.61	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	31	0	0.0%	3.2%	3.2%	25.8%	67.7%	4.58	3.81		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	29.0%	64.5%	4.58	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.6%	77.4%	4.77	4.19		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	32.3%	61.3%	4.55	4.03		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	29.0%	64.5%	4.58	4.27		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	8	0.0%	0.0%	21.7%	13.0%	65.2%	4.43	4.05		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	16.1%	80.6%	4.77	4.22		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	32.3%	64.5%	4.61	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	38.7%	58.1%	4.55	3.86		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	31	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	29.0%	67.7%	4.65	4.26		

Curt A. Haws

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Curt A. Haws											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Haws	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	41	2	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	29.3%	65.9%	4.61	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	43	0	2.3%	2.3%	4.7%	18.6%	72.1%	4.56	3.81			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	43	0	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	23.3%	74.4%	4.70	4.10			
Courteousness and politeness.	43	0	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	14.0%	83.7%	4.81	4.19			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	42	1	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	23.8%	69.0%	4.62	4.03			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	39	4	0.0%	2.6%	2.6%	28.2%	66.7%	4.59	4.27			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	30	13	3.3%	0.0%	13.3%	10.0%	73.3%	4.50	4.05			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	42	1	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	11.9%	81.0%	4.67	4.22			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	43	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	27.9%	67.4%	4.63	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	43	0	0.0%	4.7%	4.7%	18.6%	72.1%	4.58	3.86			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	42	1	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	14.3%	78.6%	4.71	4.26			

Craig L. Jones

CIRCUIT COURT Craig L. Jon											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Souof agonf	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	32	1	0.0%	28.1%	6.3%	25.0%	40.6%	3.78	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	33	0	9.1%	36.4%	12.1%	15.2%	27.3%	3.15	3.81		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	0	6.1%	21.2%	9.1%	33.3%	30.3%	3.61	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness.	33	0	33.3%	9.1%	15.2%	12.1%	30.3%	2.97	4.19		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	33	0	0.0%	18.2%	15.2%	30.3%	36.4%	3.85	4.03		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	1	9.4%	9.4%	15.6%	28.1%	37.5%	3.75	4.27		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	15	0.0%	5.6%	38.9%	22.2%	33.3%	3.83	4.05		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	32	1	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%	3.88	4.22		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	33	0	0.0%	12.1%	18.2%	30.3%	39.4%	3.97	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	32	1	0.0%	18.8%	25.0%	28.1%	28.1%	3.66	3.86		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	32	1	0.0%	9.4%	25.0%	21.9%	43.8%	4.00	4.26		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	29						Favor Oppose	41.4% 58.6%	75.9% 24.1%		

Thomas L. Lee

CIRCUIT COURT							Tho	mas L	Lee
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average aea pagpnr	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	73	2	2.7%	5.5%	9.6%	43.8%	38.4%	4.10	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	73	2	2.7%	17.8%	12.3%	26.0%	41.1%	3.85	3.81
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	74	1	4.1%	13.5%	5.4%	18.9%	58.1%	4.14	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	74	1	8.1%	8.1%	6.8%	27.0%	50.0%	4.03	4.19
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	73	2	5.5%	2.7%	4.1%	39.7%	47.9%	4.22	4.03
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	74	1	1.4%	1.4%	8.1%	23.0%	66.2%	4.51	4.27
Participation in law-related professional activities.	38	37	5.3%	2.6%	23.7%	28.9%	39.5%	3.95	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	63	12	1.6%	0.0%	4.8%	25.4%	68.3%	4.59	4.22
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	74	1	6.8%	4.1%	8.1%	28.4%	52.7%	4.16	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	71	4	7.0%	4.2%	11.3%	31.0%	46.5%	4.06	3.86
Preparedness for court proceedings.	74	1	1.4%	1.4%	6.8%	28.4%	62.2%	4.49	4.26

