

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2020

WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-2010
October, 2020

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2020

Ву

Brian Harnisch, M.B.A., Senior Research Scientist Bistra Anatchkova, Ph.D., Survey Research Manager

Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center

University of Wyoming 1000 E. University Ave, Dept. 3925 Laramie, WY 82071 (307) 766-2189 • wysac@uwyo.edu http://wysac.uwyo.edu

Under contract to

Wyoming State Bar P.O. Box 109 Cheyenne, WY 82003

Citation for this document: WYSAC (2020) *Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll,* 2020, by Harnisch, B and Anatchkova, B. (WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-2010). Laramie, WY: Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, University of Wyoming.

Short reference: WYSAC (2020), Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll.

© Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, 2020.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	5
2.	Report Organization	5
3.	Methods	6
	3.1. Questionnaire Development	6
	3.2. Survey Administration	6
	3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	7
4.	• 9	
5.	Individual Results	11
SU	UPREME COURT RESULTS	12
	Lynnette J. Boomgaarden	12
	Michael K. Davis	
	Catherine M. Fox	14
	Kari Jo Gray	15
	Keith G. Kautz	16
DI	ISTRICT COURT RESULTS	17
	Joseph B. Bluemel	17
	Thomas T.C. Campbell	18
	Jason M. Conder	19
	Timothy C. Day	20
	Michael N. Deegan	21
	William J. Edelman	22
	John G. Fenn	23
	Daniel L. Forgey	24
	Peter H. Froelicher	25
	Kerri M. Johnson	26
	Patrick W. Korell	27
	Tori R.A. Kricken	28
	Richard L. Lavery	29
	Bobbi D. Overfield	30
	F. Scott Peasley	31
	John R. Perry	32
	Suzannah G. Robinson	33
	Catherine R. Rogers	34
	Thomas W. Rumpke	35
	Steven K. Sharpe	
	William L. Simpson	37
	Dawnessa A. Snyder	38
	Marvin L. Tyler	39
	Catherine E. Wilking	40
CI	IRCUIT COURT RESULTS	41
	Wendy M. Bartlett	
	H. Steven Brown	
	I. Vincent Case Jr.	
	Matthew F.G. Castano	
	Sean C. Chambers	
	Brian D. Christensen	

Gregory S. Corpening	47
Shelley A. Cundiff	
Robert B. Denhardt	49
Michael L. Greer	50
Curt A. Haws	51
Nathaniel S. Hibben	52
Craig L. Jones	53
Thomas L. Lee	
Edward G. Luhm	55
Michael N. Patchen	56
Paul S. Phillips	57
John R. Prokos	58
James L. Radda	59
Wesley A. Roberts	60
Robert J. Sanford	61
Susan K. Stipe	62
Bruce B. Waters	63
Antoinette Williams	64
Questionnaire	65
List of Tables	
Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings	8
Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention	9
Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level	
I O J J	

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2020

1. Introduction

In the spring of 2020, the Wyoming State Bar commissioned the Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming to administer the 2020 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll. Sharon Wilkinson, Executive Director of the Wyoming State Bar, coordinated the project activities for the Bar.

This document presents the tabulations and analyses performed on the results of the poll.

The Wyoming State Bar undertook its first judicial evaluation poll in 1976 and has completed one each election year since. The goals and uses of the Wyoming Judicial Advisory poll conform to the American Bar Association (ABA) guidelines as well as to the practices of other Bar associations.

Judicial evaluation polls are used by Bar associations to provide feedback to judicial officials about their performance on the bench and to help the public make more informed judgments in judicial elections. Guidelines established by the ABA state that the primary goal of judicial evaluation is "... to improve the performance of individual judges and the judiciary as a whole."

The evaluations received for Wyoming Judges in the 2020 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll will be distributed as follows. First, a copy of this report is sent to all Wyoming Judges who were evaluated. In addition to the information contained in the general report, individual judicial officials receive any written comments submitted by members of the Wyoming State Bar responding to this poll regarding their work on the bench (anonymously). Comments about judges are released only to the specific judge that they were made about. These comments are not included in the report sent to the Wyoming State Bar. Second, all data results are released to the media prior to the election and published on the Wyoming State Bar website.

2. Report Organization

The remainder of this report is organized in the following manner:

- Section 3 is a concise account of the methodology and timeline used by WYSAC to conduct the poll.
- Section 4 relays the condensed results of support for retention for all relevant judicial officials in the "Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention" table. Also included is the "Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level" table, which presents the means for all items on the survey, organized by judicial level.
- Section 5 presents the detailed ratings of individual judicial officials, grouped by judicial level and then, within each level, arranged alphabetically according to the judicial official's last name.
- Section 6 provides a copy of the survey instrument.

3. Methods

3.1. Questionnaire Development

There were no changes to the survey instrument in 2020. The questionnaire items judicial officials were rated upon remained unchanged from 2018. The response choice scale for all performance ratings was the same five point fully balanced Likert scale introduced in a previous survey iteration. This scale includes the following options for each judge: strongly disapprove, somewhat disapprove, neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat approve, strongly approve. The use of this scale controls for bias of the ratings provided by the respondents to the survey.

3.2. Survey Administration

As was the case in the past seven iterations (2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018), this year the Judicial Advisory Poll was conducted as a web-based survey.

WYSAC revised and updated the survey instrument to reflect the current Wyoming judiciary. WYSAC received an electronic list with names and email addresses of all Wyoming attorneys who are members of the Wyoming State Bar, and are believed to practice in Wyoming. There were 1,700 Wyoming attorneys on that list.

The poll was launched on August 12th with an email invitation for participation. Prior to this initial email invitation, Sharon Wilkinson of the Wyoming State Bar emailed all attorneys to inform them of the upcoming survey and explain the important of the project. To all attorneys who had not yet responded with completed surveys, reminder emails were sent roughly every week. A total of five reminder emails were sent to all attorneys who had not yet completed a survey.

The survey closed on September 25th, providing all attorneys more than five weeks to respond. After closing, the online database was exported into a format suitable for data analysis. A total of 842 attorneys *accessed* the online survey. A total of 681 valid completions were obtained before the cut-off date. From the original respondent list, a number of attorneys contacted WYSAC to explain that they did not feel qualified to evaluate any Wyoming judge, were not currently practicing, felt they were ineligible for the survey, or explicitly refused to respond. In a few cases the email address available to WYSAC came back as undeliverable. These brought down the number of potentially eligible respondents to 1607. Thus the total of 681 completions accounts for a response rate of 42.5%.

The results were are presented in the tables in Section 4. Group Results and Section 5. Individual Results.

3.3. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

The rating system used in this year's poll was a fully-balanced five point Likert scale, where 1 stands for "Strongly Disapprove", 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove", 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove", 4 for "Somewhat Approve", 5 for "Strongly Approve." Thus, mean scores can range from 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest and most favorable rating.

Attorneys were asked to rate the Wyoming Supreme Court Justices on ten distinct categories, such as *knowledge of the law* and *courteousness and politeness*. All other judges were rated on 11 categories, eight of which were also used to rate the justices. The 2020 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll report is based upon completed surveys that were submitted electronically by September 25th, 2020. Individual reports for each judicial official are based on the completed responses on each characteristic if and only if the Bar member responding had affirmed (in an answer to a previous question) that they had appeared before the respective judicial official during the past 24 months.

In the case of Supreme Court Justices, evaluations were also allowed based on reading written opinions from those Justices. Bar members were asked to report the basis for their evaluations of the justices (i.e., reading of judicial decisions, appearances before the court, or both).

Bar members were asked whether they favor or oppose the retention of a particular judge. In all cases, they were asked this question only if a particular judge was indeed standing for retention.

The table and guidelines on the following page, "Interpreting the Numeric Ratings" illustrates the summary evaluations received by a hypothetical Justice Woods and outlines suggestions for interpreting the evaluations. Interpreting the numeric ratings can be approached in two ways:

One. Looking at the average scores of a judicial official and how they compare to the average scores of peer judicial officials on the Wyoming Supreme Court, State District Court, or Circuit Court.

Two. Looking at the percentage distributions of the responses (Strongly Disapprove, Somewhat Disapprove, Neither Approve nor Disapprove, Somewhat Approve, Strongly Approve) to each item on the survey.

Consider a situation where the average score for District Court Judges on *open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters* is 3.6, and where one judge scores 3.2 and another scores 4.1 on this item. This means that the first judge scored worse on that particular item compared to the average court score, whereas the second judge scored above the average for the court.

WYOMING STATE BAR JUDICIAL ADVISORY POLL, 2020

Table 1. Interpreting the Numeric Ratings

nber of dents							Justice W					
n ber dents			41	e «e			Average	e Scores				
Total Number o Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Woods	Supreme Court				
304	80	5.4%	10.7%	30.4%	25.9%	27.7%	3.60	3.80				
308	75	5.6%	12.0%	33.5%	17.2%	31.8%	3.58	3.23				
311	82	7.9%	14.4%	24.0%	24.0%	29.7%	3.53	3.48				
301	63	8.0%	16.8%	21.8%	28.6%	24.8%	3.45	4.10				
300	81	4.6%	9.1%	29.2%	36.5%	20.5%	3.59	3.98				
304	88	3.7%	3.7%	31.5%	24.1%	37.0%	3.87	4.01				
308	78	3.9%	4.8%	30.0%	20.9%	40.4%	3.89	3.77				
303	71	4.7%	3.9%	31.5%	19.4%	40.5%	3.87	3.67				
305	69	4.7%	5.9%	33.9%	41.5%	14.0%	3.54	3.36				
304	70	7.7%	6.8%	21.8%	28.2%	35.5%	3.77	3.54				
							00.70/	00.00/				
201	TPT TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO T							28.8% 8.9%				
301	1							62.3%				
							04.40/	20.000				
303								80.9% 19.1%				
	304 308 311 301 300 304 308 303 305 304	304 80 308 75 311 82 301 63 300 81 304 88 308 78 303 71 305 69 304 70	304 80 5.4% 308 75 5.6% 311 82 7.9% 301 63 8.0% 300 81 4.6% 304 88 3.7% 308 78 3.9% 307 4.7% 307 69 4.7% 308 70 7.7%	304 80 5.4% 10.7% 308 75 5.6% 12.0% 311 82 7.9% 14.4% 301 63 8.0% 16.8% 300 81 4.6% 9.1% 304 88 3.7% 3.7% 308 78 3.9% 4.8% 303 71 4.7% 3.9% 305 69 4.7% 5.9% 304 70 7.7% 6.8%	304 80 5.4% 10.7% 30.4% 308 75 5.6% 12.0% 33.5% 311 82 7.9% 14.4% 24.0% 301 63 8.0% 16.8% 21.8% 300 81 4.6% 9.1% 29.2% 304 88 3.7% 3.7% 31.5% 308 78 3.9% 4.8% 30.0% 303 71 4.7% 3.9% 31.5% 305 69 4.7% 5.9% 33.9% 304 70 7.7% 6.8% 21.8%	304 80 5.4% 10.7% 30.4% 25.9% 308 75 5.6% 12.0% 33.5% 17.2% 311 82 7.9% 14.4% 24.0% 24.0% 301 63 8.0% 16.8% 21.8% 28.6% 300 81 4.6% 9.1% 29.2% 36.5% 304 88 3.7% 3.7% 31.5% 24.1% 308 78 3.9% 4.8% 30.0% 20.9% 303 71 4.7% 3.9% 31.5% 19.4% 305 69 4.7% 5.9% 33.9% 41.5% 304 70 7.7% 6.8% 21.8% 28.2% Appearance befor Writt	304 80 5.4% 10.7% 30.4% 25.9% 27.7% 308 75 5.6% 12.0% 33.5% 17.2% 31.8% 311 82 7.9% 14.4% 24.0% 24.0% 29.7% 301 63 8.0% 16.8% 21.8% 28.6% 24.8% 300 81 4.6% 9.1% 29.2% 36.5% 20.5% 304 88 3.7% 3.7% 31.5% 24.1% 37.0% 308 78 3.9% 4.8% 30.0% 20.9% 40.4% 301 303 71 4.7% 3.9% 31.5% 19.4% 40.5% 304 70 7.7% 6.8% 21.8% 28.2% 35.5% Appearance before the Court Written Opinion Both	304 80 5.4% 10.7% 30.4% 25.9% 27.7% 3.60 308 75 5.6% 12.0% 33.5% 17.2% 31.8% 3.58 311 82 7.9% 14.4% 24.0% 24.0% 29.7% 3.53 301 63 8.0% 16.8% 21.8% 28.6% 24.8% 3.45 300 81 4.6% 9.1% 29.2% 36.5% 20.5% 3.59 304 88 3.7% 3.7% 31.5% 24.1% 37.0% 3.87 308 78 3.9% 4.8% 30.0% 20.9% 40.4% 3.89 303 71 4.7% 3.9% 31.5% 19.4% 40.5% 3.87 305 69 4.7% 5.9% 33.9% 41.5% 14.0% 3.54 304 70 7.7% 6.8% 21.8% 28.2% 35.5% 3.77 305 Appearance before the Court 29.7% Written Opinion 12.1% Both 58.2%				

GUIDELINES

"Justice Woods" was evaluated by 300 attorneys on *Attentiveness to arguments of counsel* and 304 on *Courteousness and politeness*. These numbers vary since each attorney may or may not have answered each rating question.