Denise Nau

CIRCUIT COURT Denise N											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Nan	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	76	0	14.5%	9.2%	5.3%	21.1%	50.0%	3.83	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	75	1	25.3%	13.3%	12.0%	18.7%	30.7%	3.16	3.81		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	75	1	18.7%	13.3%	5.3%	21.3%	41.3%	3.53	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness.	76	0	27.6%	15.8%	10.5%	18.4%	27.6%	3.03	4.19		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	76	0	17.1%	14.5%	3.9%	18.4%	46.1%	3.62	4.03		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	75	1	9.3%	5.3%	10.7%	20.0%	54.7%	4.05	4.27		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	41	34	19.5%	2.4%	31.7%	9.8%	36.6%	3.41	4.05		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	73	3	16.4%	15.1%	11.0%	16.4%	41.1%	3.51	4.22		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	75	1	18.7%	10.7%	9.3%	17.3%	44.0%	3.57	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	73	3	20.5%	13.7%	4.1%	16.4%	45.2%	3.52	3.86		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	75	1	8.0%	8.0%	13.3%	20.0%	50.7%	3.97	4.26		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	75						Favor	62.7%	75.9%		
of this judge for another term?	-						Oppose	37.3%	24.1%		

Michael N. Patchen

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Michael N. Patcher											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Patchen	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	31	2	12.9%	6.5%	6.5%	41.9%	32.3%	3.74	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	1	6.3%	15.6%	3.1%	34.4%	40.6%	3.88	3.81			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	31	2	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	38.7%	48.4%	4.10	4.10			
Courteousness and politeness.	32	1	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	21.9%	68.8%	4.50	4.19			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	2	6.5%	6.5%	16.1%	25.8%	45.2%	3.97	4.03			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	33	0	3.0%	0.0%	6.1%	27.3%	63.6%	4.48	4.27			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	15	18	6.7%	0.0%	6.7%	26.7%	60.0%	4.33	4.05			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	31	2	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	29.0%	61.3%	4.52	4.22			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	31	2	9.7%	6.5%	6.5%	38.7%	38.7%	3.90	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	33	0	12.1%	9.1%	6.1%	36.4%	36.4%	3.76	3.86			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	31	2	3.2%	0.0%	3.2%	35.5%	58.1%	4.45	4.26			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	30						Favor	83.3%	75.9%			
of this judge for another term?	-						Oppose	16.7%	24.1%			

Paul S. Phillips

CIRCUIT COURT Paul S. Phillip											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Phillips Average	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	19	0	5.3%	5.3%	0.0%	31.6%	57.9%	4.32	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	19	0	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	15.8%	57.9%	4.05	3.81		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	19	0	0.0%	10.5%	5.3%	21.1%	63.2%	4.37	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness.	19	0	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%	10.5%	63.2%	4.11	4.19		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	18	1	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	11.1%	72.2%	4.39	4.03		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	18	1	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	72.2%	4.39	4.27		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	11	8	9.1%	0.0%	9.1%	18.2%	63.6%	4.27	4.05		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	18	1	5.6%	0.0%	5.6%	11.1%	77.8%	4.56	4.22		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	18	1	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	16.7%	55.6%	4.11	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	18	1	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	22.2%	61.1%	4.28	3.86		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	19	0	5.3%	5.3%	0.0%	15.8%	73.7%	4.47	4.26		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	19						Favor	94.7%	75.9%		
of this judge for another term?							Oppose	5.3%	24.1%		