Justice Woods wants:

- An average score better (higher) than his peers for all items.
- A majority of "Strongly Approve" and "Somewhat Approve" ratings.
- Few, if any, "Strongly Disapprove" and "Somewhat Disapprove" ratings.

The scale employed uses:

- o 1 for "Strongly Disapprove"
- o 2 for "Somewhat Disapprove"
- o 3 for "Neither Approve nor Disapprove"
- 4 for "Somewhat Approve"
- 5 for "Strongly Approve"

Thus, average scores range from 1 to 5, where 5 equates to the highest rating. Higher average scores are better than lower scores.

The "best" rating Justice Woods received (3.89) on the ten judicial characteristics was for *How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed*. And he ranks higher than his peers (3.77) on this item.

His average score of 3.45 on *How well he is prepared for oral argument* ranks him notably below the average score of 4.10.

Judges standing for retention have an additional row indicating the percent of responding attorneys *favoring* or *opposing* their retention. Also included here is an average percentage calculated for all judges in the same court system who are up for retention.

Each Supreme Court Justice has an additional row showing what percentage of the attorneys rating that Justice based their ratings on "Appearance before the Court", "Written Opinion", or "Both." Again, included is an average percentage calculated for all justices.

4. Group Results

Table 2. Support for Judicial Officials Standing for Retention

Wyoming State Bar Judicial Advisory Poll, 2020

Support for Judicial Officials standing for retention

	Favor	Oppose	Number Responding
Supreme Court			
Lynnette J. Boomgaarden	89.7%	10.3%	455
Kari Jo Gray	73.1%	26.9%	391
District Court			
William J. Edelman	51.0%	49.0%	100
John G. Fenn	93.2%	6.8%	118
Daniel L. Forgey	89.1%	10.9%	101
Richard L. Lavery	91.8%	8.2%	97
John R. Perry	91.1%	8.9%	90
Thomas W. Rumpke	79.7%	20.3%	118
Steven K. Sharpe	93.4%	6.6%	136
Jason M. Conder	100.0%	0.0%	50
Peter H. Froelicher	94.9%	5.1%	98
Kerri M. Johnson	95.3%	4.7%	85
Bobbi D. Overfield	90.1%	9.9%	71
Suzannah G. Robinson	66.7%	33.3%	57
Circuit Court			
Wendy M. Bartlett	84.6%	15.4%	39
H. Steven Brown	71.1%	28.9%	38
Matthew F.G. Castano	100.0%	0.0%	44
Brian D. Christensen	92.3%	7.7%	39
Robert B. Denhardt	89.3%	10.7%	28
Michael L. Greer	92.9%	7.1%	28
Curt A. Haws	92.9%	7.1%	42
Thomas L. Lee	79.0%	21.0%	62
James L. Radda	83.7%	16.3%	49
Susan K. Stipe	89.2%	10.8%	37
Antoinette Williams	46.7%	53.3%	60
Gregory S. Corpening	100.0%	0.0%	25
Nathaniel S. Hibben	100.0%	0.0%	30
Edward G. Luhm	87.5%	12.5%	40

Table 3. Comparison of Ratings by Judicial Level

	Supreme Court	District Court	Circuit Court	Average of the Three Courts
Knowledge of the law.	4.09	4.15	4.01	4.09
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	4.02	n/a	n/a	n/a
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	3.95	4.05	3.97	3.99
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	4.25	n/a	n/a	n/a
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	4.22	4.26	4.19	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	4.26	4.39	4.33	4.33
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	4.07	4.15	4.15	4.12
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	4.24	4.03	4.28	4.18
Participation in law-related professional activities.	4.18	4.14	4.13	4.15
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	4.37	4.44	4.38	4.40
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	n/a	4.12	4.00	4.06
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	n/a	4.02	3.99	4.00
Preparedness for court proceedings.	n/a	4.35	4.34	4.35

5. Individual Results

Following are the results for each justice and judge, ordered by court (Supreme, District, Circuit), then by last name.

SUPREME COURT RESULTS

Lynnette J. Boomgaarden

Lynnette J. Boomgaarden									
SUPREME COURT					Lyı	nnette	J. Boo	omgad	ırden
	iber of lents	nion	gly	rhat ove	prove	rhat .ve	gly ove	Average	
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Boomgaarden	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	331	179	3.6%	6.6%	17.5%	30.5%	41.7%	4.00	4.09
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	326	182	4.3%	8.0%	20.2%	32.5%	35.0%	3.86	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	312	195	5.8%	7.1%	22.4%	26.9%	37.8%	3.84	3.95
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	246	258	1.6%	2.8%	22.8%	24.8%	48.0%	4.15	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	251	251	1.6%	3.2%	18.7%	26.7%	49.8%	4.20	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	290	214	1.0%	3.1%	15.5%	21.0%	59.3%	4.34	4.26
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	285	217	4.6%	5.3%	24.2%	24.9%	41.1%	3.93	4.07
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	255	247	1.6%	2.4%	23.5%	24.3%	48.2%	4.15	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	242	262	1.7%	1.2%	29.8%	18.6%	48.8%	4.12	4.18
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	304	201	1.6%	2.0%	18.8%	18.4%	59.2%	4.32	4.37
					Annegua	waa hafana	tha Count	6.5%	5.7%
Was your rating based on an appearance before the Court or	400		Appearance before the Court Written Opinion 6.5						
simply based on a written opinion?		Both 27.8% 35							35.8%
D							Favor	89.7%	82.0%
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of this judge for another term?	455						Oppose	10.3%	18.0%
		L					* *		

Michael K. Davis

SUPREME COURT							Micho	ael K. I	Davis
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Justice Davis	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	386	116	2.1%	5.2%	7.0%	23.6%	62.2%	4.39	4.09
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	386	112	3.4%	5.7%	6.7%	28.0%	56.2%	4.28	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	373	125	3.2%	6.7%	9.9%	24.9%	55.2%	4.22	3.95
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	314	183	0.3%	3.5%	13.4%	19.4%	63.4%	4.42	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	318	176	1.3%	4.1%	11.3%	20.1%	63.2%	4.40	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	344	151	1.7%	2.0%	10.2%	18.9%	67.2%	4.48	4.26
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	345	150	2.3%	5.2%	12.5%	23.8%	56.2%	4.26	4.07
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	317	175	1.3%	2.5%	13.6%	20.2%	62.5%	4.40	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	277	213	1.1%	2.9%	17.7%	20.9%	57.4%	4.31	4.18
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	353	142	1.4%	2.0%	9.9%	15.3%	71.4%	4.53	4.37
Was your rating based on an appearance			_		Appeara	nce before	the Court	5.7%	5.7%
before the Court or	423	3 Written Opinion						54.1%	58.5%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	40.2%	35.8%

Catherine M. Fox

SUPREME COURT							Cathe	rine M	1. Fox
	er of nts	L.	ve ve	at ve	rove	at	> a	Average	Scores
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Justice Fox	Supreme Court
Knowledge of the law.	388	103	2.1%	4.6%	8.8%	26.0%	58.5%	4.34	4.09
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	387	102	4.1%	5.4%	10.3%	23.5%	56.6%	4.23	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	372	116	5.4%	6.7%	13.7%	25.5%	48.7%	4.05	3.95
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	308	179	0.6%	1.6%	13.3%	21.4%	63.0%	4.44	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	310	176	1.9%	2.9%	13.2%	20.6%	61.3%	4.36	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	337	150	2.7%	6.8%	12.2%	21.7%	56.7%	4.23	4.26
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	339	147	2.4%	3.8%	17.7%	21.2%	54.9%	4.22	4.07
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	308	178	1.0%	1.9%	15.9%	16.2%	64.9%	4.42	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	291	198	1.0%	1.4%	17.2%	16.5%	63.9%	4.41	4.18
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	353	134	1.4%	1.7%	11.3%	16.4%	69.1%	4.50	4.37
Was your rating based on an appearance					Appeara	nce before	the Court	5.3%	5.7%
before the Court or	412					Writte	n Opinion	55.3%	58.5%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	39.3%	35.8%

Kari Jo Gray

SUPREME COURT							K	ari Jo	Gray
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Qray	Scores Court
Knowledge of the law.	298	187	12.4%	16.1%	24.2%	26.5%	20.8%	3.27	4.09
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	292	192	10.3%	13.4%	28.4%	26.4%	21.6%	3.36	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	280	202	7.9%	11.4%	27.1%	25.0%	28.6%	3.55	3.95
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	232	249	4.7%	6.5%	33.2%	24.1%	31.5%	3.71	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	236	246	5.9%	6.8%	29.7%	20.3%	37.3%	3.76	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	260	221	5.0%	5.4%	23.8%	21.5%	44.2%	3.95	4.26
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	256	225	7.4%	8.6%	32.0%	23.4%	28.5%	3.57	4.07
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	228	253	5.7%	5.3%	32.0%	18.4%	38.6%	3.79	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	199	280	6.5%	6.0%	36.2%	20.1%	31.2%	3.63	4.18
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	254	223	5.9%	6.3%	24.8%	18.5%	44.5%	3.89	4.37
Was your rating based on an appearance	o= <i>i</i>				Appeara	nce before		5.7%	5.7%
before the Court or simply based on a written opinion?	371					Writte	n Opinion	65.0%	58.5%
sample of a state of the opinion.							Both	29.4%	35.8%
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of							Favor	73.1%	82.0%
this judge for another term?	391						Oppose	26.9%	18.0%

Keith G. Kautz

SUPREME COURT							Kei	th G. I	Kautz
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Kautz	Scores Court
Knowledge of the law.	378	117	3.4%	4.5%	8.5%	28.6%	55.0%	4.27	4.09
How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	376	115	4.0%	6.4%	9.8%	28.2%	51.6%	4.17	4.02
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	364	127	6.6%	8.5%	12.1%	25.5%	47.3%	3.98	3.95
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	302	186	1.0%	3.3%	14.9%	20.5%	60.3%	4.36	4.25
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	312	177	2.6%	4.2%	14.7%	21.2%	57.4%	4.27	4.22
Courteousness and politeness.	332	157	3.9%	4.8%	12.7%	21.1%	57.5%	4.23	4.26
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	328	158	2.7%	4.6%	14.3%	23.5%	54.9%	4.23	4.07
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	300	186	1.7%	2.7%	18.0%	18.3%	59.3%	4.31	4.24
Participation in law-related professional activities.	268	219	1.1%	1.9%	20.5%	20.1%	56.3%	4.29	4.18
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	331	157	2.4%	1.2%	13.0%	14.2%	69.2%	4.47	4.37
Was your rating based on an appearance					Appeara	nce before	the Court	5.2%	5.7%
before the Court or	406					Writte	n Opinion	53.2%	58.5%
simply based on a written opinion?							Both	41.6%	35.8%

DISTRICT COURT RESULTS

Joseph B. Bluemel

DISTRICT COURT						J	oseph	B. Blu	emel
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Bluemel	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	123	2	5.7%	13.8%	8.1%	40.7%	31.7%	3.79	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	125	1	17.6%	20.0%	9.6%	32.0%	20.8%	3.18	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	123	3	14.6%	14.6%	8.9%	26.8%	35.0%	3.53	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	124	2	16.9%	12.9%	12.9%	27.4%	29.8%	3.40	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	121	4	9.1%	15.7%	16.5%	27.3%	31.4%	3.56	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	121	4	9.9%	11.6%	18.2%	26.4%	33.9%	3.63	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	78	47	9.0%	3.8%	34.6%	16.7%	35.9%	3.67	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	119	7	8.4%	4.2%	17.6%	16.0%	53.8%	4.03	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	117	8	11.1%	12.8%	15.4%	27.4%	33.3%	3.59	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	120	5	13.3%	14.2%	15.8%	27.5%	29.2%	3.45	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	121	5	5.0%	9.1%	11.6%	30.6%	43.8%	3.99	4.35

Thomas T.C. Campbell

DISTRICT COURT						Thom	nas T.C	C. Cam	pbell
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Cambpell	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	140	0	7.9%	12.9%	7.9%	34.3%	37.1%	3.80	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	139	1	8.6%	12.2%	10.8%	31.7%	36.7%	3.76	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	139	1	5.0%	11.5%	5.8%	33.1%	44.6%	4.01	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	139	1	6.5%	6.5%	7.2%	20.1%	59.7%	4.20	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	139	1	7.9%	12.2%	10.1%	33.1%	36.7%	3.78	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	137	2	17.5%	19.7%	13.9%	22.6%	26.3%	3.20	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	85	55	4.7%	1.2%	29.4%	16.5%	48.2%	4.02	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	135	4	3.0%	3.7%	7.4%	17.8%	68.1%	4.44	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	134	5	6.7%	13.4%	12.7%	27.6%	39.6%	3.80	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	139	1	11.5%	16.5%	10.8%	29.5%	31.7%	3.53	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	136	3	7.4%	9.6%	8.8%	31.6%	42.6%	3.93	4.35

Jason M. Conder

DISTRICT COURT							Jason	M. Co	onder
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Judge Conder	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	53	1	1.9%	3.8%	13.2%	22.6%	58.5%	4.32	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	53	1	1.9%	5.7%	11.3%	13.2%	67.9%	4.40	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	52	2	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	15.4%	76.9%	4.69	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	52	2	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	9.6%	86.5%	4.83	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	50	3	0.0%	2.0%	10.0%	26.0%	62.0%	4.48	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	52	2	11.5%	11.5%	9.6%	13.5%	53.8%	3.87	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	32	0.0%	4.8%	33.3%	0.0%	61.9%	4.19	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	49	5	0.0%	2.0%	4.1%	14.3%	79.6%	4.71	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	50	4	2.0%	0.0%	10.0%	20.0%	68.0%	4.52	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	48	5	4.2%	2.1%	16.7%	16.7%	60.4%	4.27	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	51	3	0.0%	2.0%	3.9%	21.6%	72.5%	4.65	4.35
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	50						Favor	100.0%	86.4%
this judge for another term?							Oppose	0.0%	13.6%

Timothy C. Day

DISTRICT COURT							Time	othy C	. Day
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Day	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	96	1	1.0%	4.2%	9.4%	26.0%	59.4%	4.39	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	97	0	1.0%	10.3%	9.3%	21.6%	57.7%	4.25	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	96	1	2.1%	1.0%	11.5%	20.8%	64.6%	4.45	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	96	1	1.0%	3.1%	4.2%	17.7%	74.0%	4.60	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	93	3	2.2%	4.3%	14.0%	25.8%	53.8%	4.25	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	94	2	8.5%	11.7%	14.9%	30.9%	34.0%	3.70	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	58	37	0.0%	1.7%	17.2%	25.9%	55.2%	4.34	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	92	5	0.0%	4.3%	5.4%	17.4%	72.8%	4.59	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	91	5	2.2%	2.2%	7.7%	27.5%	60.4%	4.42	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	96	1	4.2%	5.2%	7.3%	26.0%	57.3%	4.27	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	92	5	2.2%	5.4%	7.6%	22.8%	62.0%	4.37	4.35

Michael N. Deegan

DISTRICT COURT						M	ichael	N. De	egan
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Deegan	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	94	3	1.1%	3.2%	3.2%	22.3%	70.2%	4.57	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	95	2	4.2%	5.3%	4.2%	23.2%	63.2%	4.36	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	95	1	1.1%	4.2%	5.3%	16.8%	72.6%	4.56	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	95	1	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	6.3%	89.5%	4.83	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	94	3	0.0%	3.2%	5.3%	19.1%	72.3%	4.61	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	95	2	0.0%	3.2%	5.3%	18.9%	72.6%	4.61	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	54	42	0.0%	3.7%	22.2%	3.7%	70.4%	4.41	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	90	6	2.2%	1.1%	4.4%	7.8%	84.4%	4.71	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	90	5	1.1%	5.6%	4.4%	18.9%	70.0%	4.51	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	91	5	4.4%	6.6%	4.4%	17.6%	67.0%	4.36	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	93	3	1.1%	2.2%	4.3%	15.1%	77.4%	4.66	4.35

William J. Edelman

DISTRICT COURT						W	'illiam	J. Ede	lman
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Edelman	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	102	2	17.6%	20.6%	13.7%	25.5%	22.5%	3.15	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	102	3	13.7%	16.7%	19.6%	23.5%	26.5%	3.32	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	102	4	13.7%	15.7%	13.7%	29.4%	27.5%	3.41	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	103	3	1.9%	1.9%	6.8%	36.9%	52.4%	4.36	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	103	4	12.6%	21.4%	16.5%	25.2%	24.3%	3.27	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	104	3	42.3%	15.4%	6.7%	11.5%	24.0%	2.60	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	50	56	4.0%	8.0%	42.0%	16.0%	30.0%	3.60	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	96	10	11.5%	10.4%	16.7%	26.0%	35.4%	3.64	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	99	8	15.2%	20.2%	10.1%	27.3%	27.3%	3.31	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	104	3	26.9%	22.1%	16.3%	14.4%	20.2%	2.79	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	98	7	22.4%	12.2%	16.3%	19.4%	29.6%	3.21	4.35
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	100						Favor	51.0%	86.4%
this judge for another term?	100						Oppose	49.0%	13.6%

John G. Fenn

DISTRICT COURT							Jo	ohn G.	Fenn
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Lenu Average	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	121	1	0.8%	3.3%	3.3%	17.4%	75.2%	4.63	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	121	1	2.5%	4.1%	5.0%	24.0%	64.5%	4.44	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	120	2	0.0%	4.2%	2.5%	21.7%	71.7%	4.61	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	120	2	0.0%	2.5%	3.3%	19.2%	75.0%	4.67	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	119	3	2.5%	2.5%	5.0%	21.0%	68.9%	4.51	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	119	3	1.7%	0.8%	3.4%	22.7%	71.4%	4.61	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	76	46	0.0%	0.0%	18.4%	13.2%	68.4%	4.50	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	117	5	1.7%	0.9%	3.4%	10.3%	83.8%	4.74	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	117	5	0.9%	6.0%	6.0%	15.4%	71.8%	4.51	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	119	3	1.7%	5.0%	0.8%	28.6%	63.9%	4.48	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	119	3	0.8%	1.7%	3.4%	17.6%	76.5%	4.67	4.35
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	118						Favor	93.2%	86.4%
this judge for another term?							Oppose	6.8%	13.6%

Daniel L. Forgey

DISTRICT COURT Daniel L. Forgey												
nber of dents	nion	gly rove	vhat rove	pprove	/hat ove	gly	Average					
Total Num Respond	iidO oN	Stron	Somew Disapp	Neither Ap	Somew Appro	Stron Appro	Judge For	District Court				
100	2	1.0%	4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	51.0%	4.32	4.15				
100	2	2.0%	3.0%	5.0%	33.0%	57.0%	4.40	4.05				
101	1	0.0%	5.9%	4.0%	25.7%	64.4%	4.49	4.26				
101	0	0.0%	4.0%	3.0%	19.8%	73.3%	4.62	4.39				
97	4	1.0%	6.2%	5.2%	32.0%	55.7%	4.35	4.15				
97	5	3.1%	7.2%	4.1%	30.9%	54.6%	4.27	4.03				
50	51	0.0%	2.0%	34.0%	22.0%	42.0%	4.04	4.14				
96	5	1.0%	1.0%	7.3%	15.6%	75.0%	4.63	4.44				
95	6	3.2%	6.3%	6.3%	30.5%	53.7%	4.25	4.12				
98	3	5.1%	5.1%	7.1%	32.7%	50.0%	4.17	4.02				
99	1	0.0%	3.0%	4.0%	21.2%	71.7%	4.62	4.35				
101								86.4% 13.6%				
	100 101 101 97 97 50 96 95 98	100 2 100 2 101 1 101 0 97 4 97 5 50 51 96 5 95 6 98 3 99 1	100 2 1.0% 100 2 2.0% 101 1 0.0% 101 0 0.0% 97 4 1.0% 97 5 3.1% 50 51 0.0% 96 5 1.0% 95 6 3.2% 98 3 5.1% 99 1 0.0%	100 2 1.0% 4.0% 100 2 2.0% 3.0% 101 1 0.0% 5.9% 101 0 0.0% 4.0% 97 4 1.0% 6.2% 97 5 3.1% 7.2% 50 51 0.0% 2.0% 96 5 1.0% 1.0% 95 6 3.2% 6.3% 98 3 5.1% 5.1% 99 1 0.0% 3.0%	100 2 1.0% 4.0% 8.0% 100 2 2.0% 3.0% 5.0% 101 1 0.0% 5.9% 4.0% 101 0 0.0% 4.0% 3.0% 97 4 1.0% 6.2% 5.2% 97 5 3.1% 7.2% 4.1% 50 51 0.0% 2.0% 34.0% 96 5 1.0% 1.0% 7.3% 95 6 3.2% 6.3% 6.3% 98 3 5.1% 5.1% 7.1% 99 1 0.0% 3.0% 4.0%	100 2 1.0% 4.0% 8.0% 36.0% 100 2 2.0% 3.0% 5.0% 33.0% 101 1 0.0% 5.9% 4.0% 25.7% 101 0 0.0% 4.0% 3.0% 19.8% 97 4 1.0% 6.2% 5.2% 32.0% 97 5 3.1% 7.2% 4.1% 30.9% 50 51 0.0% 2.0% 34.0% 22.0% 96 5 1.0% 1.0% 7.3% 15.6% 95 6 3.2% 6.3% 6.3% 30.5% 98 3 5.1% 5.1% 7.1% 32.7% 99 1 0.0% 3.0% 4.0% 21.2%	100 2 1.0% 4.0% 8.0% 36.0% 51.0% 100 2 2.0% 3.0% 5.0% 33.0% 57.0% 101 1 0.0% 5.9% 4.0% 25.7% 64.4% 101 0 0.0% 4.0% 3.0% 19.8% 73.3% 97 4 1.0% 6.2% 5.2% 32.0% 55.7% 97 5 3.1% 7.2% 4.1% 30.9% 54.6% 50 51 0.0% 2.0% 34.0% 22.0% 42.0% 96 5 1.0% 1.0% 7.3% 15.6% 75.0% 95 6 3.2% 6.3% 6.3% 30.5% 53.7% 98 3 5.1% 5.1% 7.1% 32.7% 50.0% 99 1 0.0% 3.0% 4.0% 21.2% 71.7%	100 2 1.0% 4.0% 8.0% 36.0% 51.0% 4.32 100 2 2.0% 3.0% 5.0% 33.0% 57.0% 4.40 101 1 0.0% 5.9% 4.0% 25.7% 64.4% 4.49 101 0 0.0% 4.0% 3.0% 19.8% 73.3% 4.62 97 4 1.0% 6.2% 5.2% 32.0% 55.7% 4.35 97 5 3.1% 7.2% 4.1% 30.9% 54.6% 4.27 50 51 0.0% 2.0% 34.0% 22.0% 42.0% 4.04 96 5 1.0% 1.0% 7.3% 15.6% 75.0% 4.63 98 3 5.1% 5.1% 7.1% 32.7% 50.0% 4.17 99 1 0.0% 3.0% 4.0% 21.2% 71.7% 4.62 101				