John R. Prokos

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT John											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Prokos	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	42	2	9.5%	21.4%	14.3%	23.8%	31.0%	3.45	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	43	1	16.3%	11.6%	11.6%	32.6%	27.9%	3.44	3.81			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	42	2	11.9%	16.7%	4.8%	33.3%	33.3%	3.60	4.10			
Courteousness and politeness.	44	0	4.5%	2.3%	11.4%	27.3%	54.5%	4.25	4.19			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	43	1	11.6%	7.0%	27.9%	20.9%	32.6%	3.56	4.03			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	42	1	7.1%	0.0%	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%	3.93	4.27			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	23	20	4.3%	8.7%	26.1%	30.4%	30.4%	3.74	4.05			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	42	1	9.5%	2.4%	16.7%	16.7%	54.8%	4.05	4.22			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	41	3	9.8%	14.6%	17.1%	22.0%	36.6%	3.61	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	39	4	17.9%	17.9%	15.4%	20.5%	28.2%	3.23	3.86			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	39	5	10.3%	5.1%	12.8%	28.2%	43.6%	3.90	4.26			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	40						Favor	69.0%	75.9%			
of this judge for another term?	42						Oppose	31.0%	24.1%			

James L. Radda

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT James L. Radda											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Radda	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	45	0	6.7%	24.4%	4.4%	17.8%	46.7%	3.73	3.94			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	45	0	15.6%	17.8%	13.3%	13.3%	40.0%	3.44	3.81			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	46	0	4.3%	10.9%	6.5%	26.1%	52.2%	4.11	4.10			
Courteousness and politeness.	46	0	2.2%	4.3%	6.5%	17.4%	69.6%	4.48	4.19			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	46	0	8.7%	10.9%	15.2%	21.7%	43.5%	3.80	4.03			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	46	0	4.3%	10.9%	13.0%	21.7%	50.0%	4.02	4.27			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	31	15	3.2%	3.2%	19.4%	12.9%	61.3%	4.26	4.05			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	44	2	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	25.0%	65.9%	4.50	4.22			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	45	1	8.9%	24.4%	4.4%	20.0%	42.2%	3.62	3.93			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	46	0	10.9%	21.7%	13.0%	8.7%	45.7%	3.57	3.86			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	46	0	2.2%	8.7%	13.0%	13.0%	63.0%	4.26	4.26			

Wesley A. Roberts

CIRCUIT COURT Wesley A											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Roberts	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	21	0	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	38.1%	33.3%	3.90	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	20	0	15.0%	25.0%	15.0%	25.0%	20.0%	3.10	3.81		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	21	0	4.8%	9.5%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	3.95	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness.	21	0	0.0%	23.8%	14.3%	33.3%	28.6%	3.67	4.19		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	21	0	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	38.1%	33.3%	3.90	4.03		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	21	0	4.8%	4.8%	23.8%	38.1%	28.6%	3.81	4.27		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	10	11	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	3.80	4.05		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	21	0	0.0%	9.5%	9.5%	33.3%	47.6%	4.19	4.22		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	21	0	4.8%	14.3%	19.0%	38.1%	23.8%	3.62	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	20	0	5.0%	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	35.0%	3.70	3.86		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	20	0	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	35.0%	45.0%	4.25	4.26		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	19						Favor	84.2%	75.9%		
of this judge for another term?							Oppose	15.8%	24.1%		

Susan K. Stipe

CIRCUIT COURT Susan K. Stipe											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Stibe Only	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	22	2	4.5%	18.2%	0.0%	27.3%	50.0%	4.00	3.94		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	22	2	4.5%	9.1%	4.5%	36.4%	45.5%	4.09	3.81		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	22	2	4.5%	9.1%	0.0%	13.6%	72.7%	4.41	4.10		
Courteousness and politeness.	24	0	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	87.5%	4.75	4.19		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	22	1	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	63.6%	4.18	4.03		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	24	0	4.2%	0.0%	4.2%	20.8%	70.8%	4.54	4.27		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	15	9	6.7%	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	53.3%	4.00	4.05		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	23	1	4.3%	0.0%	13.0%	4.3%	78.3%	4.52	4.22		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	22	2	9.1%	13.6%	0.0%	18.2%	59.1%	4.05	3.93		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	22	2	4.5%	13.6%	4.5%	18.2%	59.1%	4.14	3.86		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	22	1	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%	9.1%	81.8%	4.68	4.26		