Peter H. Froelicher

DISTRICT COURT						P	eter H	. Froel	licher
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Froelicher	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	96	7	6.3%	1.0%	8.3%	34.4%	50.0%	4.21	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	97	6	3.1%	5.2%	5.2%	28.9%	57.7%	4.33	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	96	7	3.1%	3.1%	8.3%	22.9%	62.5%	4.39	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	98	4	4.1%	5.1%	4.1%	18.4%	68.4%	4.42	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	95	8	3.2%	1.1%	13.7%	28.4%	53.7%	4.28	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	98	5	3.1%	2.0%	9.2%	24.5%	61.2%	4.39	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	48	53	6.3%	2.1%	31.3%	12.5%	47.9%	3.94	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	96	6	3.1%	0.0%	7.3%	14.6%	75.0%	4.58	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	91	11	5.5%	1.1%	11.0%	31.9%	50.5%	4.21	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	93	9	4.3%	2.2%	8.6%	33.3%	51.6%	4.26	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	98	4	4.1%	0.0%	6.1%	20.4%	69.4%	4.51	4.35
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	98						Favor	94.9%	86.4%
this judge for another term?							Oppose	5.1%	13.6%

Kerri M. Johnson

DISTRICT COURT							Kerri	M. Joh	nson
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average long long long long long long long long	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	83	4	0.0%	4.8%	6.0%	27.7%	61.4%	4.46	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	83	4	0.0%	3.6%	7.2%	22.9%	66.3%	4.52	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	84	3	1.2%	1.2%	3.6%	14.3%	79.8%	4.70	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	84	3	0.0%	1.2%	1.2%	17.9%	79.8%	4.76	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	84	3	1.2%	3.6%	8.3%	17.9%	69.0%	4.50	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	82	5	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	22.0%	73.2%	4.66	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	44	43	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	11.4%	70.5%	4.52	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	83	4	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	14.5%	81.9%	4.78	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	83	4	0.0%	1.2%	8.4%	26.5%	63.9%	4.53	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	79	8	1.3%	5.1%	6.3%	19.0%	68.4%	4.48	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	84	3	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	17.9%	77.4%	4.70	4.35
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	85						Favor	95.3%	86.4%
this judge for another term?							Oppose	4.7%	13.6%

Patrick W. Korell

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Patrick W. Korel											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Korell	District Court			
Knowledge of the law.	102	3	4.9%	8.8%	6.9%	44.1%	35.3%	3.96	4.15			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	100	4	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	30.0%	54.0%	4.22	4.05			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	101	4	3.0%	4.0%	3.0%	24.8%	65.3%	4.46	4.26			
Courteousness and politeness.	104	1	1.0%	1.0%	2.9%	9.6%	85.6%	4.78	4.39			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	101	4	8.9%	7.9%	8.9%	30.7%	43.6%	3.92	4.15			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	101	3	33.7%	14.9%	5.9%	15.8%	29.7%	2.93	4.03			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	52	52	0.0%	0.0%	40.4%	15.4%	44.2%	4.04	4.14			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	99	6	4.0%	5.1%	8.1%	15.2%	67.7%	4.37	4.44			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	101	4	2.0%	8.9%	11.9%	41.6%	35.6%	4.00	4.12			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	99	6	8.1%	8.1%	10.1%	38.4%	35.4%	3.85	4.02			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	101	4	7.9%	5.9%	6.9%	27.7%	51.5%	4.09	4.35			

Tori R.A. Kricken

DISTRICT COURT							Tori R	R.A. Kr	icken
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Kricken	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	133	4	4.5%	7.5%	8.3%	24.1%	55.6%	4.19	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	134	3	6.7%	11.2%	11.2%	19.4%	51.5%	3.98	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	133	3	4.5%	6.0%	6.0%	23.3%	60.2%	4.29	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	133	4	2.3%	0.8%	3.8%	15.8%	77.4%	4.65	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	133	4	2.3%	6.0%	9.0%	21.1%	61.7%	4.34	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	134	3	0.7%	1.5%	7.5%	19.4%	70.9%	4.58	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	72	64	1.4%	2.8%	27.8%	5.6%	62.5%	4.25	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	124	11	5.6%	8.9%	12.9%	14.5%	58.1%	4.10	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	129	8	3.1%	13.2%	7.0%	26.4%	50.4%	4.08	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	131	6	5.3%	6.9%	8.4%	25.2%	54.2%	4.16	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	133	4	1.5%	4.5%	8.3%	15.0%	70.7%	4.49	4.35

Richard L. Lavery

DISTRICT COURT							Richai	rd L. Lo	avery
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Panery	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	98	3	1.0%	7.1%	4.1%	35.7%	52.0%	4.31	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	99	3	1.0%	10.1%	6.1%	29.3%	53.5%	4.24	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	99	3	2.0%	2.0%	6.1%	24.2%	65.7%	4.49	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	102	0	2.0%	2.9%	2.9%	19.6%	72.5%	4.58	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	96	6	2.1%	5.2%	6.3%	28.1%	58.3%	4.35	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	97	5	2.1%	7.2%	7.2%	28.9%	54.6%	4.27	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	59	43	0.0%	3.4%	23.7%	22.0%	50.8%	4.20	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	96	6	0.0%	1.0%	9.4%	20.8%	68.8%	4.57	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	95	7	2.1%	8.4%	7.4%	26.3%	55.8%	4.25	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	98	4	2.0%	10.2%	9.2%	26.5%	52.0%	4.16	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	96	6	1.0%	3.1%	6.3%	28.1%	61.5%	4.46	4.35
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	97						Favor	91.8%	86.4%
this judge for another term?				-			Oppose	8.2%	13.6%

Bobbi D. Overfield

DISTRICT COURT						В	obbi E	D. Ove	rfield
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Overfield	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	72	3	1.4%	4.2%	12.5%	41.7%	40.3%	4.15	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	73	2	1.4%	11.0%	16.4%	26.0%	45.2%	4.03	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	74	1	1.4%	5.4%	9.5%	33.8%	50.0%	4.26	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	74	1	1.4%	5.4%	5.4%	27.0%	60.8%	4.41	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	72	3	1.4%	6.9%	11.1%	30.6%	50.0%	4.21	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	73	2	4.1%	6.8%	8.2%	28.8%	52.1%	4.18	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	40	35	2.5%	0.0%	27.5%	7.5%	62.5%	4.28	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	72	3	1.4%	1.4%	9.7%	19.4%	68.1%	4.51	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	71	4	2.8%	5.6%	14.1%	35.2%	42.3%	4.08	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	70	5	2.9%	5.7%	11.4%	37.1%	42.9%	4.11	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	72	3	2.8%	1.4%	6.9%	27.8%	61.1%	4.43	4.35
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	71						Favor	90.1%	86.4%
this judge for another term?							Oppose	9.9%	13.6%

F. Scott Peasley

DISTRICT COURT F. Scott Peasley										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Peasley	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	111	5	1.8%	4.5%	2.7%	37.8%	53.2%	4.36	4.15	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	111	5	0.9%	4.5%	2.7%	27.9%	64.0%	4.50	4.05	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	111	5	0.0%	1.8%	4.5%	24.3%	69.4%	4.61	4.26	
Courteousness and politeness.	113	3	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	15.9%	80.5%	4.77	4.39	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	110	6	1.8%	0.9%	7.3%	27.3%	62.7%	4.48	4.15	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	113	3	2.7%	6.2%	5.3%	23.0%	62.8%	4.37	4.03	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	53	62	0.0%	0.0%	26.4%	9.4%	64.2%	4.38	4.14	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	108	7	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	14.8%	78.7%	4.72	4.44	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	106	10	0.9%	5.7%	8.5%	32.1%	52.8%	4.30	4.12	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	107	9	2.8%	1.9%	9.3%	29.9%	56.1%	4.35	4.02	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	108	7	0.9%	0.9%	6.5%	20.4%	71.3%	4.60	4.35	

John R. Perry

DISTRICT COURT John R. Perry											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Perry	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	91	1	1.1%	4.4%	6.6%	14.3%	73.6%	4.55	4.15		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	89	3	2.2%	5.6%	4.5%	19.1%	68.5%	4.46	4.05		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	90	2	1.1%	3.3%	5.6%	15.6%	74.4%	4.59	4.26		
Courteousness and politeness.	92	0	2.2%	6.5%	3.3%	10.9%	77.2%	4.54	4.39		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	91	1	0.0%	5.5%	4.4%	20.9%	69.2%	4.54	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	91	1	2.2%	5.5%	8.8%	15.4%	68.1%	4.42	4.03		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	57	35	0.0%	7.0%	15.8%	5.3%	71.9%	4.42	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	90	2	2.2%	3.3%	5.6%	10.0%	78.9%	4.60	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	90	2	1.1%	3.3%	4.4%	18.9%	72.2%	4.58	4.12		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	91	1	2.2%	6.6%	3.3%	18.7%	69.2%	4.46	4.02		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	89	2	1.1%	4.5%	5.6%	16.9%	71.9%	4.54	4.35		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	00						Favor	91.1%	86.4%		
this judge for another term?	90						Oppose	8.9%	13.6%		

Suzannah G. Robinson

DISTRICT COURT Suzannah G. Robins										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Soprement Average Robinson Propinson	District Court	
Knowledge of the law.	56	3	17.9%	16.1%	23.2%	25.0%	17.9%	3.09	4.15	
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	57	2	15.8%	10.5%	19.3%	22.8%	31.6%	3.44	4.05	
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	58	1	13.8%	6.9%	5.2%	32.8%	41.4%	3.81	4.26	
Courteousness and politeness.	56	3	8.9%	5.4%	14.3%	25.0%	46.4%	3.95	4.39	
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	56	3	14.3%	7.1%	19.6%	28.6%	30.4%	3.54	4.15	
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	57	2	17.5%	8.8%	17.5%	24.6%	31.6%	3.44	4.03	
Participation in law-related professional activities.	28	31	14.3%	0.0%	42.9%	17.9%	25.0%	3.39	4.14	
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	52	7	17.3%	3.8%	23.1%	19.2%	36.5%	3.54	4.44	
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	56	3	16.1%	12.5%	10.7%	39.3%	21.4%	3.38	4.12	
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	55	4	12.7%	12.7%	16.4%	32.7%	25.5%	3.45	4.02	
Preparedness for court proceedings.	57	2	14.0%	7.0%	12.3%	29.8%	36.8%	3.68	4.35	
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	57						Favor	66.7%	86.4%	
this judge for another term?	<u> </u>			-			Oppose	33.3%	13.6%	

Catherine R. Rogers

DISTRICT COURT Catherine R. Rogers											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rogers	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	137	3	8.0%	13.1%	6.6%	38.0%	34.3%	3.77	4.15		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	140	1	12.1%	15.7%	12.1%	27.9%	32.1%	3.52	4.05		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	136	3	11.8%	11.0%	8.1%	26.5%	42.6%	3.77	4.26		
Courteousness and politeness.	139	2	13.7%	13.7%	12.2%	24.5%	36.0%	3.55	4.39		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	136	3	7.4%	14.7%	8.1%	33.8%	36.0%	3.76	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	137	3	19.7%	13.9%	7.3%	25.5%	33.6%	3.39	4.03		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	78	62	7.7%	3.8%	23.1%	19.2%	46.2%	3.92	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	133	6	6.0%	6.8%	7.5%	16.5%	63.2%	4.24	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	132	6	10.6%	12.1%	7.6%	31.1%	38.6%	3.75	4.12		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	134	5	10.4%	13.4%	9.7%	31.3%	35.1%	3.67	4.02		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	134	5	6.0%	8.2%	8.2%	23.9%	53.7%	4.11	4.35		

Thomas W. Rumpke

DISTRICT COURT Thomas W. Rumpke											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Rumpke	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	121	4	5.0%	6.6%	2.5%	20.7%	65.3%	4.35	4.15		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	123	2	11.4%	9.8%	7.3%	25.2%	46.3%	3.85	4.05		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	122	3	4.9%	9.0%	8.2%	20.5%	57.4%	4.16	4.26		
Courteousness and politeness.	122	3	12.3%	8.2%	13.1%	19.7%	46.7%	3.80	4.39		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	119	5	6.7%	6.7%	13.4%	21.8%	51.3%	4.04	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	119	5	5.0%	1.7%	10.9%	19.3%	63.0%	4.34	4.03		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	72	51	2.8%	0.0%	23.6%	13.9%	59.7%	4.28	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	116	7	4.3%	0.0%	7.8%	16.4%	71.6%	4.51	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	117	7	4.3%	6.0%	7.7%	20.5%	61.5%	4.29	4.12		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	118	6	7.6%	9.3%	5.1%	21.2%	56.8%	4.10	4.02		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	115	6	2.6%	1.7%	6.1%	19.1%	70.4%	4.53	4.35		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	440						Favor	79.7%	86.4%		
this judge for another term?	118						Oppose	20.3%	13.6%		

Steven K. Sharpe

DISTRICT COURT Steven K. Sharpe											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sharpe	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	136	1	2.9%	3.7%	3.7%	27.9%	61.8%	4.42	<u>ة</u> 4.15		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	136	2	3.7%	5.1%	2.9%	25.7%	62.5%	4.38	4.05		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	137	1	1.5%	2.9%	5.8%	23.4%	66.4%	4.50	4.26		
Courteousness and politeness.	135	1	1.5%	1.5%	0.7%	12.6%	83.7%	4.76	4.39		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	136	2	1.5%	2.9%	5.1%	27.2%	63.2%	4.48	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	135	3	1.5%	2.2%	3.7%	26.7%	65.9%	4.53	4.03		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	87	51	2.3%	1.1%	16.1%	16.1%	64.4%	4.39	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	134	4	2.2%	0.7%	5.2%	13.4%	78.4%	4.65	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	133	5	1.5%	4.5%	6.0%	30.1%	57.9%	4.38	4.12		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	136	2	2.9%	5.1%	8.1%	26.5%	57.4%	4.30	4.02		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	135	3	1.5%	4.4%	3.7%	21.5%	68.9%	4.52	4.35		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	136						Favor	93.4%	86.4%		
this judge for another term?							Oppose	6.6%	13.6%		

William L. Simpson

DISTRICT COURT						W	'illiam	L. Sim	pson
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Simbson	District Court
Knowledge of the law.	103	5	2.9%	12.6%	8.7%	34.0%	41.7%	3.99	4.15
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	102	5	6.9%	10.8%	7.8%	27.5%	47.1%	3.97	4.05
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	103	2	6.8%	9.7%	2.9%	26.2%	54.4%	4.12	4.26
Courteousness and politeness.	105	1	1.9%	3.8%	1.0%	14.3%	79.0%	4.65	4.39
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	104	2	9.6%	11.5%	6.7%	31.7%	40.4%	3.82	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	102	4	14.7%	11.8%	10.8%	29.4%	33.3%	3.55	4.03
Participation in law-related professional activities.	54	50	3.7%	0.0%	37.0%	24.1%	35.2%	3.87	4.14
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	96	9	8.3%	4.2%	11.5%	18.8%	57.3%	4.13	4.44
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	99	7	9.1%	14.1%	11.1%	24.2%	41.4%	3.75	4.12
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	100	6	12.0%	13.0%	8.0%	27.0%	40.0%	3.70	4.02
Preparedness for court proceedings.	104	2	4.8%	9.6%	6.7%	33.7%	45.2%	4.05	4.35

Dawnessa A. Snyder

DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT COURT Dawnessa A. Snyder										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Sudder Sudder	District Court		
Knowledge of the law.	107	4	2.8%	6.5%	7.5%	37.4%	45.8%	4.17	4.15		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	109	2	4.6%	6.4%	5.5%	31.2%	52.3%	4.20	4.05		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	108	3	3.7%	6.5%	5.6%	21.3%	63.0%	4.33	4.26		
Courteousness and politeness.	109	2	5.5%	1.8%	5.5%	18.3%	68.8%	4.43	4.39		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	109	2	2.8%	7.3%	10.1%	25.7%	54.1%	4.21	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	109	2	2.8%	2.8%	5.5%	25.7%	63.3%	4.44	4.03		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	60	50	1.7%	1.7%	23.3%	10.0%	63.3%	4.32	4.14		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	103	8	3.9%	2.9%	7.8%	17.5%	68.0%	4.43	4.44		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	107	4	4.7%	7.5%	10.3%	27.1%	50.5%	4.11	4.12		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	106	4	4.7%	7.5%	10.4%	28.3%	49.1%	4.09	4.02		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	106	4	1.9%	2.8%	7.5%	19.8%	67.9%	4.49	4.35		

Marvin L. Tyler

DISTRICT COURT Marvin L. Ty									
Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Tyler Average	District Court	
111	0	4.5%	7.2%	3.6%	36.0%	48.6%	4.17	4.15	
111	0	9.0%	13.5%	7.2%	28.8%	41.4%	3.80	4.05	
110	1	9.1%	7.3%	8.2%	22.7%	52.7%	4.03	4.26	
109	2	6.4%	12.8%	1.8%	30.3%	48.6%	4.02	4.39	
109	2	1.8%	10.1%	3.7%	40.4%	44.0%	4.15	4.15	
109	2	3.7%	8.3%	10.1%	35.8%	42.2%	4.05	4.03	
59	50	5.1%	1.7%	45.8%	22.0%	25.4%	3.61	4.14	
105	6	1.9%	1.9%	7.6%	22.9%	65.7%	4.49	4.44	
108	2	4.6%	4.6%	9.3%	34.3%	47.2%	4.15	4.12	
108	3	6.5%	9.3%	4.6%	32.4%	47.2%	4.05	4.02	
108	3	0.9%	0.0%	7.4%	30.6%	61.1%	4.51	4.35	
	111 111 110 109 109 59 105 108	111 0 111 0 111 0 110 1 109 2 109 2 109 2 59 50 105 6 108 2 108 3	111 0 4.5% 111 0 9.0% 110 1 9.1% 109 2 6.4% 109 2 1.8% 9 109 2 3.7% 59 50 5.1% 105 6 1.9% 108 2 4.6% 108 3 6.5%	111 0 4.5% 7.2% 111 0 9.0% 13.5% 110 1 9.1% 7.3% 109 2 6.4% 12.8% 109 2 1.8% 10.1% 8 109 2 3.7% 8.3% 59 50 5.1% 1.7% 105 6 1.9% 1.9% 108 2 4.6% 4.6% 108 3 6.5% 9.3%	111 0 4.5% 7.2% 3.6% 111 0 9.0% 13.5% 7.2% 110 1 9.1% 7.3% 8.2% 109 2 6.4% 12.8% 1.8% 109 2 1.8% 10.1% 3.7% 8 109 2 3.7% 8.3% 10.1% 59 50 5.1% 1.7% 45.8% 105 6 1.9% 1.9% 7.6% 108 2 4.6% 4.6% 9.3% 108 3 6.5% 9.3% 4.6%	111 0 4.5% 7.2% 3.6% 36.0% 111 0 9.0% 13.5% 7.2% 28.8% 110 1 9.1% 7.3% 8.2% 22.7% 109 2 6.4% 12.8% 1.8% 30.3% 109 2 1.8% 10.1% 3.7% 40.4% 5 109 2 3.7% 8.3% 10.1% 35.8% 59 50 5.1% 1.7% 45.8% 22.0% 105 6 1.9% 1.9% 7.6% 22.9% 108 2 4.6% 4.6% 9.3% 34.3% 108 3 6.5% 9.3% 4.6% 32.4%	To you have been been been been been been been be	111 0 4.5% 7.2% 3.6% 36.0% 48.6% 4.17	

Catherine E. Wilking

					Cat	herine	E. W	ilking
Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Milking Milking	District Court
111	4	0.9%	5.4%	4.5%	33.3%	55.9%	4.38	4.15
110	5	1.8%	10.0%	10.9%	28.2%	49.1%	4.13	4.05
111	4	3.6%	6.3%	4.5%	25.2%	60.4%	4.32	4.26
111	4	2.7%	8.1%	1.8%	25.2%	62.2%	4.36	4.39
110	5	0.9%	4.5%	7.3%	25.5%	61.8%	4.43	4.15
110	5	0.9%	2.7%	4.5%	25.5%	66.4%	4.54	4.03
59	55	1.7%	0.0%	15.3%	11.9%	71.2%	4.51	4.14
105	10	1.0%	1.9%	5.7%	14.3%	77.1%	4.65	4.44
104	11	1.9%	6.7%	9.6%	31.7%	50.0%	4.21	4.12
110	5	3.6%	4.5%	7.3%	33.6%	50.9%	4.24	4.02
109	5	1.8%	0.9%	3.7%	18.3%	75.2%	4.64	4.35
	111 110 111 111 111 110 59 105 104	111 4 110 5 111 4 111 4 111 5 110 5 110 5 100 10 104 11 110 5	111 4 0.9% 110 5 1.8% 111 4 3.6% 111 4 2.7% 110 5 0.9% 5 110 5 0.9% 59 55 1.7% 105 10 1.0% 104 11 1.9% 110 5 3.6%	111 4 0.9% 5.4% 110 5 1.8% 10.0% 111 4 3.6% 6.3% 111 4 2.7% 8.1% 110 5 0.9% 4.5% 110 5 0.9% 2.7% 59 55 1.7% 0.0% 105 10 1.0% 1.9% 104 11 1.9% 6.7% 110 5 3.6% 4.5%	111 4 0.9% 5.4% 4.5% 110 5 1.8% 10.0% 10.9% 111 4 3.6% 6.3% 4.5% 111 4 2.7% 8.1% 1.8% 110 5 0.9% 4.5% 7.3% 110 5 0.9% 2.7% 4.5% 59 55 1.7% 0.0% 15.3% 105 10 1.0% 1.9% 5.7% 104 11 1.9% 6.7% 9.6% 110 5 3.6% 4.5% 7.3%	111 4 0.9% 5.4% 4.5% 33.3% 110 5 0.9% 2.7% 4.5% 25.5% 100 10.9% 10.9% 10.9% 28.5% 100 10.9% 28.5% 110 5 0.9% 2.7% 4.5% 25.5% 100 10.9%	To agund To agund	111

CIRCUIT COURT RESULTS

Wendy M. Bartlett

CIRCUIT COURT Wendy M. Bartlett											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Bartlett	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	38	0	2.6%	5.3%	15.8%	23.7%	52.6%	4.18	4.01		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	38	0	5.3%	10.5%	7.9%	23.7%	52.6%	4.08	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	38	0	2.6%	5.3%	10.5%	18.4%	63.2%	4.34	4.19		
Courteousness and politeness.	38	0	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	15.8%	68.4%	4.37	4.33		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	38	0	2.6%	2.6%	13.2%	13.2%	68.4%	4.42	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	36	2	2.8%	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%	72.2%	4.56	4.28		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	22	16	0.0%	0.0%	36.4%	13.6%	50.0%	4.14	4.13		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	37	0	0.0%	2.7%	10.8%	13.5%	73.0%	4.57	4.38		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	37	1	2.7%	8.1%	10.8%	27.0%	51.4%	4.16	4.00		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	35	3	2.9%	8.6%	11.4%	22.9%	54.3%	4.17	3.99		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	37	1	2.7%	0.0%	8.1%	16.2%	73.0%	4.57	4.34		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	39						Favor	84.6%	84.0%		
this judge for another term?							Oppose	15.4%	16.0%		