Bruce B. Waters

CIRCUIT COURT Bruce B. Waters									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Waters	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	30	0	6.7%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	43.3%	3.83	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	30	0	13.3%	13.3%	16.7%	16.7%	40.0%	3.57	3.81
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	30	0	10.0%	10.0%	13.3%	23.3%	43.3%	3.80	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	30	0	6.7%	16.7%	6.7%	26.7%	43.3%	3.83	4.19
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	30	0	13.3%	0.0%	16.7%	23.3%	46.7%	3.90	4.03
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	30	0	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	23.3%	56.7%	4.37	4.27
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	11	5.6%	5.6%	33.3%	5.6%	50.0%	3.89	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	29	1	10.3%	6.9%	10.3%	17.2%	55.2%	4.00	4.22
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	30	0	10.0%	3.3%	13.3%	30.0%	43.3%	3.93	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	30	0	13.3%	6.7%	16.7%	16.7%	46.7%	3.77	3.86
Preparedness for court proceedings.	30	0	3.3%	3.3%	23.3%	20.0%	50.0%	4.10	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	29						Favor Oppose	72.4% 27.6%	75.9% 24.1%

Antoinette Williams

CIRCUIT COURT Antoinette William									iams
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Williams	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	77	0	22.1%	23.4%	11.7%	22.1%	20.8%	2.96	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	77	0	26.0%	20.8%	11.7%	18.2%	23.4%	2.92	3.81
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	77	0	16.9%	9.1%	10.4%	26.0%	37.7%	3.58	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	77	0	19.5%	6.5%	2.6%	26.0%	45.5%	3.71	4.19
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	77	0	19.5%	18.2%	9.1%	23.4%	29.9%	3.26	4.03
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	73	4	12.3%	20.5%	11.0%	15.1%	41.1%	3.52	4.27
Participation in law-related professional activities.	35	42	22.9%	2.9%	31.4%	14.3%	28.6%	3.23	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	69	8	34.8%	13.0%	8.7%	20.3%	23.2%	2.84	4.22
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	77	0	23.4%	19.5%	13.0%	18.2%	26.0%	3.04	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	77	0	26.0%	16.9%	16.9%	18.2%	22.1%	2.94	3.86
Preparedness for court proceedings.	77	0	20.8%	10.4%	14.3%	18.2%	36.4%	3.39	4.26

Frank J. Zebre

CIRCUIT COURT Frank J. Zebre									
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Zepre	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	27	0	0.0%	3.7%	3.7%	14.8%	77.8%	4.67	3.94
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	27	0	3.7%	3.7%	0.0%	11.1%	81.5%	4.63	3.81
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	27	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	92.6%	4.93	4.10
Courteousness and politeness.	27	0	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	88.9%	4.78	4.19
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	28	0	3.6%	0.0%	3.6%	7.1%	85.7%	4.71	4.03
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	27	0	3.7%	0.0%	3.7%	3.7%	88.9%	4.74	4.27
Participation in law-related professional activities.	19	8	5.3%	0.0%	10.5%	5.3%	78.9%	4.53	4.05
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	26	1	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	92.3%	4.81	4.22
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	26	1	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	88.5%	4.77	3.93
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	27	0	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	18.5%	74.1%	4.67	3.86
Preparedness for court proceedings.	27	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	7.4%	88.9%	4.85	4.26
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention	25						Favor	96.0%	75.9%
of this judge for another term?							Oppose	4.0%	24.1%

Questionnaire

A copy of the questionnaire is attached. All screens are shown with the potential retention question included.



Welcome to the 2018 Judicial Advisory Poll

The Judicial Advisory Poll is administered every two years by the Wyoming State Bar as a service to Wyoming voters. A goal of the poll is to provide judges with constructive feedback from the legal community.