H. Steven Brown

CIRCUIT COURT							H. Ste	ven B	rown
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average	
	Total N Respo	No N	Str	Som	Neithe nor Di	Som	Str	Judge Brown	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	38	1	7.9%	18.4%	7.9%	31.6%	34.2%	3.66	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	38	1	7.9%	13.2%	10.5%	21.1%	47.4%	3.87	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	38	1	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	15.8%	52.6%	4.00	4.19
Courteousness and politeness.	39	0	2.6%	0.0%	5.1%	17.9%	74.4%	4.62	4.33
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	38	1	0.0%	10.5%	23.7%	18.4%	47.4%	4.03	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	38	1	0.0%	7.9%	18.4%	31.6%	42.1%	4.08	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	21	18	0.0%	0.0%	23.8%	23.8%	52.4%	4.29	4.13
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	37	2	0.0%	10.8%	16.2%	18.9%	54.1%	4.16	4.38
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	38	1	13.2%	10.5%	15.8%	23.7%	36.8%	3.61	4.00
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	38	1	10.5%	18.4%	13.2%	15.8%	42.1%	3.61	3.99
Preparedness for court proceedings.	37	1	5.4%	8.1%	16.2%	21.6%	48.6%	4.00	4.34
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	38						Favor	71.1%	84.0%
this judge for another term?	50						Oppose	28.9%	16.0%

I. Vincent Case Jr.

CIRCUIT COURT						ı	l. Vinc	ent Ca	se Jr.
	of s		a)	H (1)	ve			Average	Scores
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Case	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	27	1	3.7%	7.4%	3.7%	25.9%	59.3%	4.30	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	27	1	3.7%	14.8%	0.0%	25.9%	55.6%	4.15	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	27	1	3.7%	18.5%	3.7%	14.8%	59.3%	4.07	4.19
Courteousness and politeness.	27	1	7.4%	0.0%	7.4%	22.2%	63.0%	4.33	4.33
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	27	1	3.7%	18.5%	0.0%	18.5%	59.3%	4.11	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	27	1	7.4%	3.7%	7.4%	18.5%	63.0%	4.26	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	12	16	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	8.3%	66.7%	4.42	4.13
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	27	1	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	37.0%	59.3%	4.48	4.38
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	27	1	3.7%	3.7%	14.8%	25.9%	51.9%	4.19	4.00
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	27	1	7.4%	11.1%	7.4%	25.9%	48.1%	3.96	3.99
Preparedness for court proceedings.	27	1	3.7%	11.1%	3.7%	25.9%	55.6%	4.19	4.34

Matthew F.G. Castano

CIRCUIT COURT						Matti	hew F.	.G. Cas	stano
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Castano	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	44	0	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%	34.1%	61.4%	4.55	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	44	0	2.3%	2.3%	0.0%	20.5%	75.0%	4.64	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	44	0	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	9.1%	88.6%	4.84	4.19
Courteousness and politeness.	44	0	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%	13.6%	81.8%	4.75	4.33
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	44	0	2.3%	0.0%	4.5%	18.2%	75.0%	4.64	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	44	0	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%	20.5%	70.5%	4.57	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	24	20	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	16.7%	58.3%	4.33	4.13
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	42	2	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	21.4%	73.8%	4.67	4.38
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	43	1	0.0%	2.3%	4.7%	34.9%	58.1%	4.49	4.00
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	44	0	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	31.8%	59.1%	4.43	3.99
Preparedness for court proceedings.	44	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	25.0%	70.5%	4.66	4.34
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	44						Favor	100.0%	84.0%
this judge for another term?							Oppose	0.0%	16.0%

Sean C. Chambers

CIRCUIT COURT Sean C. Chambers										
Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Chambers	Circuit Court							
23.8%	42.9%	3.95	4.01							
23.8%	52.4%	4.19	3.97							
33.3%	57.1%	4.38	4.19							
25.0%	65.0%	4.55	4.33							
31.6%	47.4%	4.11	4.15							
25.0%	55.0%	4.25	4.28							
0.0%	50.0%	3.80	4.13							
33.3%	52.4%	4.29	4.38							
30.0%	45.0%	4.10	4.00							
31.6%	47.4%	4.16	3.99							
19.0%	52.4%	4.14	4.34							
	31.6%	31.6% 47.4%	31.6% 47.4% 4.16							

Brian D. Christensen

CIRCUIT COURT						Brid	an D. (Christe	ensen
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Christensen Christensen	Circuit Court
Knowledge of the law.	41	0	2.4%	4.9%	7.3%	24.4%	61.0%	4.37	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	41	0	0.0%	7.3%	4.9%	22.0%	65.9%	4.46	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	41	0	0.0%	4.9%	2.4%	17.1%	75.6%	4.63	4.19
Courteousness and politeness.	41	0	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	9.8%	85.4%	4.80	4.33
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	40	0	2.5%	2.5%	5.0%	20.0%	70.0%	4.53	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	41	0	0.0%	2.4%	2.4%	29.3%	65.9%	4.59	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	27	14	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	18.5%	70.4%	4.59	4.13
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	39	2	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	10.3%	84.6%	4.79	4.38
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	40	1	2.5%	2.5%	10.0%	22.5%	62.5%	4.40	4.00
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	41	0	2.4%	7.3%	7.3%	19.5%	63.4%	4.34	3.99
Preparedness for court proceedings.	41	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.4%	75.6%	4.76	4.34
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	39						Favor	92.3%	84.0%
this judge for another term?							Oppose	7.7%	16.0%

Gregory S. Corpening

CIRCUIT COURT						Greg	gory S	. Corpe	ening
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Corpening	Scores Court Court
	Total Resp	N O	St Disa	Sor	Neithe nor D	Son	St.	Juc	Circuit
Knowledge of the law.	25	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.0%	76.0%	4.76	4.01
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	15.4%	80.8%	4.77	3.97
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	25	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	92.0%	4.92	4.19
Courteousness and politeness.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	92.3%	4.92	4.33
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	24	1	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	25.0%	70.8%	4.67	4.15
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	84.6%	4.85	4.28
Participation in law-related professional activities.	14	11	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	28.6%	64.3%	4.57	4.13
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	24	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	91.7%	4.92	4.38
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	23	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	34.8%	65.2%	4.65	4.00
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	23	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.7%	78.3%	4.78	3.99
Preparedness for court proceedings.	26	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	92.3%	4.92	4.34
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	25						Favor	100.0%	84.0%
this judge for another term?							Oppose	0.0%	16.0%

Shelley A. Cundiff

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Shelley A. Cundiff										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	48	0	14.6%	14.6%	6.3%	35.4%	29.2%	3.50	4.01		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	48	0	12.5%	8.3%	10.4%	25.0%	43.8%	3.79	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	48	0	4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	29.2%	45.8%	4.08	4.19		
Courteousness and politeness.	48	0	12.5%	8.3%	6.3%	25.0%	47.9%	3.88	4.33		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	48	0	8.3%	4.2%	16.7%	33.3%	37.5%	3.88	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	48	0	14.6%	8.3%	2.1%	27.1%	47.9%	3.85	4.28		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	25	22	0.0%	0.0%	16.0%	24.0%	60.0%	4.44	4.13		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	46	2	8.7%	4.3%	2.2%	28.3%	56.5%	4.20	4.38		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	48	0	12.5%	10.4%	6.3%	35.4%	35.4%	3.71	4.00		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	46	0	10.9%	13.0%	15.2%	21.7%	39.1%	3.65	3.99		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	47	0	4.3%	6.4%	12.8%	29.8%	46.8%	4.09	4.34		
_											

Robert B. Denhardt

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Robert B. Denh												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Denhardt	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	28	1	0.0%	17.9%	14.3%	25.0%	42.9%	3.93	4.01				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	28	1	3.6%	0.0%	14.3%	39.3%	42.9%	4.18	3.97				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	28	1	0.0%	3.6%	14.3%	25.0%	57.1%	4.36	4.19				
Courteousness and politeness.	28	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32.1%	67.9%	4.68	4.33				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	26	3	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	46.2%	42.3%	4.31	4.15				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	28	1	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%	28.6%	60.7%	4.39	4.28				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	14	15	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	7.1%	35.7%	3.79	4.13				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	25	4	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	28.0%	68.0%	4.64	4.38				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	27	2	7.4%	11.1%	11.1%	18.5%	51.9%	3.96	4.00				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	28	1	3.6%	10.7%	3.6%	42.9%	39.3%	4.04	3.99				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	28	1	3.6%	3.6%	7.1%	21.4%	64.3%	4.39	4.34				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	28						Favor	89.3%	84.0%				
this judge for another term?							Oppose	10.7%	16.0%				

Michael L. Greer

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Michael L. Gr												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Babon Average	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	26	2	0.0%	7.7%	11.5%	23.1%	57.7%	4.31	4.01				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	26	2	7.7%	3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	65.4%	4.23	3.97				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	27	1	7.4%	7.4%	3.7%	14.8%	66.7%	4.26	4.19				
Courteousness and politeness.	27	1	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	7.4%	85.2%	4.78	4.33				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	27	1	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	29.6%	59.3%	4.37	4.15				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	27	1	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	66.7%	4.56	4.28				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	14	14	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%	4.57	4.13				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	23	5	0.0%	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	87.0%	4.74	4.38				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	25	3	0.0%	12.0%	8.0%	12.0%	68.0%	4.36	4.00				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	25	3	0.0%	8.0%	8.0%	20.0%	64.0%	4.40	3.99				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	27	1	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	14.8%	77.8%	4.70	4.34				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	28						Favor	92.9%	84.0%				
this judge for another term?	∠ŏ						Oppose	7.1%	16.0%				

Curt A. Haws

CIRCUIT COURT Curt A. Ha												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average SweH aws H age	Scores Court			
Knowledge of the law.	43	2	4.7%	2.3%	7.0%	20.9%	65.1%	4.40	4.01			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	44	1	4.5%	6.8%	2.3%	20.5%	65.9%	4.36	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	44	1	2.3%	4.5%	4.5%	20.5%	68.2%	4.48	4.19			
Courteousness and politeness.	44	1	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	4.5%	93.2%	4.91	4.33			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	43	2	2.3%	2.3%	7.0%	18.6%	69.8%	4.51	4.15			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	44	1	2.3%	2.3%	9.1%	11.4%	75.0%	4.55	4.28			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	27	17	3.7%	0.0%	25.9%	11.1%	59.3%	4.22	4.13			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	42	3	2.4%	2.4%	7.1%	7.1%	81.0%	4.62	4.38			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	42	3	4.8%	0.0%	7.1%	21.4%	66.7%	4.45	4.00			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	44	1	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	20.5%	65.9%	4.39	3.99			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	43	2	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	27.9%	69.8%	4.67	4.34			
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	40						Favor	92.9%	84.0%			
this judge for another term?	42						Oppose	7.1%	16.0%			

Nathaniel S. Hibben

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Nathaniel S. Hibb												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average uage Hippen	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	28	2	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	21.4%	75.0%	4.71	4.01				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	28	2	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	3.6%	89.3%	4.82	3.97				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	29	1	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	17.2%	79.3%	4.72	4.19				
Courteousness and politeness.	29	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%	86.2%	4.86	4.33				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	28	2	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	10.7%	85.7%	4.79	4.15				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	29	1	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	10.3%	86.2%	4.83	4.28				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	20	9	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	20.0%	75.0%	4.70	4.13				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	28	2	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	7.1%	89.3%	4.86	4.38				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	28	2	0.0%	3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	78.6%	4.68	4.00				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	28	2	0.0%	3.6%	3.6%	14.3%	78.6%	4.68	3.99				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	28	2	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	7.1%	89.3%	4.86	4.34				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	30						Favor	100.0%	84.0%				
this judge for another term?							Oppose	0.0%	16.0%				

Craig L. Jones

CIRCUIT COURT Craig L. Jones													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Jones	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	32	0	9.4%	6.3%	3.1%	31.3%	50.0%	4.06	4.01				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	32	0	12.5%	0.0%	15.6%	40.6%	31.3%	3.78	3.97				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	32	0	12.5%	9.4%	9.4%	34.4%	34.4%	3.69	4.19				
Courteousness and politeness.	32	0	12.5%	9.4%	9.4%	40.6%	28.1%	3.63	4.33				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	31	1	9.7%	6.5%	9.7%	35.5%	38.7%	3.87	4.15				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	31	1	6.5%	3.2%	9.7%	25.8%	54.8%	4.19	4.28				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	14	11.1%	0.0%	61.1%	11.1%	16.7%	3.22	4.13				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	30	2	6.7%	3.3%	10.0%	16.7%	63.3%	4.27	4.38				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	31	1	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	32.3%	48.4%	4.10	4.00				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	32	0	9.4%	15.6%	3.1%	31.3%	40.6%	3.78	3.99				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	31	0	6.5%	0.0%	9.7%	35.5%	48.4%	4.19	4.34				

Thomas L. Lee

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Thomas L. L												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Page Lee	Scores Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	63	0	3.2%	12.7%	4.8%	36.5%	42.9%	4.03	4.01				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	63	0	6.3%	20.6%	4.8%	20.6%	47.6%	3.83	3.97				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	63	0	3.2%	17.5%	4.8%	23.8%	50.8%	4.02	4.19				
Courteousness and politeness.	63	0	12.7%	15.9%	7.9%	17.5%	46.0%	3.68	4.33				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	63	0	3.2%	7.9%	7.9%	28.6%	52.4%	4.19	4.15				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	61	2	1.6%	1.6%	8.2%	27.9%	60.7%	4.44	4.28				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	31	32	3.2%	0.0%	29.0%	16.1%	51.6%	4.13	4.13				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	62	1	3.2%	3.2%	17.7%	16.1%	59.7%	4.26	4.38				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	62	1	3.2%	19.4%	11.3%	19.4%	46.8%	3.87	4.00				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	61	2	3.3%	14.8%	9.8%	23.0%	49.2%	4.00	3.99				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	63	0	1.6%	3.2%	7.9%	31.7%	55.6%	4.37	4.34				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	62						Favor	79.0%	84.0%				
this judge for another term?	- JZ						Oppose	21.0%	16.0%				

Edward G. Luhm

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT										
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average muhnlage muhn	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	38	1	13.2%	5.3%	2.6%	36.8%	42.1%	3.89	4.01		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	38	1	15.8%	7.9%	5.3%	18.4%	52.6%	3.84	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	38	1	7.9%	5.3%	5.3%	23.7%	57.9%	4.18	4.19		
Courteousness and politeness.	38	1	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	21.1%	73.7%	4.58	4.33		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	38	1	7.9%	10.5%	5.3%	23.7%	52.6%	4.03	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	38	1	13.2%	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	55.3%	4.00	4.28		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	18	21	11.1%	0.0%	33.3%	16.7%	38.9%	3.72	4.13		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	36	3	2.8%	0.0%	8.3%	5.6%	83.3%	4.67	4.38		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	36	3	13.9%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	44.4%	3.78	4.00		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	37	2	13.5%	2.7%	5.4%	29.7%	48.6%	3.97	3.99		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	38	1	10.5%	5.3%	2.6%	23.7%	57.9%	4.13	4.34		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	40						Favor	87.5%	84.0%		
this judge for another term?							Oppose	12.5%	16.0%		

Michael N. Patchen

CIRCUIT COURT Michael N. Patch											
Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Patchen	Circuit Court			
58	1	10.3%	10.3%	5.2%	31.0%	43.1%	3.86	4.01			
58	1	6.9%	12.1%	5.2%	24.1%	51.7%	4.02	3.97			
57	2	5.3%	7.0%	5.3%	28.1%	54.4%	4.19	4.19			
57	2	1.8%	0.0%	3.5%	21.1%	73.7%	4.65	4.33			
57	1	7.0%	5.3%	8.8%	33.3%	45.6%	4.05	4.15			
57	2	1.8%	1.8%	8.8%	31.6%	56.1%	4.39	4.28			
25	34	4.0%	0.0%	20.0%	24.0%	52.0%	4.20	4.13			
55	4	1.8%	0.0%	3.6%	23.6%	70.9%	4.62	4.38			
56	3	10.7%	10.7%	7.1%	23.2%	48.2%	3.88	4.00			
53	5	11.3%	7.5%	9.4%	20.8%	50.9%	3.92	3.99			
56	3	3.6%	0.0%	10.7%	21.4%	64.3%	4.43	4.34			
	58 58 57 57 57 57 57 25 55 56	58 1 58 1 57 2 57 2 57 1 57 2 25 34 55 4 56 3 53 5	58 1 10.3% 58 1 6.9% 57 2 5.3% 57 2 1.8% 57 1 7.0% 57 2 1.8% 25 34 4.0% 55 4 1.8% 56 3 10.7% 53 5 11.3%	58 1 10.3% 10.3% 58 1 6.9% 12.1% 57 2 5.3% 7.0% 57 2 1.8% 0.0% 57 1 7.0% 5.3% 57 2 1.8% 1.8% 25 34 4.0% 0.0% 55 4 1.8% 0.0% 56 3 10.7% 10.7% 53 5 11.3% 7.5%	58 1 10.3% 10.3% 5.2% 58 1 6.9% 12.1% 5.2% 57 2 5.3% 7.0% 5.3% 57 2 1.8% 0.0% 3.5% 57 1 7.0% 5.3% 8.8% 57 2 1.8% 1.8% 8.8% 25 34 4.0% 0.0% 20.0% 55 4 1.8% 0.0% 3.6% 56 3 10.7% 10.7% 7.1% 53 5 11.3% 7.5% 9.4%	The property of the property	The standard of the standard	Second S			

Paul S. Phillips

CIRCUIT COURT Paul S. Phillips													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Signal Average	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	33	0	0.0%	6.1%	12.1%	24.2%	57.6%	4.33	4.01				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	33	0	12.1%	3.0%	6.1%	21.2%	57.6%	4.09	3.97				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	33	0	0.0%	9.1%	6.1%	27.3%	57.6%	4.33	4.19				
Courteousness and politeness.	33	0	15.2%	12.1%	9.1%	15.2%	48.5%	3.70	4.33				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	33	0	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	30.3%	60.6%	4.42	4.15				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	32	1	0.0%	3.1%	9.4%	18.8%	68.8%	4.53	4.28				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	24	9	0.0%	4.2%	20.8%	4.2%	70.8%	4.42	4.13				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	33	0	3.0%	9.1%	6.1%	9.1%	72.7%	4.39	4.38				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	33	0	6.1%	6.1%	9.1%	24.2%	54.5%	4.15	4.00				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	33	0	6.1%	6.1%	12.1%	18.2%	57.6%	4.15	3.99				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	33	0	3.0%	0.0%	15.2%	18.2%	63.6%	4.39	4.34				

John R. Prokos

CIRCUIT COURT John R. Prokos													
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Prokos	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	47	0	10.6%	12.8%	8.5%	36.2%	31.9%	3.66	4.01				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	46	0	6.5%	13.0%	13.0%	28.3%	39.1%	3.80	3.97				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	47	0	6.4%	4.3%	17.0%	25.5%	46.8%	4.02	4.19				
Courteousness and politeness.	47	0	2.1%	4.3%	2.1%	23.4%	68.1%	4.51	4.33				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	47	0	6.4%	10.6%	17.0%	27.7%	38.3%	3.81	4.15				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	46	1	0.0%	10.9%	10.9%	32.6%	45.7%	4.13	4.28				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	26	21	0.0%	0.0%	30.8%	11.5%	57.7%	4.27	4.13				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	46	1	4.3%	6.5%	10.9%	21.7%	56.5%	4.20	4.38				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	46	1	15.2%	6.5%	15.2%	21.7%	41.3%	3.67	4.00				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	46	0	10.9%	13.0%	13.0%	26.1%	37.0%	3.65	3.99				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	47	0	4.3%	8.5%	6.4%	34.0%	46.8%	4.11	4.34				

James L. Radda

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT James L. Radda												
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Average	Circuit Court				
Knowledge of the law.	50	0	4.0%	12.0%	8.0%	30.0%	46.0%	4.02	4.01				
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	51	0	5.9%	17.6%	3.9%	27.5%	45.1%	3.88	3.97				
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	51	0	2.0%	7.8%	3.9%	29.4%	56.9%	4.31	4.19				
Courteousness and politeness.	51	0	0.0%	2.0%	5.9%	19.6%	72.5%	4.63	4.33				
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	50	1	2.0%	10.0%	6.0%	36.0%	46.0%	4.14	4.15				
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	49	1	2.0%	6.1%	8.2%	26.5%	57.1%	4.31	4.28				
Participation in law-related professional activities.	29	21	0.0%	6.9%	13.8%	34.5%	44.8%	4.17	4.13				
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	49	2	4.1%	0.0%	6.1%	20.4%	69.4%	4.51	4.38				
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	50	1	2.0%	14.0%	6.0%	26.0%	52.0%	4.12	4.00				
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	50	1	2.0%	12.0%	6.0%	30.0%	50.0%	4.14	3.99				
Preparedness for court proceedings.	50	1	2.0%	4.0%	6.0%	26.0%	62.0%	4.42	4.34				
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	40						Favor	83.7%	84.0%				
this judge for another term?	49						Oppose	16.3%	16.0%				

Wesley A. Roberts

85 Total Number of Respondents	O No Opinion	Strongly O Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Roberts	Circuit Court
		0.0%	10.7%					Circ
28			10.7 /0	7.1%	42.9%	39.3%	4.11	4.01
	0	28.6%	17.9%	10.7%	25.0%	17.9%	2.86	3.97
28	0	14.3%	10.7%	14.3%	39.3%	21.4%	3.43	4.19
28	0	14.3%	32.1%	14.3%	14.3%	25.0%	3.04	4.33
28	0	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	35.7%	28.6%	3.71	4.15
28	0	14.3%	7.1%	10.7%	25.0%	42.9%	3.75	4.28
10	18	20.0%	10.0%	50.0%	0.0%	20.0%	2.90	4.13
28	0	0.0%	7.1%	17.9%	25.0%	50.0%	4.18	4.38
27	1	7.4%	11.1%	14.8%	40.7%	25.9%	3.67	4.00
28	0	10.7%	10.7%	21.4%	25.0%	32.1%	3.57	3.99
28	0	3.6%	0.0%	14.3%	25.0%	57.1%	4.32	4.34
:	28 28 10 28 27 28	28 0 28 0 10 18 28 0 27 1 28 0	28 0 7.1% 28 0 14.3% 10 18 20.0% 28 0 0.0% 27 1 7.4% 28 0 10.7%	28 0 7.1% 7.1% 28 0 14.3% 7.1% 10 18 20.0% 10.0% 28 0 0.0% 7.1% 27 1 7.4% 11.1% 28 0 10.7% 10.7%	28 0 7.1% 7.1% 21.4% 28 0 14.3% 7.1% 10.7% 10 18 20.0% 10.0% 50.0% 28 0 0.0% 7.1% 17.9% 27 1 7.4% 11.1% 14.8% 28 0 10.7% 10.7% 21.4%	28 0 7.1% 7.1% 21.4% 35.7% 28 0 14.3% 7.1% 10.7% 25.0% 10 18 20.0% 10.0% 50.0% 0.0% 28 0 0.0% 7.1% 17.9% 25.0% 27 1 7.4% 11.1% 14.8% 40.7% 28 0 10.7% 10.7% 21.4% 25.0%	28 0 7.1% 7.1% 21.4% 35.7% 28.6% 28 0 14.3% 7.1% 10.7% 25.0% 42.9% 10 18 20.0% 10.0% 50.0% 0.0% 20.0% 28 0 0.0% 7.1% 17.9% 25.0% 50.0% 27 1 7.4% 11.1% 14.8% 40.7% 25.9% 28 0 10.7% 10.7% 21.4% 25.0% 32.1%	28 0 7.1% 7.1% 21.4% 35.7% 28.6% 3.71 28 0 14.3% 7.1% 10.7% 25.0% 42.9% 3.75 10 18 20.0% 10.0% 50.0% 0.0% 20.0% 2.90 28 0 0.0% 7.1% 17.9% 25.0% 50.0% 4.18 27 1 7.4% 11.1% 14.8% 40.7% 25.9% 3.67 28 0 10.7% 10.7% 21.4% 25.0% 32.1% 3.57