You will be asked to evaluate each judge and justice before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months. You may also evaluate Supreme Court Justices on the basis of having read their written opinions. For each judge who will stand for retention in November, you will be asked to indicate whether you favor or oppose their retention.

Finally, if you rate a judge particularly high or low, please include your comments explaining that rating. <u>All written comments will remain completely confidential.</u>

If you choose not to evaluate a particular justice or judge, you may leave their page blank and skip over to the next page by clicking the "Next" button.

Please click "Next" below to begin.

Next



The next few questions will ask about your level of approval of the following Wyoming Supreme Court Justices:

- Lynette J. Boomgaarden
- E. James Burke
- · Michael K. Davis
- · Catherine M. Fox
- · Keith G. Kautz

Prev.

Next



Please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of Wyoming Supreme Court Justice on the following items:

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	0	0	0	0	0
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Courteousness and politeness.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0

Do you have any additional of Comments are solicited strict performance. Please provide	ly for the	benefit of th	ne judges to h	•	-	dge in
0		0			0	
Written Opinion	A	ppearance be	fore the court		Both	
Was your rating for based on a written opinion?		based	on an appear	ance befor	e the Court, or	simply
10. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0
 Participation in law- related professional activities. 	0	0	0	0	0	0
WYSAC, University of Wyoming			2018 \	Nyoming Judic	ial Advisory Poll	67

the continued performance of his/her duties.

WY SAC Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

Here is a list of the DISTRICT COURT JUDGES.

Please mark all before whon	you have appeared	in the past 24 months:
-----------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

☐ Joseph B. Bluemel	Patrick W. Korell	☐ William L. Simpson
☐ Thomas T.C. Campbell	☐ Tori R.A. Kricken	Robert E. Skar
☐ Timothy C. Day	Richard L. Lavery	☐ Dawnessa A. Snyder
Michael N. Deegan	F. Scott Peasley	☐ W. Thomas Sullins
☐ William J. Edelman	☐ John R. Perry	☐ Marvin L. Tyler
☐ John G. Fenn	Catherine R. Rogers	Catherine E. Wilking
Daniel L. Forgey	☐ Thomas W. Rumpke	■ Norman E. Young
Nena James	Steven K. Sharpe	

Prev.

Next



Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of District Court Judge on the following items:

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Courteousness and politeness.	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	0	0	0	0	0	0

WYSAC, University of Wyoming			2018 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll				
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Do you favor or oppose the ret	ention of th	nis judicial o	fficial for ano	ther term?			
Favor				Oppose			
0				0			
Do you have any additional co Comments are solicited strictly Please provide any comments	for the be	nefit of the j			•		

performance of his/her duties.

WY SAC Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

Here is a list of the CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES.

Please mark all before whom	you have appeared ir	n the <u>past 24 months:</u>
-----------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------

Randal R. Arp	Robert B. Denhardt	Paul S. Phillips
■ Wendy Martin Bartlett	☐ Michael L. Greer	☐ John R. Prokos
H. Steven Brown	☐ Thomas W. Harrington	☐ James L. Radda
☐ I. Vincent Case Jr.	Curt A. Haws	☐ Wesley A. Roberts
Matthew F.G. Castano	Craig L. Jones	☐ Bruce B. Waters
Robert A. Castor	☐ Thomas L. Lee	Susan K. Stipe
Brian D. Christensen	Denise Nau	☐ Antoinette Williams
Shelley Ann Cundiff	Michael N. Patchen	Frank J. Zebre

Prev.

Next



Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of Circuit Court Judge on the following items:

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Courteousness and politeness.	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	0	0	0	0	0	0

WYSAC, University of Wyoming		2018 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll					
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	0	0	0	O	O	73 O	
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Do you favor or oppose the rete	ention of t	nis judicial c	official for ano	ther term?			
0			0				
Do you have any additional cor Comments are solicited strictly			udges to helr	?	ve their perfor	mance	

Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued

performance of his/her duties.