Robert J. Sanford

CIRCUIT COURT Robert J. Sanford											
Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Sanford Sanford	Circuit Court			
16	2	6.3%	0.0%	18.8%	12.5%	62.5%	4.25	4.01			
17	1	0.0%	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	70.6%	4.47	3.97			
17	1	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	64.7%	4.24	4.19			
18	0	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	77.8%	4.67	4.33			
17	1	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	58.8%	4.06	4.15			
17	1	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	64.7%	4.12	4.28			
9	9	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	11.1%	66.7%	4.44	4.13			
17	1	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	5.9%	70.6%	4.47	4.38			
17	1	5.9%	0.0%	23.5%	5.9%	64.7%	4.24	4.00			
16	2	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	12.5%	62.5%	4.19	3.99			
16	2	6.3%	0.0%	12.5%	6.3%	75.0%	4.44	4.34			
	16 17 17 18 17 17 17 17 17 16	16 2 17 1 17 1 18 0 17 1 17 1 9 9 17 1 17 1 16 2	16 2 6.3% 17 1 0.0% 17 1 5.9% 18 0 0.0% 17 1 5.9% 17 1 5.9% 9 9 0.0% 17 1 0.0% 17 1 5.9% 16 2 6.3%	16 2 6.3% 0.0% 17 1 0.0% 5.9% 17 1 5.9% 5.9% 18 0 0.0% 0.0% 17 1 5.9% 5.9% 17 1 5.9% 11.8% 9 9 0.0% 0.0% 17 1 0.0% 0.0% 17 1 5.9% 0.0% 16 2 6.3% 6.3%	16 2 6.3% 0.0% 18.8% 17 1 0.0% 5.9% 11.8% 17 1 5.9% 5.9% 11.8% 18 0 0.0% 0.0% 11.1% 17 1 5.9% 23.5% 17 1 5.9% 11.8% 11.8% 9 9 0.0% 0.0% 22.2% 17 1 0.0% 0.0% 23.5% 17 1 5.9% 0.0% 23.5% 16 2 6.3% 6.3% 12.5%	16 2 6.3% 0.0% 11.8% 12.5% 17 1 5.9% 5.9% 23.5% 5.9% 11.8% 11.8% 17 1 5.9% 5.9% 23.5% 5.9% 11.8% 11.8% 17 1 5.9% 11.8% 11.8% 11.8% 17 1 5.9% 11.8% 11.8% 11.8% 11.8% 11.8% 11.1% 1	16 2 6.3% 0.0% 11.8% 11.8% 64.7% 17 1 5.9% 5.9% 11.8% 11.1% 77.8% 17 1 5.9% 5.9% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 18 0 0.0% 0.0% 22.2% 11.1% 66.7% 17 1 5.9% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 18 0 0.0% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 17 1 5.9% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 18 0 0.0% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 19 9 0.0% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 10 2 6.3% 6.3% 12.5% 12.5% 62.5%	16 2 6.3% 0.0% 11.8% 11.8% 64.7% 4.24 17 1 5.9% 5.9% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 4.44 17 1 5.9% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 4.24 18 0 0.0% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 4.44 17 1 5.9% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 4.24 18 0 0.0% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 4.44 17 1 5.9% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 4.44 18 0 0.0% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 4.12 19 9 0.0% 0.0% 23.5% 5.9% 64.7% 4.24 10 2 6.3% 6.3% 12.5% 12.5% 62.5% 4.19			

Susan K. Stipe

CIRCUIT COURT Susan K. Stipe											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average			
	Total Nu Respoi	No Op	Stro Disap	Some	Neither nor Disc	Some	Strc App	Judge Stipe	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	38	1	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	23.7%	44.7%	3.92	4.01		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	39	0	5.1%	10.3%	10.3%	17.9%	56.4%	4.10	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	39	0	7.7%	2.6%	7.7%	20.5%	61.5%	4.26	4.19		
Courteousness and politeness.	39	0	2.6%	0.0%	7.7%	10.3%	79.5%	4.64	4.33		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	39	0	2.6%	7.7%	5.1%	25.6%	59.0%	4.31	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	37	2	5.4%	5.4%	2.7%	29.7%	56.8%	4.27	4.28		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	24	15	4.2%	0.0%	25.0%	12.5%	58.3%	4.21	4.13		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	38	1	2.6%	5.3%	13.2%	15.8%	63.2%	4.32	4.38		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	39	0	10.3%	10.3%	10.3%	17.9%	51.3%	3.90	4.00		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	38	1	7.9%	5.3%	18.4%	23.7%	44.7%	3.92	3.99		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	39	0	2.6%	2.6%	10.3%	15.4%	69.2%	4.46	4.34		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	37						Favor	89.2%	84.0%		
this judge for another term?							Oppose	10.8%	16.0%		

Bruce B. Waters

CIRCUIT COURT	CIRCUIT COURT Bruce B. Waters											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Judge Waters	Circuit Court			
Knowledge of the law.	36	0	8.3%	5.6%	2.8%	41.7%	41.7%	4.03	4.01			
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	36	0	13.9%	13.9%	8.3%	30.6%	33.3%	3.56	3.97			
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	36	0	8.3%	11.1%	5.6%	36.1%	38.9%	3.86	4.19			
Courteousness and politeness.	36	0	11.1%	8.3%	8.3%	30.6%	41.7%	3.83	4.33			
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	34	2	5.9%	11.8%	2.9%	32.4%	47.1%	4.03	4.15			
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	36	0	2.8%	2.8%	0.0%	27.8%	66.7%	4.53	4.28			
Participation in law-related professional activities.	20	16	5.0%	5.0%	50.0%	15.0%	25.0%	3.50	4.13			
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	34	2	5.9%	5.9%	8.8%	11.8%	67.6%	4.29	4.38			
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	34	2	8.8%	8.8%	2.9%	29.4%	50.0%	4.03	4.00			
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	34	2	11.8%	8.8%	0.0%	29.4%	50.0%	3.97	3.99			
Preparedness for court proceedings.	36	0	2.8%	5.6%	2.8%	22.2%	66.7%	4.44	4.34			

Antoinette Williams

CIRCUIT COURT Antoinette Williams											
	Total Number of Respondents	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve	Average Milliams	Circuit Court		
Knowledge of the law.	61	2	21.3%	26.2%	6.6%	24.6%	21.3%	2.98	4.01		
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	61	2	26.2%	18.0%	14.8%	19.7%	21.3%	2.92	3.97		
Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	60	3	13.3%	16.7%	13.3%	25.0%	31.7%	3.45	4.19		
Courteousness and politeness.	61	1	16.4%	9.8%	9.8%	27.9%	36.1%	3.57	4.33		
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	59	2	15.3%	15.3%	13.6%	33.9%	22.0%	3.32	4.15		
Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	57	5	19.3%	14.0%	10.5%	26.3%	29.8%	3.33	4.28		
Participation in law-related professional activities.	28	34	17.9%	3.6%	35.7%	7.1%	35.7%	3.39	4.13		
His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	56	5	14.3%	25.0%	16.1%	23.2%	21.4%	3.13	4.38		
Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	60	2	18.3%	20.0%	16.7%	23.3%	21.7%	3.10	4.00		
How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	61	1	18.0%	23.0%	14.8%	26.2%	18.0%	3.03	3.99		
Preparedness for court proceedings.	58	4	19.0%	13.8%	13.8%	20.7%	32.8%	3.34	4.34		
Do you Favor or Oppose the retention of	60						Favor	46.7%	84.0%		
this judge for another term?							Oppose	53.3%	16.0%		

Questionnaire

A copy of the questionnaire is attached. All screens are shown with the potential retention question included.



Welcome to the 2020 Judicial Advisory Poll

The Judicial Advisory Poll is administered every two years by the Wyoming State Bar as a service to Wyoming voters. A goal of the poll is to provide judges with constructive feedback from the legal community.

You will be asked to evaluate each judge and justice **before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months**. You may also evaluate Supreme Court Justices on the basis of having read their written opinions. For each judge who will stand for retention in November, you will be asked to indicate whether you favor or oppose their retention.

Finally, if you rate a judge particularly high or low, please include your comments explaining that rating. <u>All written comments will remain completely confidential.</u>

If you choose not to evaluate a particular justice or judge, you may leave their page blank and skip over to the next page by clicking the "Next" button.

Please click "Next" below to begin.

Next



The next few questions will ask about your level of approval of the following **Wyoming Supreme Court Justices**:

Lynette J. Boomgaarden Michael K. Davis Catherine M. Fox Keith G. Kautz Kari Jo Gray

Prev.

Next



Please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of Wyoming Supreme Court Justice on the following items:

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. How well are his/her judicial opinions reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	0	0	0	0	0
How well is he/she prepared for oral arguments.	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Courteousness and politeness.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0

Comments are solicited strict	o you have any additional comments about Justice ? comments are solicited strictly for the benefit of the judges to help them improve their erformance. Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in										
Do vou have any additional c	omment	s about Just	ice		?						
0		0			0						
Written Opinion	A	ppearance be	fore the court	Both							
Was your rating for based on a written opinion?		based	l on an appear	ance befor	e the Court, or	simply					
10. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0					
 Participation in law- related professional activities. 	0	0	0	0	0	0					
WYSAC, University of Wyoming			2020 V	Vyoming Judio	cial Advisory Poll	68					

the continued performance of his/her duties.

WY SAC Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

Here is a list of the **DISTRICT COURT JUDGES**.

Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months:

,		
Joseph B. Bluemel	Tori R.A. Kricken	Dawnessa A. Snyder
☐ Thomas T.C. Campbell	Richard L. Lavery	Marvin L. Tyler
Timothy C. Day	F. Scott Peasley	Catherine E. Wilking
Michael N. Deegan	John R. Perry	Jason M. Conder
William J. Edelman	Catherine R. Rogers	Peter H. Froelicher
John G. Fenn	Thomas W. Rumpke	Kerri M. Johnson
Daniel L. Forgey	Steven K. Sharpe	Bobbi D. Overfield
Patrick W. Korell	William L. Simpson	Suzannah G. Robinson
Prev.		Next



Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of District Court Judge on the following items:

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Courteousness and politeness.	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Application of rules of evidence and procedures.	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	0	0	0	0	0	0

WYSAC, University of Wyoming			2020 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll					
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Do you favor or oppose the ret	ention of th	nis judicial o	fficial for ano	ther term?				
Favor			Oppose					
0				0				
Do you have any additional cor Comments are solicited strictly Please provide any comments	for the be	nefit of the j			•			

performance of his/her duties.



Here is a list of the **CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES**.

Please mark all before whom you have appeared in the past 24 months:

☐ Wendy Martin Bartlett	Curt A. Haws	Bruce B. Waters
H. Steven Brown	Craig L. Jones	Susan K. Stipe
I. Vincent Case Jr.	Thomas L. Lee	Antoinette Williams
Matthew F.G. Castano	Michael N. Patchen	Sean C. Chambers
Brian D. Christensen	Paul S. Phillips	Gregory S. Corpening
Shelley Ann Cundiff	John R. Prokos	Nathaniel S. Hibben
Robert B. Denhardt	James L. Radda	Edward G. Luhm
Michael L. Greer	Wesley A. Roberts	Robert J. Sanford
2		No.



Next, please tell us how much you approve or disapprove of Circuit Court Judge on the following items:

	No Opinion	Strongly Disapprove	Somewhat Disapprove	Neither Approve Nor Disapprove	Somewhat Approve	Strongly Approve
1. Knowledge of the law.	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Open-mindedness and impartiality in judicial matters.	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Attentiveness to arguments of counsel.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Courteousness and politeness.	0	0	0	0	0	0
How he/she addresses and answers issues squarely posed.	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Industriousness/promptness in performing judicial duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Participation in law-related professional activities.	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. His/her integrity and ethics to carry out the duties of the judicial office.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Application of rules of evidence and procedures	0	0	0	0	0	0

WYSAC, University of Wyoming		2020 Wyoming Judicial Advisory Poll 74				
10. How well his/her decisions are reasoned and how clearly are they expressed.	0	0	0	O	0	0
11. Preparedness for court proceedings.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Do you favor or oppose the rete	ention of t	his judicial o	fficial for ano	ther term?)	
0				0		
Do you have any additional cor Comments are solicited strictly			udges to helr	?	ve their perfor	rmance

Please provide any comments you believe would be of assistance to the judge in the continued

performance of his/her duties.